© 1981 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-28, No. 3, June 1981

SYNCHROTRON IMPROVEMENTS WITH SHED WAVEFORM*

S. Fenster, T. Dann, and A. Moretti Argonne National Laboratory 9700 S. Cass Avenue Argonne, IL. 60439

Summary

In synchrotrons for ion beam fusion one needs a small bucket area to limit the longitudinal emittance, a small synchrotron frequency to avoid synchrobetatron resonance, a large bunching factor to reduce space charge tune depression, and a high synchronous voltage to complete acceleration without ion-ion collisions. It is proposed to use a trigonometric series approxima-tion to a new "shed-like" waveform to replace the usual pure sine wave (fundamental). The shed waveform divides the interval $(0,2\pi)$ into two parts with a crossing in between. The right portion contains the particles and is linear, while the left portion is merely an "area dump." A fit to a shed with three properly phased sine waves is demonstrated to give almost as good results as the original. In the present application a fundamental frequency range 12.5 to 50.0 MHz is required. Four cavities and four rf systems are utilized to produce the fundamental and two harmonics up to 150 MHz. The frequency range limit of a cavity is imposed by properties of the ferrite, voltage requirement, and the operating frequency. Each cavity covers a factor of 3 in frequency. A "dropping down" scheme is described so that a single cavity may be used in more than one range.

Waveform and Bucket Calculations

Acceleration in a synchrotron is usually carried out by an electric field waveform which is a pure sine wave at a radian frequency $\omega_{rf} = h\omega_{rev}$. In choosing the a radian frequency $\omega_{rf} = h\omega_{rev}$. In choosing the synchronous phase one simultaneously determines the synchronous accelerating voltage V_s, the bucket area Abu, the bunching factor B_F, and the synchrotron period τ_y . Assuming that the bucket is eventually filled by nonlinearities, the final longitudinal emit-tance will be determined by the bucket area. The set of narameters is optimal when the effective pertion of of parameters is optimal when the effective portion of Remembering that the net area the wave is linear. under an ac wave must be zero, one sees that a shed-like waveform (Fig. 1) is indicated, with the slope of the shed made as small as practicality allows.

The differential equation for synchrotron oscillation is

$$\frac{hq}{\phi} + \frac{hq}{2\pi} \frac{nc}{R^2} \frac{hq}{A\chi\gamma} \left[V(\phi) - V(\phi_s) \right] = 0$$

See Table I for notation.

The variable canonically conjugate to φ is taken to be

$$W = \Delta T/\omega_{
m RF} = - A_{\chi\gamma} R^2 e \phi/h^2 \eta c$$
 .

It is convenient to introduce the "potential"

$$G(\phi) = \int_{\phi_c}^{\phi} \left[V(\phi) - V(\phi_s) \right] d\phi ,$$

which has a trough with minimum at φ_{c} flanked by two peaks at ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 where

$$V(\phi_1) = V(\phi_2) = V(\phi_s)$$

The buc tories

ket extends from
$$\phi_1$$
 to ϕ_2 . Phase plane trajecmay be labeled by G_0 and are given by

Work supported by U. S. Department of Energy.

$$W = \frac{\text{qeR}}{h} \left(\frac{A}{q} \frac{\chi \gamma}{\pi h \text{ nc}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(G_{0} - G(\phi)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 depend on G₀. The maximum G₀ for stable motion is denoted by G_m and the conventional notation is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \int_{\phi_{1m}}^{\phi_{2}m} (G_{m} - G(\phi)) d\phi ;$$

the bucket area is given by

$$\frac{1}{e} h A_{bu} = 8\sqrt{2} \alpha R q \left(\frac{A\chi\gamma}{\pi q h \eta c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

The bunching factor is the ratio of average to peak particle density. For uniformly filled buckets the average particle density equals some constant times the area divided by the length $A_{\text{bu}}/2\pi\,,$ while the peak density equals the same constant times the peak height of the bucket

$$2W_{\rm m} = \frac{2 q e R}{h} \left(\frac{A_{\rm XY}}{\pi q h_{\rm NC}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(G_{\rm m} - G_{\rm min}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Then $B_F = A_{bu}/4\pi W_m$.

The period of oscillation of the trajectory G_0 is

$$\tau_{y} = 2R \left(\frac{\pi A_{XY}}{hq nc}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \left[G_0 - G(\phi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] d\phi \rightarrow$$

with tune $v_1 = 2\pi/\omega_{rev} \tau_y$.

