Current Dependence of Bunch Dimensions in VEPP-2000 Collider

E. Perevedentsev, Yu. Rogovsky, D. Shwartz, M. Timoshenko, Yu. Zharinov VEPP-2000, BINP, Novosibirsk

> October 04, 2018 RuPAC'2018, Protvino, Russia

### **VEPP-2000** overview

Design parameters @ 1 GeV			
Circumference	24.388 m		
Beam energy	150 ÷ 1000 MeV		
N of bunches	1×1		
N of particles	1×10 <sup>11</sup>		
Betatron tunes	4.14 / 2.14		
Beta*	8.5 cm		
BB parameter	0.1		
Luminosity	1×10 <sup>32</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		

- Round beams concept
- 13 T solenoids for FF
- 2.4 NC dipoles @ 1 GeV

Storage Ring

• CBS for energy control

to VEPP-4M & c-τ-factory

Linac



Bldg.20

Bldg.1R

Bldg.4

Operating with IC #VEPP-5 since 2016

Bldg.13

K-500

## The concept of Round Colliding Beams

Axial symmetry of counter beam force + X-Y symmetry of transfer matrix IP2IP

 $\bigcirc$ 

Additional integral of motion (angular momentum  $M_z = x'y - xy'$ ) Particle dynamics remains nonlinear, but becomes 1D

#### Lattice requirements:

- Head-on collisions!
- Small and equal β-functions at IP:
- Equal beam emittances:
- Equal fractional parts of betatron tunes:



F.M. Izrailev, G.M. Tumaikin, I.B. Vasserman. Preprint INP 79-74, Novosibirsk,(1979).
L.M. Barkov, et. al, Proc. HEACC'89, Tsukuba, Japan, p.1385.
S. Krishnagopal, R. Siemann, Proc. PAC'89, Chicago, p.836.
V.V. Danilov et al., EPAC'96, Barcelona, p.1149.
S. Henderson, et al., Proc. PAC'99, New York, p.410.

## VEPP-2000 Equipment

Main lattice: dipole magnets 8 24 quadrupole magnets sup. cond. solenoids Correctors: 24 hor. dipole corr.

20 vert. dipole corr 12 sextupoles 12 skew-quad

**Observation:** 16 CCD cameras **4 electrostatic BPMs** 1 DCCT 2 PhMT (e<sup>+</sup>, e<sup>-</sup>) 2 Phi-Dissectors (e<sup>+</sup>, e<sup>-</sup>)

Energy meas: Depolarization, 16 NMR, Compton backscatter.

Detectors: CMD-3, SND



## Bunch tansv. size measurements



- Optics: Conversion 1:3 to fit (5.6 x 7) mm sensor
- CCD: Monochrome, 1296x964, 18FPS, https://www.ptgrey.com/support/downloads/10108
- Software: Home-made. Optimized for acquisition, 2D fit and bunch parameters extraction
- System Bandwidth: 5 FPS @ 640 x 480 resolution @ 16 CCD simultaneous
- Resolution: orbit 2-3 μm, sizes 5-10 μm

# Bunch longit. Size measurements

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Longitudinal particles distribution measured with phi-dissector (BINP) with stroboscopic nature of registration (electron-optical chorography)
- Bunch length estimated from Gaussian fit to data
- Bandwidth: 8-12Hz @ 2÷4k pts, 3Hz @ 32k pts
- Min. bunch length: 50 ps (achieved), 30-40 ps
- Accuracy: 1-2 mm (depends on phase motion)

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $T_{s}$ 

#### Technical details => see THPSC27 poster 6

Measurements @ VEPP-2000, 480 MeV, 2013

# Bunch energy measurements (CBS)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

M.N. Achasov et al. arXiv:1211.0103v1 [physics.acc-ph] 1 Nov 2012

### Bunch sizes: why changes? Longitudinal **Transvers** • $I \rightarrow 0$ , quantum excitation only

$$\sigma_x^2 = \beta_x \varepsilon_x + \left( D \frac{\sigma_E}{E} \right)^2$$
$$\sigma_y^2 = \beta_y \varepsilon_y$$

If  $\beta_x$ ,  $\beta y$ , D is constant and known:

$$\sigma_{x} = \sigma_{x}(\varepsilon_{x}, \frac{\sigma_{E}}{E})$$

$$\sigma_{y} = \sigma_{y}(\varepsilon_{y} \uparrow)$$
Intrabeam
scattering
in

licrowave

nstability

• *I* < *I*<sub>th</sub>, potential well distortion  $\nu_s^2 = \nu_{s_0}^2 \left( 1 + A \left( \frac{\sigma_{l_0}}{\sigma_l} \right)^3 Im \left( \frac{Z}{n} \right)_{eff} \right), \ A = \frac{(2\pi R)^3 I_b}{3h\sigma_0^3 U_0 \cos \phi_s}$  $\left(\frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_{l_0}}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_{l_0}}\right) = -A \cdot Im\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right)_{eff}$ 

 $\sigma_l = \frac{c\alpha_p}{2\pi f_0 \nu_s} \frac{\sigma_E}{E}$ 

• I > I<sub>th</sub>, Potential well distortion + Microwave instability distortion

instability

## Bunch parameters estimation: idea

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

At least 2 observation points with different dispersion. One point with lower dispersion is preferable. More observations – lower error? Depends on data quality.

