

# A Code to Compute the Action-Angle Transformation for a Particle in an Arbitrary Potential Well\*

J. Scott Berg and Robert. L. Warnock

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center; Stanford University; Stanford, CA 94309

Abstract

For a Vlasov treatment of longitudinal stability under an arbitrary wake field, with the solution of the Haïssinski equation as the unperturbed distribution, it is important to have the action-angle transformation for the distorted potential well in a convenient form. We have written a code that gives the transformation  $q, p \rightarrow J, \Phi$ , with  $q(J, \Phi)$  as a Fourier series in  $\Phi$ , the Fourier coefficients and the Hamiltonian  $H(J)$  being spline functions of  $J$  in  $C^2$  (having continuous second derivatives).

## I. The Canonical Transformation

We suppose that the Hamiltonian has the form

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q), \quad (1)$$

where  $V(q)$  is a potential well with continuous derivative. We discuss only values of the constant  $H$  such that the motion consists entirely of oscillations between two turning points at which  $p = 0$ . We denote the turning points by  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ , with  $q_0 < q_1$ , and exclude values of  $H$  for which either  $V'(q_0)$  or  $V'(q_1)$  is zero. We define

$$p(q, H) = \pm \sqrt{2[H - V(q)]}, \quad (2)$$

where  $p > 0$  as  $q$  moves from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$ , and  $p < 0$  as it returns from  $q_1$  to  $q_0$ . The action integral, which extends over a full period of the motion, is

$$J(H) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p(q, H) dq = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{q_0}^{q_1} p(q, H) dq. \quad (3)$$

Thanks to our assumption that  $V'(q_i) \neq 0$ , there is a well-defined inverse function  $H(J)$ .

Hamilton's equations imply that  $p = dq/dt$ . If  $t = 0$  at  $q = q_1$ , the time  $t$  for displacement  $q$  is

$$t = \int_{q_1}^q \frac{dq'}{p(q', H)} \quad (4)$$

where the integration path is understood to follow all oscillations that occur by time  $t$ :  $q_1 \rightarrow q_0 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow q(t)$ . Since  $H$  depends only on  $J$ , Hamilton's equations in action-angle variables give  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + H'(J)t$ . Choosing  $\Phi(q_1) = 0$ , we have

$$\Phi(q, H) = H'(J(H)) \int_{q_1}^q \frac{dq'}{p(q', H)} \quad (5)$$

We wish to find the functions  $q(J, \Phi)$ ,  $p(J, \Phi)$ , and  $H(J)$  in a form that will be convenient for repeated and fast numerical

evaluations, with  $2\pi$ -periodicity in  $\Phi$  guaranteed. We also want these functions to have continuous second derivatives in both variables. These requirements arise from an intended application in solutions of the Vlasov equation with Fokker-Plank term, as discussed below. A convenient expression of the functions is

$$q(J, \Phi) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q_m(J) \cos m\Phi \quad (6)$$

$$p(J, \Phi) = \frac{\partial q(J, \Phi)}{\partial \Phi} H'(J) \quad (7)$$

with  $q_m(J)$  and  $H(J)$  expanded in terms of some  $C^2$  basis functions  $B_k(J)$  and  $C_k(J)$ :

$$q_m(J) = \sum_k q_{mk} B_k(J) \quad (8)$$

$$H(J) = \sum_k h_k C_k(J) \quad (9)$$

The formula (7) follows from the derivative of (5) with respect to  $\Phi$ , if we recall that  $H$  is only a function of  $J$  when written in action-angle coordinates.

If the series (6), (8), and (9) are truncated at a finite number of terms, the resulting transformation  $J, \Phi \rightarrow q, p$  will not be precisely canonical (i.e., symplectic). A measure of symplecticity is the agreement of  $p$  as given in (7) with

$$p(J, \Phi) = \pm \sqrt{2[H(J) - V(q(J, \Phi))]} \quad (10)$$

If  $p$  is given by (10), a calculation of the Poisson bracket yields

$$[q, p] = \frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \Phi} \frac{dH}{dJ}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, if  $p$  from (7) agrees with  $p$  from (10), we have a canonical transformation, since  $[q, p] = 1$ . With a moderate number of terms in the series (6), (8), and (9), the transformation can be made to satisfy the canonical condition with sufficient precision for our purposes.

## II. The Primary Integrations

We first evaluate the integrals (3) and (5) on a regular mesh in  $H$ :  $\{H_i | i = 1, \dots, K\}$ . The turning points  $q_0(H_i)$  and  $q_1(H_i)$  are easily found by a Newton iteration. The factor  $H'(J(H_i))$  is defined at each  $i$  by

$$\pi = H'(J(H_i)) \int_{q_1}^{q_0} \frac{dq}{p(q, H_i)}. \quad (12)$$

For numerical integration, it is useful to change the variable to

$$u = \cos^{-1} \frac{q_1 + q_0 - 2q}{q_0 - q_1}. \quad (13)$$

\*Work supported by the Department Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

The inverse of this transformation is

$$q = \frac{q_0 + q_1}{2} + \frac{q_1 - q_0}{2} \cos u. \quad (14)$$

Then (5) becomes

$$\Phi(q, H_i) = \frac{q_1 - q_0}{2} H'(J(H_i)) \int_0^{u(q)} \frac{\sin u' du'}{\sqrt{2[H_i - V(q(u'))]}}. \quad (15)$$

The integrand is now free of singularities. For a nearly quadratic potential,  $\Phi$  is close to  $u$ . The same change of variable is used to compute  $J(H_i)$  by (3).

