© 1987 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

BEAM APERTURE AND EMITTANCE GROWTH IN THE AGS-BOOSTER*

Zohreh Parsa

Accelerator Development Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, New York 11973

Abstract

We have developed analytical tools for calculating the variation of particle action, smear and emittance growth due to nonlinear elements in accelerators (with second order perturbation theory in two dimensions). Our results for the AGS-Booster is presented.

I. Introduction

We have examined the variations of the particle action, beam emittance, smear and perturbation to tune due to eddy currents, saturation and chromaticity sextupoles in the AGS-Booster. A brief overview of our theoretical development with second order perturbation theory in two dimensions is given in Section II and our analysis for the Booster is presented in Section III. Figures are also included.

II. Theory

We can study the variation of the beam emittance (emittance growth), perturbation to tune, etc. for a system described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{J_{X}}{\beta_{X}} + \frac{J_{Z}}{\beta_{Z}} + \frac{\lambda}{k^{2}} \frac{k}{\beta_{Z}} + \sum_{k=0}^{k} b_{k}(s) \beta_{X}^{\ell/2} \beta_{Z}^{\ell/2} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{k}(s) \beta_{X}^{\ell/2} \beta_{Z}^{\ell/2}$$

$$(1)$$

by using the Hamilton's equations and generating function:

$$G = K_{X}\phi_{X} + K_{Z}\phi_{Z} + \frac{5}{k} \frac{\frac{8k(K_{X}, K_{Z}, s)}{\sin\pi(n_{X}} \frac{\nu_{X} + n_{Z}}{\nu_{X}} \frac{\nu_{Z}}{k})}{k}$$

$$(2)$$

$$* \cos(n_{X}\phi_{X} + n_{Z}\phi_{Z} + \xi).$$

Where $(J_X, J_Z, \varphi_X, \varphi_Z)$ and $(K_X, K_Z, \Psi_X, \Psi_Z)$ are the old and new action-angle variables respectively; $b_{k} (s)$ are the generalized multipole strengths; $g_k (K_X, K_Z, s)$ are the generating function resonance strengths (whose magnitude measures the extent to which J_X and J_Z deviate from the invariants of the motion); n_X and n_Z are integers (defining a given resonance) and Θ is the phase. With the angle variables

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\partial G(K_{\mathbf{X}}, K_{\mathbf{Z}}, \phi_{\mathbf{X}}, \phi_{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{s})}{\partial K_{\mathbf{X}}} ; \quad \Psi_{\mathbf{Z}} = \frac{\partial G(K_{\mathbf{X}}, K_{\mathbf{Z}}, \phi_{\mathbf{X}}, \phi_{\mathbf{Z}}, \mathbf{s})}{\partial K_{\mathbf{Z}}}$$
(3)

and the tune defined as

$$\nu_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\Psi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{C}) - \Psi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{o})}{2\pi} , \quad \nu_{\mathbf{Z}} = \frac{\Psi_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{C}) - \Psi_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{o})}{2\pi}$$
(4)

we have obtained expressions for the perturbation to tune:

$$v_{\rm X} = v_{\rm X}^{\rm O} + 2 \alpha_{\rm XX} K_{\rm X} + \alpha_{\rm XZ} K_{\rm Z} + \dots$$
 (5)

$$v_{z} = v_{z}^{0} + 2 \alpha_{xz} K_{x} + 2 \alpha_{zz} K_{z} + \dots \quad (6)$$

where v_X^0 , v_Z^0 are the unperturbed tunes; $(\alpha_{XX}, \alpha_{XZ} \text{ and } \alpha_{ZZ} \text{ coefficients are given in Reference 2}). The amplitude dependence of the tune (due to sextupoles in the Booster) can be seen from Fig. 4, which also$

illustrates that with the perturbed tune and ordinary perturbation theory we obtain similar results to those obtained from superconvergent perturbation theory of the same order. Since if we had used the linear tune (used in canonical perturbation theory) we would not have seen the resonance conditions when on resonance, (more details will be given in Ref. 6). Furthermore, the action variables

$$J_{X} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi_{X}} = \frac{E_{X}}{2\pi} ; J_{Z} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi_{Z}} = \frac{E_{Z}}{2\pi}$$
(7)

are deduced from the generating function given by Eq. (2):