# Bunch sizes: while $U_{rf}/I_{b}$ changes

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Optics with "Short" FF solenoids
- Orbit and optics corrected to model
- This optics used for luminosity run @ 2018
- E = 348 MeV, a<sub>p</sub>= 0.035879 (SAD\*, model)
- $I_b = 2 \div 100 \text{ mA}$ , single bunch, no collision
- U<sub>rf</sub> = 18.5 / 49.5 / 38.5 / 9.3 / 4.7 / 4.6 / 18.6 / 68.5 kV
- Data shown for 4M1L observation point, recorded / used for 8 observation points

## Bunch parameters: estimation (I)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

MWI threshold dependence vs. U<sub>rf</sub>

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

U<sub>rf</sub> = **18.5** / 49.5 / **38.5** / **9.3** / 4.7 / 4.6 / 18.6 / **68.5** kV

From machine model (SAD code):

- $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E} = 2.46 \times 10^{-4}$
- $\epsilon_x = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm} \times \text{mrad}$
- Low current limit

## Bunch parameters: estimation (II)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Bunch volume as a simple product of bunch rms. dimensions growth almost linearly with bunch intensity
- The slope of the curve changes: below / above the threshold

## Measurements at "zero" current

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dissector measurements. Technical detail => see THPSC27 poster

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

$\sigma_l =$	$\frac{c\alpha_p}{\Omega_s}$	$\frac{\sigma_E}{E}$	Q	$\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{qU_0}}$
--------------	------------------------------	----------------------	---	--------------------------------

- Warm optics => no FF solenoids
  Orbit and optics corrected to model
- E = 348 MeV, a<sub>p</sub>= 0.17598 (SAD\*, model)

• 
$$U_{rf} = 2.5 \div 85 \text{ kV}, I_b \le 50 \text{ uA}$$

## Why to control energy spread

Visible cross-sections reduction at narrow resonances  $\varphi(1080)$ ,  $\omega(782)$  depends quadratically on c.m. energy spread, and achieve values of ~5%. To measure real cross-sections with accuracy better then 1% the energy spread control needed at level of at least 10%.

The ultimate limits on rare decays  $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-$ ,  $\eta' \rightarrow e^+e^-$  obtained at VEPP-2000 (in inverse processes) are also determined by the beam energy spread.

E.A. Kozyrev et al., Study of the process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$  in the center-of-mass energy range 1010– 1060 MeV with the CMD-3 detector, Phys. Lett. B 779 (2018) 64-71 M. N. Achasov et al., Search for the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta$ , Phys. Rev. D 98, 052007

## Bunch energy spread: FIT vs CBS

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Optics: "Short" FF solenoids
- Orbit / optics => close to model
- For Luminosity run @ 2018
- E = 348 MeV
- Single bunch, no collision
- Special CBS run: 30min period

CBS results depends:

- Bunch stability: orbit, sizes
- Stability: E and  $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E}$  drifts
- Statistics: run length

## Beam-Beam effects

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Dynamic beta (shrinks @ IP, growth along the ring)
- Dynamic emittance (growth) ٠
- Stochastic emittance growth + non-Gaussian distribution +...

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

20

20

Current, mA

ws - simulation

Size, mm

0,8 0,6

0.4 0,2

0,0

10

20

Current, mA

30

40

30

Current, mA

30

40

e2 WS

e2 RING

50

40

50

## Conclusion

- Bunch dimensions changes with bunch current
- MWI (turbulent bunch lengthening) has been observed over a wide range of U<sub>rf</sub> values
- Emittance growth caused mainly by IBS (Continuously)
- Energy spread growth caused by MWI (Threshold)
- Bunch volume increases linearly with bunch current
- Energy spread can be estimated quite accurate from transverse bunch profile(s) for single bunch
- Such "online" estimation (Emittance / Energy spread) should be used for routine operation during luminosity runs
- Ideas should be tested (modified?) in presence of opposite counter bunch

## Main control room of VEPP-2000

# Thanks for your attention!

M. Timoshenko for VEPP-2000 team

4447