© 1985 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-32, No. 5, October 1985

ï

The Higher Order Modes Calculation of RF Cavity With Finite Element Method

X.W.Chu, W.Zhou, F.Xiang and H.Zhuang Zhejiang University Hangzhou,Zhejiang,China

Abstract

A previous paper has presented to calculate not only the fundamental and longitudical modes but also the transverse higher modes of RF cavity. But with this method higher modes are calculated one by one.

In this paper we present a finite element method using triangulated elements, six points quadratic interpolation for improvement. At first, we obtain a tridiagonal coefficient matrix with the Householder method, then calculate its eigenvalues using half-divided method. With this method we can calculate any order eigenvalues(and resonant frequency values) and the responding eigenvectors (and field distribution) of a mode group simultaneously at one time. This method is convenient, accurate and economical for computing time.

Introduction

To calculate the higher order modes with finite differentiation succesive over relaxation method, the higher order eigenvalues are solved with high accuracy, but the computing time is not economical because the higher modes are calculated one by one. Superfish method is popular, but it can evaluate longitudinal modes only and takes lots of computing time also.

This paper represents a finite element method to calculate the resonant frequencies and the field distribution of a symmetric RF cavity. The Householder method is used to form a tridiagonal matrix and then evaluate the eigenvalues with half-divided method. The essential advantage of this method is that any eigenvalues can be obtained simultaneously. It makes calculation convenient and economical for computing time. But, if we simply make linear interpolation on each triangular element, the accuracy is lower. In this paper the quadratic interpolation method is proposed. With this method the accuracy is improved obviously and the computing time is shortened. Practical computation proves that this method is worth being adopted.

The Solution of The Generalized Algebra Eigenvalue Problem

In this paper we use the finite element method in which the basic principle,fundamental equations and notations are the same as the previous paper (1).

To derive from the Hertz vector wave equation and the boundary condition, the equivalent variation problem can be obtained:

$$F(U) = \int_{S} \left[\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}} U^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right)^{2} \right] r dr dz$$
$$- \int_{S} K^{2} U^{2} r dr dz = \min.$$

U|_{r=0} 0 (for n±0) (for Γ not perpendicular to Z U|_r= 0 axis (TM mode), for Γ not parallel to Z axis (TE mode))

where **r** represents the surface of perfect conductor. Then the basic finite element equation can be obtained:

AU =
$$\lambda$$
 BU

A and B both are n order, symmetric and positive definite coefficient matrix.U is a column matrix consisted of the potential functions of n nodes. λ is the eigenvalue of the matrix, and relates with K: $\lambda = K^2$. Because matrix B is symmetric and positive definite, it can be resolved by a product of a lower triangle matrix L and its transposed matrix L^{T} by the square root method.

$$B = LL^{T}$$

Then $L^{-1}AL^{-T}L^{T}U = \lambda L^{T}U$
where $L^{-T} = (L^{-1})^{T}$.

Let $P = L^{-1}A L^{-T}$ and $X = L^{T}U$.

Then $PX = \lambda X$.

By means of this transformation, the problem of finding the generalized eigenvalue is then transferred to finding the general eigenvalue.

Since the matrix P is analogous to the matrix A, the eigenvalues of P are the same as the eigenvalues of A.

After orthogonal analogous transformation $(F^{T} * F^{-1})$ by n-2 times with the Householder method. Matrix P can be transferred to a symmetric tridiagonal matrix:

 $Q = FPF^{\tau}$.

And then the equation can be changed to:

 $FPF^{-1}FX = \lambda FX$.

Let Y = FX,

then $QY = \lambda Y$.

The half-divided method can be used to solve the eigenvalues of this tridiagonal matrix. After an approximate eigenvalue λ_i has been obtained, the accurate eigenvalue λ_i and the corresponding eigenvector can be obtained with the inverse power method. Under the circumstances of the λ'_i value being known, the convergence rate of this method is high, and any eigenvalues can be calculated according to the requirement.

Using the eigenvalue got above, the corresponding resonant frequency:

 $f = c \sqrt{\lambda} / 2 \pi$.

The field distribution can be obtained after transformating the eigenvector inversely for several times.