$$J_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{c}{k} & \frac{n_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{g_{k}}{g_{k}} (K_{\mathbf{x}}, K_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s})}{\operatorname{Sin}\pi(n_{\mathbf{x}} \nu_{\mathbf{x}} + n_{\mathbf{z}} \nu_{\mathbf{z}})} (- \operatorname{Sin}(n_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_{\mathbf{x}} + g_{\mathbf{x}}) \\ & k & k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{c}{k} & \frac{n_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{g_{k}}{g_{k}} (K_{\mathbf{x}}, K_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s})}{\operatorname{Sin}\pi(n_{\mathbf{x}} \nu_{\mathbf{x}} + n_{\mathbf{z}} \nu_{\mathbf{z}})} (- \operatorname{Sin}(n_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_{\mathbf{x}} + g_{\mathbf{x}}) \\ & k & k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(9)$$

from which the maximum emittance growth; (i.e. the estimate of the upper limit the emittance may grow to as long as the tunes are far from any resonances¹) can be found as:

_

$$E_{X} \leq 2\pi \left[K_{X} + \sum_{k} \frac{n_{X} g(K_{X}, K_{Z}, s)}{\sin\pi(n_{X} \nu_{X} + n_{Z} \nu_{Z})} \right]$$
(10)
$$E_{Z} \leq 2\pi \left[K_{Z} + \sum_{k} \frac{n_{Z} g(K_{X}, K_{Z}, s)}{\sin\pi(n_{X} \nu_{X} + n_{Z} \nu_{Z})} \right]$$
(11)

where the measure of the extent to which the emittance varies from the invariant of the motion¹,3 (called smear) is defined as

smear =
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Z}} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\prime} \rangle^{2} + \langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime} \rangle^{2}} & -1 \\ \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Additionally, the linear aperture \mathfrak{P}_{in} can be found using the initial beam emmitance E_0 and the maximum betatron amplitude β_{max} as; $a_{\ell in} = \sqrt{E_0/\pi} \beta_{max}$. In the next section, using the above expressions, we illustrate some of our results for the AGS-Booster.

III. AGS-Booster Lattice

We have investigated the effects of the nonlinear elements (e.g. sextupoles) in the AGS-Booster⁴,⁵ and will present our results (below) given the Booster operating tunes of $v_X^{=4}$.82, $v_Z^{=4}$.83 (periodicity = 6; circumference = 201.78 m; and an initial emittance $E_X^{\circ} = E_Z^{\circ} = 50 \pi$ mm-mrad; (for chromaticities $C_{x}=C_{z}=0$, -5 and -6). Our analytical results agrees quite well with those obtained from the tracking programs (ORBIT, PATRICIA and TEAPOT).

^{*} Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

i) For $C_X = C_Z = -5$; The perturbation to tunes are:

$$v_X = v_X^0 + 28.51156 E_X + 9.04346 E_2$$

 $v_Z = v_Z^0 + 9.04346 E_X + 15.00660 E_2$

With unperturbed tunes $v_X^{\circ} = 4.82$, $v_2^{\circ} = 4.83$ the perturbed tunes become $v_X = 4.821878$ and $v_Z = 4.831202$; with maximum total emittance of 108.159 m mm-mrad (at the 13th element). The linear aperture is maximum at this chromaticity (-5), with the beam size of about 27 to 28 mm.

ii) For $C_x = C_z = -6$; the perturbation of tunes are $v_x = v_x^0 + 52.46787E_x + 0.5410339E_z$

$$v_{\pi} = v_{\pi}^{0} + 0.5410339E_{x} + 9.361794E_{z}$$

with v_X^0 = 4.82, v_Z^0 = 4.83 the perturbed tunes become v_X = 4.822650 and v_Z = 4.830494 with maximum total emittance of 108.921 m mm-mrad (at 17th element);

iii) For C_X = C_Z = 0; the perturbation of tunes are v_X = v_X^0 + 21.51338E_X + -11.9981E_Z

 $\begin{array}{l} \nu_z = \nu_z^O + -11.99981 E_x + 104.3379 E_z \\ \text{and the perturbed tunes become} \\ \nu_x = 4.820476 \text{ and } \nu_z = 4.834616 \\ \text{with maximum total emittance} \\ 118.86511 \pi \text{mm-mrad} (at 7^{\text{th}} \text{ element}). \end{array}$

In our analytical analysis of the systematic resonances in the Booster we note that the $2v_X-2v_Z$ coupling becomes large when the chromaticity of the machine is corrected to zero. Smear plot shown in Fig. 1 illustrates this large coupling for chromaticities $C_X = C_Z = 0$.



