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#### Abstract

The lattice of the BNL SXLS has been analyzed by direct integration of the equations of motion through TOSCA-generated fields of the 180 -degree bending magnets to obtain the central trajectory, off-momentum closed orbits, and tunes for small amplitude osciliations. The 1st and higher order chromaticities, betatron functions and synchrotron radiation integrals have been obtained. The present 6 -coil configuration exhibits an order of magnitude improvement in higher order chromaticity relative to an earlier 2 coil design by addition of a correction coil. Lattice parameters near the central orbit are in good agreement with earlier calculations.


## 1. Introduction

The Superconducting X-Ray Lithography Source (SXLS) at BNL is a compact, 8.5 meter circumference, 700 Mev electron storage ring designed to produce synchrotron radiation at a critical wavelength $\lambda_{c}=10 \AA$ from two $180^{\circ}$ superconducting bending magnets with central field $\mathrm{B}_{0}=3.87 \mathrm{~T}$. The bending magnets also provide vertically focusing quadrupole and horizontally defocusing sextupole (SD). Since the magnet is iron-free, the field has unavoidable higher order nonlinearities and an extensive fringe field. We have therefore resorted to direct numerical integration of trajectories, with fields evaluated by $\operatorname{TOSCA}^{[1]}$, to obtain orbits and tunes for small amplitude oscillations. ORBIT, also contains provision for obtaining off-momentum orbits and thus the chromaticity and dispersion functions. The program was tested ${ }^{[2]}$ with a simple two-coil configuration which yielded lattice properties in excellent agreement with earlier estimates ${ }^{[3]}$ based on a combined function magnet model. The present configuration uses six main coils to decrease the octupole and decapole terms present in the two coil model ${ }^{[4]}$. The calculated tunes are close to the design values $v_{\mathrm{HO}}, v_{\mathrm{VO}}$ $=1.415,0.415$ and calculated first-order chromaticities corrected by a sextupole (SF) are positive $-\xi_{\dot{H}}^{\mathcal{C}}=\xi_{\hat{v}}^{\mathcal{c}}=.358$. Higher order chromaticities are small compared to the two coil configuration, resulting in improved dynamic aperture estimates ${ }^{[5]}$. Synchrotron radiation integrals are evaluated, giving damping properties in good agreement with the Conceptual Design Report ${ }^{[3]}$.

## 2. Formulation

The equations of motion in cylindrical coordinates are ${ }^{[6]}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{r}\left(1+2 \dot{i}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)\left[\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(1+\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)-\dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\theta}+\dot{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)\right]  \tag{1a}\\
{\left[1+\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{n}^{2}+\dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0} \\
\ddot{\mathrm{z}}-2 \dot{r i}_{\mathrm{n}} \dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\mathrm{r}^{2} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)\left[\dot{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{z}}+\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~B}_{\theta}-\left(1+\dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]  \tag{lb}\\
{\left[1+\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\dot{r} \equiv \mathrm{dr} / \mathrm{d} \theta, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{B} \rho$ is the magnetic rigidity and the abbreviations $\dot{r}_{\mathrm{n}}=\dot{i} / \mathrm{r}$ and $\dot{z}_{\mathrm{n}}=\dot{i} / \mathrm{r}$ are used. The coordinate system is ringcentered as indicated in Fig.1. Eqs. (1) are solved by a 4 th order Runge-Kutta procedure ${ }^{[7]}$. We integrate for 300 equal steps from
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an initial angle $\theta_{i}$ and $\dot{r}_{i}=x_{i} \sin \theta_{i} / \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}$ at the edge of the quadrupole QF to the center of the bend at $\theta_{f}=\pi / 2$. Reflectional symmetry is assumed. The double precision integration uses 20 minutes of VAX8600 CPU. To determine the equilibrium orbit we iterate on $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{M}}$ to attain $\dot{\mathrm{r}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{f}}=\pi / 2\right)=0$. To obtain an acceptable orbit we vary the coil length to obtain $r_{f}=Y_{c}+R_{0}$ on the magnet reference circle. For off-momentum ( $\delta=\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) closed orbits the calculation is started at point o in Fig.1, and we iterate for an offset $\Delta x_{0}$, again to attain $\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{f}}=0$. For the tune calculation, we obtain two additional orbits with small amplitude about the central trajectory; orbit R1 starting at point $i$ with initial coordinates relative to the central trajectory of $x_{i}=X I,(d x / d s)_{i}=0$ giving final coordinates $x_{1 f}$ and $(\mathrm{dx} / \mathrm{ds})_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{XP} 1$, and orbit R2 with initial coordinates $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=0,(\mathrm{dx} / \mathrm{ds})_{i}$ $=$ XPI and final values $\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{f}}$ and $(\mathrm{dx} / \mathrm{ds})_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{XP} 2$. We use XI $=.0002$ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{XPI}=.0002$ The matrix through half the magnet is given by the usual expression from Courant and Snyder ${ }^{[8]}$

