© 1989 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

HIGH FREQUENCY DEPENDENCE OF THE COUPLING IMPEDANCE FOR A LARGE NUMBER OF OBSTACLES¹

R.L. Gluckstern

Physics Department, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

Introduction

We have recently derived^{2,3} an integral equation for the axial electric field at the pipe radius in the presence of an azimuthally symmetric cavity of arbitrary shape in a beam pipe of circular cross section. We have further shown that the local average of the coupling impedance over frequency decreases as $k^{-1/2}$ for high frequency, essentially independent of the cavity shape. In another paper, we extend the derivation to several cavities and obtain the high frequency behavior for a periodic cavity. In this case the real part of the impedance per cell is shown to vary as $k^{-3/2}$, in agreement with Heifets and Kheifets⁵, and the imaginary part varies as k^{-1} , as required by causality.

In the present paper we analyze the case of N cavities and explore the high frequency behavior for large N, in an effort to understand the transition to a periodic structure. Not unexpectedly, the result depends critically on which of the limits (k $\rightarrow \infty$ or N $\rightarrow \infty$) is taken first.

Analysis

The starting point for the analysis is the integral equation obtained for the axial electric field in a single obstacle at the beam pipe radius.^{2,3} Specifically we have

$$\int_{0}^{g} dz' G(z') \left[\hat{K}_{p}(z - z') + \hat{K}_{c}(z', z) \right] = j \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{Z(k)}{Z_{o}} = \frac{1}{ka^{2}} \int_{0}^{g} dz \ G(z) \quad .$$
 (2.2)

Here kc/2 π is the frequency, a is the pipe radius, $z_{o} = 120\pi$ ohms is the impedance of free space, and the azimuthally symmetric obstacle, of general shape in the r,z plane, extends axially from z = 0 to z = g at the pipe radius r = a. Apart from a constant and the factor exp(jkz), G(z) is the axial electric field for r = a and 0 < z < g.

The modified "pipe" kernel, $\hat{k}_{p}^{}\left(u\right),$ has the form^{2}

$$\hat{K}_{p}(u) = \frac{2\pi j}{a} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e}{b_{s}} |u|/a$$

$$\approx \frac{2\pi j}{ka^{2}} \begin{cases} 0 , u < 0 \\ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} e^{juj_{s}^{2}/2ka^{2}} , u > 0 \end{cases}, (2.3)$$

where u = z - z', $b_s^2 = k^2 a^2 - j_s^2$, and where the last form in Eq. (2.3) is obtained by averaging over frequency, with the dominant contributuion coming from $1 << j_s << ka$. For $|u| << ka^2$, the sum over s can be converted to an integral, leading to

$$\hat{K}_{p}(u) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & , & u < 0 \\ (j - 1) \sqrt{\pi} & & \\ \hline a \sqrt{ku} & , & u > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad . \tag{2.4}$$

A similar analysis² for the "smoothed" high frequency limit of $\hat{K}_{c}(z',z)$ also leads to the same result, namely

$$K_{c}(z',z) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & , z' > z \\ \frac{(j-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{a\sqrt{k(z-z')}} & , z' < z \end{cases}$$
 (2.5)

The solution of Eq. (2.1) with the kernels in Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) then yields the "smoothed" high frequency limit for the impedance for a single obstacle:

$$\frac{z_{0}}{z_{(k)}} = z_{0}Y(k) = F_{0}(k), F_{0}(k) = \frac{(1 + j) \pi a \sqrt{\pi k}}{\sqrt{g}} . \quad (2.6)$$

For several obstacles, it is easy to see that Eq. (2.1) can be generalized to

$$\sum_{m} \int_{m} dz'_{m} G(z'_{m}) \left[\hat{K}_{p}(z_{n}^{-} z'_{m}) + \delta_{mn'c} (z'_{m'}, z_{n}) \right] = j , \quad (2.7)$$

where z'_{m} and z_{n} denote the variables z' and z within cavities m and n, and $\int_{m} dz'_{m}$ is over cavity m. The

coupling between different cavities occurs through the pipe kernels, whereas the cavity kernels are diagonal. If we now use the high frequency kernels in Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) for the diagonal terms and Eq. (2.3) for the pipe kernel in the coupling terms, it is clear that the only surviving contributions to the sum over m will be those for $z'_m < z_n$, that is $m \le n$. Specifically we obtain

$$\frac{2(1 + j) \sqrt{\pi}}{a \sqrt{k}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dt' G_{n}(t')}{\sqrt{t - t'}} +$$

$$+ \frac{2\pi \omega n - 1}{ka_{s=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{j(n-m)Lj_{s}^{2}}{2ka_{s}^{2}} \right) \int_{0}^{q} dt' G_{m}(t') = 1 , (2.8)$$