Figure 1.

Figure 2 and 3 clearly shows that this coupling is greatly reduced at a chromaticity of about -5 to -6 as confirmed by tracking results. Figure 4 shows the amplitude dependence of the tune. In order to study this coupling further, we calculated the $2\nu_X - 2\nu_Z$ generating function resonance strength⁴, shown in Figure 5. We note that the resonance strength becomes minimum for chromaticities of about -5. This is the region where the chromaticity correcting sextupoles is minimum. Which agrees well with the tracking results. The perturbation to tunes are also smallest at chromaticities of about -5. Furthermore, we have considered and note the strength of the v_x and $v_x - 2v_z$ resonances since (although their bandwidth are large) their strength is also very large. Thus, making these resonances significant. We are further investigating the contribution of these resonances. Fig. 5 shows the strength of $2\nu_X{-}2\nu_Z,\;\nu_X$ and $\nu_X{-}2\nu_Z$ resonances versus chromaticity of the Booster in the presence of eddy currents and chromaticity sextupoles. Our results shows that although the $2v_X - 2v_z$ coupling becomes large (as seen in Fig. 1) when the chromaticity is corrected to zero, it does not lead to emittance growth, (since for the difference resonance, the sum of the emittances remain the same), also indicated by tracking results.







Since the goal for the Booster is to enable the acceleration of all species of heavy ions at the AGS (as well as to increase the ACS proton and polarized proton intensities), we must include the iron saturation effect of the magnet. Thus, in Fig. 6, we illustrate the $v_{\rm X}$ resonance strength versus the Booster chromaticity for the Booster lattice with saturation and chromaticity sextupoles.



Figure 7, shows the maximum total emittance as function of chromaticity for the Booster lattice in the presence of eddy currents and chromaticity sextupoles.

Conclusion

We have developed analytical expressions from which we calculated the perturbation to tune, emittance growth and the beam size for the AGS-Booster. Our results indicates that although $2v_{\rm X} - 2v_{\rm Z}$ coupling becomes large when the Booster chromaticity is corrected to zero, it does not lead to emittance growth, as confirmed by tracking results from ORBIT and PATRICIA. Further, we note the chromaticity range of -5.5 to -2.5 for the operation of the Booster. We note the beam size of 27 to 28 mm at chromaticity -5 (where the linear aperture seems to be minimum). Additionally, we showed the amplitude dependence of tune and illustrated that using ordinary perturbation theory with perturbed tune could produce results similar to those obtained from super-convergent perturbation theory of the same order.

References

- Z. Parsa, Accelerator Dynamics and Beam Apetures, BNL-38977, 1987; Z. Parsa, Analytical Method for Obtaining the Variation of the Beam Emittance Particle Action and Linear Aperture in Accelerators, 1986 Summer Study on the Physics of SSC, Snowmass, BNL-38735.
- Z. Parsa, S. Tepikian and E. Courant, Second Order Perturbation Theory for Accelerators, submitted to Particle Accelerators (1987); BNL-39262.
- Z. Parsa, Analytical Methods for Treatment of Nonlinear Resonances in Accelerators, Proc. of 1986 Summer Study on the Physics of SSC, Snowmass, Col, BNL-38734; E.D.Courant, R. Ruth and W.T.Weng, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 127, P.294, (1985); R.D. Ruth, SLCA-Pub. 3836(a), (1985); V.I. Arnold, Russ. Math, Surveys, 18,9 (1983).
- Z. Parsa, Chromatic Pertubation and Resonance Analysis for the AGS-Booster, this proceedings.
- 5. Z. Parsa, Second Order Perturbation in the AGS-Booster, this proceedings.
- Z. Parsa, S. Tepikian, Beam Behavior in Accelerators, (to be published).
- 7. Z. Parsa, Booster Parameter List, BNL-39311 and Design Manual (1986).
- * We thank Dr. E. Courant and S. Tepikian for discussions and assistance.