<u>Improving Accuracy With Triangular Element</u> <u>Six Points Quadratic Interpolation</u>

The linear interpolation on a triangular element is simple and convenient but the accuracy is not satisfied. For improving the accuracy the number of nodes may be increased but the computing time will be increased greatly. In this paper, six points quadratic interpolation on triangular element is adopted.

Taking six interpolation nodes on a triangular element, three apexes and three middle points, the following multinomial function can be used:

$U(r,z) = a_1 + a_2 r + a_3 z + a_4 r^2 + a_5 r z + a_6 z^2$

The surface integral can be obtained by numerical integration with area coordinates. With this improving method, the accuracy will be increased obviously even fewer elements.

The Results of Calculation

For checking the accuracy of calculation, we calculate an empty cylindrical cavity which can be calculated analytically in theory. For the sake of the economization of computing time, the field region is cut sparely, and the number of the nodes is not over 42. The calculating results with the quadratic interpolation method is still accordant with analytic solution fairly well.

In table 1, the resonant frequencies of variety of modes are presented, such as transverse modes and longitudinal modes, TE modes and TM modes, and modes of odd symmetry and of even symmetry. To compare the two methods, it is obvious that the quadratic interpolation method is more accurate.

With the quadratic interpolation method it takes no more than twenty minutes to calculate four modes at one time on a minicomputer Cromenco ${\rm I\!I}$.

From the calculating resuls, it proves that the quadratic interpolation method is effective and feasible.

	Analytic	Calculating value (MHz)		Calculating error	
Mode	solution(MHz)	Linear	Quadratic	Linear	Quadratic
		interpolation	interpolation	interpolation	interpolation
				•	
TMoio	3019.424	2850.104	3061.439	5.61x10 ⁻²	1.39X10 ⁻²
TMOIN	3738.828	3506.399	3790.028	6.22×10 ⁻²	1.57×10-2
TM of 2	5343.153	5057.562	5424.803	5.35×10 ⁻²	1.51×10 ⁻²
TM of 3	7270.095	6948.157	7393.156	4.43×10 ⁻²	1.67×10 ⁻²
TE off	5291.820	4861.295	5366.387	8.14x10 ⁻²	1.41×10 ⁻²
TE 013	8178.436	7594.823	8346.247	7.13×10-2	2.05×10 ⁻²
TE oft	9851.260	8663.227	9851.260	1.21×101	2.68×10 ⁻²
TM 110	4810.990		4813.887		6.02X10 ⁻⁴
TM 111	5292.577		5298.638		1.15×10 ⁻³
TM 212	7812.009		7851.206		5.02×10 ⁻³
TM 213	9237.134		9328.819		9.93×10 ⁻³
TE 114	9115-625		9215.642		1.09x10 ⁻²
TE 222	9502.539		9617.918		1.21x10 ⁻²
TE 113	7004.592		7030.134		3.73×10 ⁻³

Table 1. The Resonant Frequency of Cylindrical Cavity (D = 76 mm, L = 68 mm)

Table 2. The Field Distribution of TM 010 Mode

(D = 76 mm, L = 68 mm)

Calculated with linear interpolationr(mm)0.6331.2671.9002.5333.1673.800H_{\Phi}0.1960.3670.4980.5760.5760.520H_{\Phi}0.1550.4950.7330.8660.8660.797H_{\Phi}/H_{\Phi}0.8001.3401.4701.5001.5401.530

- $H_{\phi}: \infty J_{f}(\frac{U_{of}}{a}r)$, Analytic solution.
 - a = 3.8 mm.
- H₄: Calculating value.
- r : Distance from the axis Z.

Calculated with quadratic interpolation r(mm) 0.700 1.400 2.100 2.800 3.300 3.800 H 0.216 0.402 0.529 0.583 0.569 0.511 H 0.299 0.578 0.761 0.839 0.823 0.750 H /H 1.384 1.438 1.439 1.439 1.447 1.468

References

- 1. W.Zhou, X.W.Chu and M.D.Zhou, IEEE Trans. on NS, NS.30, No.4, August 1983, p.3627
- K.Halbach and R.F.Holsinger, Partical Accelerators, 1976, Vol. 7, p. 213-222