The horizontal tune is given in terms of these matrix elements and the strength $\mathrm{SQ}=\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ of the assumed ideal quadrupole by the phase-amplitude form of the transport matrix ${ }^{[8]}$ and the reflectional properties of the magnet ${ }^{[9]}$ for one-half turn of the ring starting at point o (where $\alpha_{0}=0$ is assumed)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cos \pi v_{H}=\left(M_{11}+L M_{21}\right) \cos ^{2} S Q+\left(\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{LM}_{12}-\mathrm{M}_{22}\right) \sin ^{2} \mathrm{SQ}+ \\
{\left[\mathrm{M}_{21} \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{LM}_{11}+\mathrm{LM}_{22}+\mathrm{M}_{12}\right)\right] \sin S Q \cos S Q}
\end{gathered}
$$

We solve Eq.(2) by Newton's iteration for the strength $S Q$ which yields the desired tune $v_{H}$. Then we get the vertical tune by a similar method

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cos \pi v_{V}=\left(M_{11}+L M_{21}\right) \cosh ^{2} S Q+\left(\mathrm{K}^{2} L M_{12}+M_{22}\right) \sinh ^{2} S Q+(3) \\
{\left[M_{21} / K+K\left(\mathrm{LM}_{11}+L M_{22}+M_{12}\right)\right] \sinh S Q \operatorname{coshSQ}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The transverse position of the conductors is then moved until we obtain a vertical tune near the design value. The optimum equilibrium orbit for the final conductor configuration is obtained by an iteration in which the initial electron position $x_{i}\left(=R_{0}\right)$ and $R_{M}$ are varied simultaneously to satisfy two final conditions $I_{f}(\pi / 2)=R_{0}$ $\dot{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\pi / 2)=0$. We choose the optimum central trajectory as that offset orbit (relative to the reference axis of Fig.1) with circumference most nearly equal to the reference axis circumference $C$ $=8503.209236 \mathrm{~mm}$ which corresponds to the 6 th harmonic of the RF cavity frequency. The position coordinate $S$ along the trajectory is evaluated by ORBIT as $S(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta} d \theta\left(r^{2}+\dot{r}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and the circumference is then $C=4 S(\pi / 2)$. The value $\beta_{0}$ at point 0 can then be evaluated from the matrix elements and the known matrix from points 0 to i to obtain the matrix from 0 to $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{or}}$. Using the Courant- Snyder phase amplitude matrix form and the elements of $\mathrm{M}_{\text {of }}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{~V})}=\mathrm{M}_{12} / \mathrm{M}_{11} \tan \left(\pi \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~V}} / 2\right)  \tag{4a}\\
\beta_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~V})}=\mathrm{M}_{11} \mathrm{M}_{12} / \sin \left(\pi \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~V}} / 2\right) \cos \left(\pi v_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~V}} / 2\right) \tag{4b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The betatron functions $\beta$, $\alpha$, phase $\phi$ and dispersion $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}(=\mathrm{d} \eta / \mathrm{ds})$ are computed from the well known Twiss parameter transformation ${ }^{[10]}\left(\alpha_{0}=0\right)$. In practice we find that $\alpha$ is more
accurately computed by $\alpha=-\beta^{\prime} / 2$. For the Twiss parameter transformations the matrix elements from point o to P along central trajectory R0 are evaluated as described above with the added feature that the perpendicular distance from the central orbit to R1 and $R 2, x_{1 p}$ and $x_{2 p}$ are measured along the line perpendicular to R0 at point $P$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=y_{p}-\left(x-x_{p}\right)\left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} \cos \theta_{p}-\sin \theta_{p}\right) /\left(\frac{\dot{I}}{r} \sin \theta_{p}+\cos \theta_{p}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\eta$ function is obtained from definition $\eta=x_{p}(\delta) / \delta$ where $x_{p}(\delta)$ is the perpendicular distance from the central orbit R0 to an orbit RD computed by ORBIT for an off-momentum particle starting at point $x_{0}(\delta)$ as described above. $\eta^{\prime}$ is obtained directly by numerical differentiation of $\eta$. The betatron functions are used to evaluate synchrotron radiation integrals $I_{1}$ through $I_{5}$ as given in the lucid presentation of Helm,et.al. ${ }^{[11]}$.