PAC 1989

where $z'_{m} = mL + t'$, $z_{n} = nL + t$, and where we assume

that we hav N identical cavities whose centers are spaced a distance L apart. We have also approximated $z_n - z'_m$ by (n - m)L in the non-diagonal terms, corresponding to the assumption NL >> g. The impedance is then

$$\frac{Z(k)}{Z_{o}} = \frac{1}{ka^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{g} dt \ G_{m}(t) \quad .$$
 (2.9)

Equation (2.8) can be simplified by writing

$$G_{n}(t') = \frac{(1 - j) a \sqrt{k}}{4\pi \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{t'}} y_{n} , \qquad (2.10)$$

leading to

$$y_{n} + \frac{(1 - j) \sqrt{g}}{a \sqrt{\pi k}} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} y_{m} \exp\left(\frac{j(n - m) Lj_{s}^{2}}{2ka^{2}}\right) = 1 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\frac{Z(k)}{Z_{0}} = \frac{(1 - j) \sqrt{g}}{2\pi a \sqrt{\pi k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y_{n} . \qquad (2.12)$$

Our task is to solve Eq. (2.11) for y_n and then use Eq. (2.12) to obtain the impedance. This can be facilitated by constructing the transform $w(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^n y_n$, in which case use of the convolution theorem leads to the solution

$$w(h) = \frac{h}{1 - h} \left[1 + \frac{(1 - j) \sqrt{g}}{2\pi a \sqrt{\pi k}} p(h) \right]^{-1} , \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$p(h) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} h^{\ell} e^{j\frac{2ka^{2}}{2ka^{2}}} \approx \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{h}{1 - h - j\frac{Lj_{s}^{2}}{2ka^{2}}} . (2.14)$$

The last form of Eq. (2.14) holds in the range $ka^2 \gg Lj_a^2$.

A simple approximation to $Z\left(k\right)$ in Eq. (2.12) for large N can be obtained by evaluating

w[exp(-1/N)] =
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e^{-n/N}$$
, (2.15)

where the exponential cut-off simulates the sum from n = 1 to N in Eq. (2.12). For $h \cong 1 - 1/N$, we find

$$w\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \cong N\left[1 + \frac{(1 - j)\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{\pi ka^{2}}} \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ N - j}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{L j^{2}}{s}}\right]^{-1}.$$
 (2.16)

Let us first consider the limit $N\to\infty$. In this case we can use $\sum_{S}^{\infty} j_{S}^{-2} = 1/4$ to evaluate the sum over $s{=}1$ s, to obtain

$$N Z_{O}Y(k) \cong \frac{(1 + j) \pi a \sqrt{\pi k}}{\sqrt{g}} + \frac{j\pi ka^{2}}{L}, \text{ large } N, \quad (2.17)$$

the result obtained earlier⁴ for a periodic structure. If instead, we assume that $1 << N << ka^2/L$, the sum over s can be converted to an integral over j from 0 to ∞ to give

$$N Z_{O} Y(k) \cong \frac{(1 + j) \pi a \sqrt{\pi k}}{\sqrt{g}} \left[1 + \frac{\sqrt{gN}}{\sqrt{\pi L}} \right] . \quad (2.18)$$

This limit corresponds to converting the sum over s to an integral in Eq. (2.11), leading to

$$y_n + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{y_m}{\sqrt{n-m}} = 1$$
 (2.19)

For large n, it is easy to show from Eq. (2.19) that the asymptotic form of \mathbf{y}_{n} is