Now  $u = \pi$  corresponds to  $q = q_0$ . We divide the interval  $[0, \pi]$  into  $N$  intervals, and integrate by Simpson's rule [1]. The first and last intervals are treated by an open Newton-Cotes formula [1], to avoid taking the limit of the integrand at the endpoints. We evaluate the integrand (15) for upper limit  $u$  at all of the mesh points  $u_i$ . The value of  $N$  is increased until the integral on  $[0, \pi]$  converges to machine precision.

### III. Finding the Fourier Coefficients

After the integrations, the angles  $\Phi^{(j)} = \Phi(q(u_j), H_i)$  are known, with the  $u_j$  on a large regular mesh of  $N + 1$  points. To evaluate the Fourier coefficients  $q_m$  for  $|m| \leq M$ , we search through the  $\Phi^{(j)}$  to find those that are closest to the points one would normally use in a discrete Fourier transform, namely the points

$$\frac{\pi k}{M}, \quad k = 0, \dots, M. \quad (16)$$

Denoting those angles by  $\Phi_k$ , and the corresponding values of  $q(u_j)$  by  $q^{(k)}$ , we solve the following linear equations for the Fourier coefficients:

$$q^{(k)} = \sum_{m=0}^M q_m \cos m \Phi_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, M \quad (17)$$

We solve this system as follows: if we assume that the function  $q(\phi)$  can be expressed exactly as

$$q(\phi) = \sum_{m=0}^M q_m \cos m \phi, \quad (18)$$

then we can write  $q(\Phi_k)$  in terms of the values  $x_k = q(\pi k/M)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} q(\Phi_k) = & \frac{1}{2M} \left\{ x_0 \cot \frac{\Phi_k}{2} \sin M \Phi_k \right. \\ & + x_M \cot \frac{\Phi_k - \pi}{2} \sin M(\Phi_k - \pi) \\ & \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} x_n \frac{\sin \Phi_k}{\sin(\Phi_k + \pi k/M)} \frac{\sin M(\Phi_k - \pi k/M)}{\sin[(\Phi_k - \pi k/M)/2]} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This linear system can then be solved for the  $x_k$ , the function values at the mesh points. The discrete Fourier transform of the  $x_k$  then gives the coefficients  $q_m$ . The advantage of this is that the system (19) is very well conditioned if the  $\Phi_k$  are close to the mesh points (16); this is why we chose the mesh points  $\Phi_k$  as described above.

The system (17) can also be solved as a Vandermonde system. There are  $O(n^2)$  direct methods for solving such a system which should work very well [2].

## IV. Expressing the Transformation as a Function of $J$

Let  $q_m^{(i)}$  and  $J^{(i)}$  denote the values of  $q_m$  and  $J$  at  $H = H_i$ , as determined by the procedure just described. To get the required functions of  $J$ , we invoke the expansions (8) and (9), and determine the coefficients by solving the linear systems

$$q_m^{(i)} = \sum_k q_{mk} B_k(J^{(i)}) \quad (20)$$

$$H^{(i)} = \sum_k h_k C_k(J^{(i)}), \quad (21)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, K$ . A possible improvement is to use the values of  $H'(J^{(i)})$  as determined in (12) for an additional constraint on the function  $H(J)$ . One would then use a larger set of basis functions  $C_k$ , and augment (21) with the additional equations

$$H'(J^{(i)}) = \sum_k h_k C'_k(J^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, K \quad (22)$$

This step should make the whole scheme more self-consistent, and could be quite worthwhile.

## V. Example

We have written a code which finds the transformation described for an arbitrary differentiable potential  $V$ . It computes the transformation from  $J = 0$  (which is found by finding the minimum of the potential) up through the  $J$  corresponding to a given value of  $H$ . The basis functions  $B_j$  and  $C_j$  are both taken to be B-Splines [3] in  $\sqrt{J}$ , whose knots  $t_i$  are chosen to be

$$t_0 = \dots = t_{k-1} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$t_{i+k} = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} \sqrt{J^{(i)}} \quad i = 0, \dots, n-k-1 \quad (24)$$

$$t_n = \dots = t_{n+k-1} = \sqrt{J^{(n-1)}} \quad (25)$$

as described on pp. 218-9 of [3]. The code computes  $q_m$  for  $m \leq M$  for a given integer  $M$ . We do not use the data for  $H'(J^{(i)})$  as described above.