When the correction sextupole is energized with strength $S_{P}$ $=B^{\prime \prime} L / R_{M}$, where the median plane sextupole field is $B_{1}=B^{\prime \prime} x^{2} / 2$, the closed orbit for off momentum particles is computed using a point kick $x_{0}^{2} S_{F} / 2$ and, for the tunte calculation, a point gradient $G_{s}$ $=\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ which produces an additional kick of $-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{x}_{0}\left(\Delta \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ for particles displaced by $\Delta x_{0}$ from the closed orbit. The sextupole strengh required to yield equal corrected chromaticity in both planes is given to first order by $S_{F}=2 \pi\left(\xi_{v}^{0}-\xi_{H}^{0}\right) /\left(\beta_{\mathrm{OH}}+\beta_{0 \mathrm{~V}}\right) \eta_{0}$ where $\xi_{H}^{0}$ and $\xi_{V}^{0}$ are chromaticities for $S_{F}=0$. The corrected chromaticity is $\xi^{c}=\xi_{\mathrm{H}}^{c}=\xi_{\mathrm{V}}^{c}=\left(\xi_{\mathrm{ii}}^{0} \beta_{\mathrm{OV}}+\xi_{V}^{0} \beta_{\mathrm{OH}}\right) /\left(\beta_{\mathrm{OH}}+\beta_{\mathrm{OV}}\right)$.

## 3. Results

The conductor configuration adopted for the SXLS is shown in Fig.2. The main coils are labeled A and B and operate at current density of $18346.9 \mathrm{Amp} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. A third correction coil labeled C, at the same current density, has been added to decrease the octupole and decapole components. Additional trim coils, not shown, will provide adjustment capability for the gradient and sextupole component. Integration of Eqs.(1) with ORBIT yields equilibrium orbits in Fig.3a for several offsets of the straight section axis. Orbit \#3 is the optimum central trajectory; however, the dispersion in Fig.3b and tune vs momentum in Figs. 4 a and 4 b are referred to orbit \#1. The chromatic variation of tune is seen to be reasonably linear for sextupole correction $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{F}}=0$, in contrast to an earlier two coil model ${ }^{[2]}$ without coil C which gave $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ order chromaticities more than an order of magnitude larger than the present results. In Figs. 5 a and b we show the $\beta, \alpha$ and $\eta$ functions calculated as described above. They are given in Table I with the synchrotron integrals and resultant damping times, emittance, energy spread and damping partitions.
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Table I Parameters From ORBIT, NOV29 coil, 0.9 mm Offset