 $y_n \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{gn}}$, (2.20)

leading to

$$N Z_{O} Y(k) \cong \frac{(1 + j) \pi a \sqrt{\pi N k}}{2 \sqrt{L}} . \qquad (2.21)$$

This result, which is more accurate than Eq. (2.18) for large N because it uses $\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{n}$ rather than

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e^{-n/N}$, suggests that Eq. (2.18) can be made more n=1

accurate by replacing the factor $gN/\pi L$ by gN/4L to obtain

N
$$Z_{O}Y(k) \cong \frac{(1 + j) \pi a \sqrt{\pi k}}{\sqrt{g}} \left[1 + \frac{\sqrt{gN}}{2\sqrt{L}}\right]$$
, large ka. (2.22)

This surprising result predicts that the impedance will vary as $N^{1/2}$ once N > L/g, and that the transition to the periodic result in Eq. (2.17) takes place when N > ka²/L.

Finally, we can obtain a reuslt which properly contains both limits by converting the sum over s in Eq. (2.16) to an integral over j with a lower limit on

 j_{s} chosen to retain the relation $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \ j_{s}^{-2} = 1/4$. In this way we obtain the relation

$$N Z_{O} Y(k) \cong F_{O}(k) + \alpha \sqrt{N-1} \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{N}} , \qquad (2.23)$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{(1 + j) a \sqrt{\pi k}}{\sqrt{L}} , \qquad (2.24)$$

which can easily be seen to give the limit in Eq. (2.17) as N >> ka^2/L and the limit in Eq. (2.22) for 1 << N << ka^2/L . The change to N-1 in Eq. (2.23) is made to give the correct limit when N=1.

We have repeated the analysis for a small obstacle, that is where kg ~ 1 even though kL >> 1. The entire analysis and final result in Eq. (2.23) are unchanged, except that $F_0(k)$ is now the <u>actual</u> single obstacle admittance. In the case kg << 1, Gluckstern and Neri⁶ have shown that

$$F_{O}(k) \approx 2\pi ka \left[-\frac{j}{k^{2}\Delta} + \sum_{\substack{s=1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-jb}s^{g/a}}{bs} + j\frac{2\ell n^{2}}{\pi} \right], \quad (2.25)$$

where Δ is the cross sectional area of the (small) pillbox.

Discussion

Equation (2.23) gives a result for the average impedance (admittance) for N equally spaced identical cavities at high frequency. The transition to the periodic result shows clearly when NL >> ka². In addition, Eq. (2.23) predicts that, for ka² >> NL the impedance will return to a k^{-1/2} dependence at high frequency, but with a coefficient which varies as N^{1/2} for large N, as given in Eq. (2.22). This has important implications where there are a large number of obstacles, and where conventional wisdom has up to now been to add impedances. We have checked this result by evaluating y_n numerically from Eq. (2.19).

In addition, we have allowed g/L and L to be different for each cavity and confirm numerically that the $N^{1/2}$ result does not depend on delicate phase cancellations. Moreover, we expect that the analysis for the transverse coupling impedance will be parallel, and therefore believe that our conclusions are correct at high frequency for multiple obstacles of any shape in a beam pipe of any cross section.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Filippo Neri for several helpful conversations, and John Diamond for performing the numerical calculations.

References

- 1. Work supported by the Department of Energy.
- R.L. Gluckstern, High Frequency Behavior of the Longitudinal Coupling Impedance for a Cavity of Arbitrary Shape, to be published in Physical Review D.
- R.L. Gluckstern and B. Zotter, The Coupling Impedance of Asymmetric Cavities, CERN-LEP-613, July 1988.
- R.L. Gluckstern, Longitudinal Impedance of a Periodic Structure at High Frequency, to be published in Physical Review D.
- S.A. Heifets and S.A. Kheifets, High Frequency Limit of the Longitudinal Impedance of an Array of Cavities, SLAC-PUB-4625 (1988).
- R.L. Gluckstern and F. Neri, Longitudinal Coupling Impedance of a Small Obstacle, companion paper, this Conference; see also R.L. Gluckstern, Longitudinal Coupling Impedance for Several Small Pillboxes, CERN Report LEP-TH/88-39, June 1988.