We take as an example the potential  $V(q) = 1 - \cos q$ . We know the transformation for this potential:

$$J = \frac{8}{\pi} \left[ \frac{H}{2} K \left( \frac{H}{2} \right) - K \left( \frac{H}{2} \right) + E \left( \frac{H}{2} \right) \right] \quad (26)$$

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{F(\sin^{-1}[\sqrt{H/2} \sin q/2] | H/2)}{K(H/2)} \right\} & p < 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \frac{F(\sin^{-1}[\sqrt{H/2} \sin q/2] | H/2)}{K(H/2)} - 1 \right\} & p > 0. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Here  $F$  and  $K$  are elliptic integrals [4].

We will check the accuracy of our transformation by computing  $q$  and  $H$  on a uniform mesh in  $J$  of  $10K$  points and a uniform mesh in  $\Phi$  of  $10M$  points (excluding  $\Phi = 0$  and  $\Phi = \pi$ ). First, we compute  $H(J)$  at each  $J$  mesh point, then substitute that value in Eq. (26) and compare to the original  $J$ . We give the maximum value of  $\Delta J = |J(H(J_i)) - J_i|/J_i$  in table I. Next, we take  $H(J_i)$  and  $q(J_i, \Phi_j)$  on the grid described and compute  $\Phi$  using Eq. (27) for each of these values. These results are then compared to the original  $\Phi$ . We record the maximum value

$M$	$K$	$\Delta J$	$\Delta \Phi$	$\epsilon_S$
4	8	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$4 \times 10^{-3}$
4	16	$6 \times 10^{-7}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$
4	32	$2 \times 10^{-8}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$
4	64	$8 \times 10^{-10}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$
4	128	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$
8	8	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	$8 \times 10^{-4}$	$2 \times 10^{-2}$
8	16	$6 \times 10^{-7}$	$4 \times 10^{-5}$	$9 \times 10^{-4}$
8	32	$2 \times 10^{-8}$	$2 \times 10^{-6}$	$3 \times 10^{-5}$
8	64	$8 \times 10^{-10}$	$8 \times 10^{-7}$	$7 \times 10^{-6}$
8	128	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$8 \times 10^{-7}$	$7 \times 10^{-6}$
16	8	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	$1 \times 10^{-1}$
16	16	$6 \times 10^{-7}$	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	$1 \times 10^{-3}$
16	32	$2 \times 10^{-8}$	$5 \times 10^{-7}$	$2 \times 10^{-5}$
16	64	$8 \times 10^{-10}$	$9 \times 10^{-9}$	$5 \times 10^{-7}$
16	128	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$5 \times 10^{-10}$	$8 \times 10^{-9}$

Table I

Accuracy of the transformation. Quartic B-splines are used throughout. Maximum value of  $H$  is 1.

of  $\Delta \Phi = |\Phi(H(J_i), q(J_i, \Phi_j)) - \Phi_j|$  in the second column of table I. Finally, we check the symplecticity of the resulting transformation by computing

$$\epsilon_S = \left| \frac{\frac{\partial q}{\partial \Phi} \frac{dH}{dJ}}{\sqrt{2[H - V(q)]}} - 1 \right| \quad (28)$$

for values where neither the square root nor  $\partial q/\partial \Phi$  is zero. The maximum value of this is recorded in the third column of table I.

## VI. Conclusion

We have described a method for determining a transformation of a one-dimensional system described by a Hamiltonian of the form (1) to action-angle variables. A computer program to implement this method has been written, and gives satisfactory results regarding convergence.

We note that this method can be applied even to a  $V(q)$  which is only given at a finite number of points  $q_i$ . We simply define  $V(q)$  to be a function which passes through these values. Any interpolation method may be used to define such a  $V(q)$ .

This work was motivated by the desire to give a more thorough treatment of the Vlasov equation for longitudinal instabilities, along the lines followed by Oide and Yokoya [5]. These authors linearize the Vlasov equation about the stationary distribution derived from the Haïssinski equation, and then use the action-angle variables  $J, \Phi$  of the ‘‘distorted potential well’’ implied by that distribution. The perturbed distribution function  $\Psi_1(J, \Phi)$  is represented as a Fourier series in  $\Phi$  with the coefficients being step functions in  $J$ . The step function technique has some deficiencies. It gives at best slow convergence as the steps are refined, and makes it difficult to treat the Fokker-Planck term,  $-2\delta(\partial/\partial p)(p\Psi_1 + \partial\Psi_1/\partial p)$ . We think that it would be better to use a  $C^2$  spline basis for the  $J$  dependence of  $\Psi_1$ . Then the Fokker-Planck term can be han-

dled easily with the help of our Fourier series (6) for  $q$ , since  $\partial/\partial p = -(\partial q/\partial J)(\partial/\partial \Phi) + (\partial q/\partial \Phi)(\partial/\partial J)$ . Oide’s rough treatment of the Fokker-Planck term by a perturbative method suggests that it is very important in determining thresholds of instabilities.

## References

- [1] F. B. Hildebrand, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.
- [2] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1989.
- [3] C. de Boor, *A Practical Guide to Splines*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [4] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. New York: Dover Publications, 1972.
- [5] K. Oide and K. Yokoya, ‘‘Longitudinal single-bunch instability in electron storage rings,’’ Tech. Rep. KEK Preprint 90-10, KEK, April 1990.