|  | ORB11(TOSCA) | CDR(2/89) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy (Mev) | 699.29114 | 696 |
| Mag. Rigidity $\mathrm{B} \rho$ (T-m) | 2.33258416 | 2.3216061 |
| Central Dipole $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ (T) | 3.87001259 | 3.85 |
| Initial Orb.Pos. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (m) | . 604572135 | . 6037 |
| Orbit Circumference (m) | 8.50348864 | 8.503 |
| RF frequency (Mhz) | 211.5383378 | 211.54 |
| Horizontal Tune $V_{H}$ | 1.415 | 1.415 |
| Vertical Tune $v_{V}$ | 0.406217 | 0.415 |
| Quad QF Strength SQ | . 290519868 |  |
| Quad Gradient (T/m) | 8.19455929 | 7.471 |
| H-Chromaticity $\xi_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ ( $\mathrm{SF}=0$ ) | -1.16815 |  |
| V-Chromaticity $\xi^{0}$ ( $\mathrm{SF}=0$ ) | 1.34504 |  |
| Corr. H-Chromaticity $\xi_{\text {H }}$ | 0.35880 |  |
| Corr.V-Chromaticity $\xi_{V}^{\text {¢ }}$ | 0.35697 |  |
| Corr.Sext. Strength(m ${ }^{-2}$ ) | 2.74722497 |  |
| Horizontal $\beta_{0}$ (m) | 2.47847713 | 2.292 |
| Vertical $\beta_{0}$ (m) | 1.57069436 | 1.580 |
| Horizontal $\beta_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.43633064 | 0.3862 |
| Vertical $\beta_{\mathrm{f}}$ (m) | 7.31096202 | 7.101 |
| Dispersion $\eta_{0}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 1.40917 | 1.290 |
| Dispersion $\eta_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.26754955 | 0.3055 |
| Synchro.Integral $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 2.55968069 |  |
| Synchro.Integral $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 9.88411480 |  |
| Synchro.Integral $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 15.89931656 |  |
| Synchro.Integral $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 3.93927893 |  |
| Synchro.Integral $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ | 4.59946020 |  |
| Mom. Compaction $\alpha$ | . 301015359 | . 32 |
| Energy Loss/turn $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ (keV) | 33.66 | 34.4 |
| Damped Energy Spread $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$ | $6.93 \times 10-4$ | $6.9 \times 10-4$ |
| Damped Emittance $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}$ (m-r) | $5.5520 \times 10-7$ | $7.17 \times 10-7$ |
| Damping Partition $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | . 60145354 | . 53 |
| Damping Partition $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | 2.39854646 | 2.47 |
| H-Damp. Time $\tau_{\mathrm{x}}$ (msec) | 1.98209140 | 2.16 |
| V-Damp. Time $\tau_{\mathbf{z}}$ ( msec ) | 1.19213590 | 1.15 |
| E-Damp. Time $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ (msec) | 0.49702431 | . 46 |

Table II: Lattice Parameters for SXLS COILNOV29 ORBIT results using TOSCA and ROY2 fit coefficients from TOSCA data

|  | ORB11(w/TOSCA) | ORB12(ROY2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{H}$ | 1.415 | 1.415 |
| $v_{V}$ | 0.40043464 | 0.39991641 |
| $\mathrm{B} \rho$ (T-m) | 2.32986495 | 2.32986384 |
| $\mathrm{I}(\pi / 2)(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.78078450 | 1.78078385 |
| $S_{Q}=\mathrm{KL}$ | 0.29021396 | 0.29019028 |
| $\beta_{\text {oil }}$ (m) | 2.47931038 | 2.47880288 |
| $\beta_{\mathrm{ov}}(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.60096606 | 1.60380121 |
| $\beta_{\mathrm{GI}^{\prime}(\mathrm{m})}$ | 0.43374180 | 0.43364157 |
| $\beta_{\mathrm{VV}}(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.36071701 | 7.36598389 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ | 1.41496 | 1.41498 |
| $V_{1 H}$ | -1.16857 | -1.16833 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{H}}$ | 2.10806 | 1.80049 |
| $v_{3 H}$ | -43.8374 | -48.9103 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{4 \mathrm{H}}$ | 147.023 | 799.899 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {SH }}$ | -24219.3 | -16337.2 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{~V}}$ | 0.400434 | 0.399916 |
| $V_{1 v}$ | 1.34504 | 1.34490 |
| $\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{~V}}$ | 4.25356 | 4.21889 |
| $V_{3 V}$ | 190.081 | 200.015 |
| $V_{4 V}$ | -6311.85 | -6084.37 |
| $V_{5 V}$ | 132570. | 119862. |



Figure 1: Plan View of Half SXLS


Figure 2: SXLS Coil Configuration


Figure 3a: Equilibrium Orbits for Various Quad Offsets.


Figure 4: Tune vs. Momentum Offset for Orbit \#1


Figure 5: $\beta, \eta$ and $\alpha$ functions about orbit \#3, $S_{F}=0$