A shed waveform is defined on $(\phi_2 - 2\pi, \phi_2)$ as

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & - & 2V_1 & \phi_2 & - & 2\pi < \phi < \phi_1 \\ V(\phi) & = & & & \\ & & V_1 & + & V' & (\phi - \phi_2) & \phi_1 < \phi < \phi_1 & , \end{array}$$

with $\phi_S = 1/2 (\phi_1 + \phi_2)$. If $\Delta V = V(\phi_1) - V(\phi_2)$, we find from

$$\int_{\phi_2-2\pi}^{\phi_2} V(\phi) d\phi = 0 ,$$

that

$$\phi_2 - \phi_1 = \frac{2\pi}{3} \cdot \frac{1 + \Delta V/2V_s}{1 + \Delta V/3V_s}$$

The shed waveform is approximated by

$$V(\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (A_n \cos n\phi + B_n \sin n\phi)$$

Application

This problem arose in connection with the proposed Argonne National Laboratory Beam Development Facility² where the synchrotron has parameters:

q = 8 A = 131.3 R = 25 h = 109

 $\beta_{in} = .0602$ $\beta_{out} = 0.383$ $n_{in} = 1.0$ $n_{out} = 0.857$

 $V_s = 33 \text{ keV}$

The set (A_n, B_n) was chosen by cut-and-try beginning with the trigonometric series with N = 2, 3, 4 for a shed. Case N=3 was much better than N=2, while N=4 showed no significant improvement over N=3, so we list only the latter case in Table II.

Figure 1 shows the maximum stable buckets for the waveforms described by a fundamental and a shed. The functions $V(\phi)$ for the fundamental-plus-two harmonics and for the shed are shown in Fig. 2a, with the corresponding buckets in Fig. 2b. In Table II the synchrotron tune v_1 is given for the case $G_0 = 0.5 \ G_m$.

RF System

We have designed a system using three ferrite loaded cavities to produce a three harmonic accelerating waveform for about 50% of the acceleration cycle (Fig.3). It is also capable of producing two harmonics at reduced levels for 71% of accelerating cycle and one harmonic for the remainder of cycle. Studies have shown that it is not necessary to carry these harmonics the full acceleration cycle. Improved bucket area and bunching factors are maintained if three-harmonics are applied for about 50% of the cycle. Other cavities could be added as required, however, at increased cost and complexity. The cavity design itself is similar to the FNAL booster cavity design.³ It uses 6 side attached ferrite tuners per cavity, with two symmetric about center accelerating gaps. The cavity is driven at the center by a directly attached rf amplifier. There will be one amplifier for each cavity. Table III shows the parameters of the cavities using representative ferrites. Reverse ferrite biasing at the beginning of cycle is required in cavity #3 to achieve the large frequency swing. Reverse bias could be used in the other cavities to achieve improved performance.

Table I. Notation

- h = harmonic number
- q = charge state
- η = momentum compaction factor
- R = ring radius
- m_n = proton mass
- $\chi = m_p c/e$
- γ = relativistic factor
- A = atomic weight
- $B_r = bunching factor$

	Fundamental	Shed	Fund. $+ 2(A)$	Fund. $+ 2(B)$
$\frac{1}{e}$ hA	4.341 ev-sec	1.815	1.838	1.287
BF	0.3231	0.4810	0.4156	0.4018
v_1	0.120	0.0217	0.0326	0.2068
Aı	0	X	-8.863 kV	-8.024
Bı	66 kV	X	56.09	55.18
A ₂	0	x	30.29	29.80
B ₂	0	X	6.731	6.622
A₃	0	X	2.104	2.207
B ₃	0	X	-8.274	-8.278
∆v/v	X	0.2	X	X
φ _c	300	300	27.5°	30 ⁰

Table II. Buckets and Waveforms

Note: $V_s = 33 \text{ kV}$

 $V(\phi) = (A_n \cos n_{\phi} + B_n \sin n_{\phi})$

		TABLE III.	<u>Cavity Parameters</u>		
Cavity #	Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Voltage (kV)	Cavity rf Power (kW)	Cavity Length (m)	Ferrite Type
1	12.5 - 40	56.1	53	0.77	M4C21A
2	25.0 - 80	56.8	110	0.40	M4C _{21A}
3	37.5 - 112.5	8.6	5	0.76	M4D _{21A}

References

- R. Gram and P. Morton, SLAC Report TN-67-30. (December 1967).
- R. L. Martin, "Argonne's Program in Heavy Ion Fusion," 1980 CERN Particle Accelerator Conference, Geneva, Switzerland (1980).
- J. A. Dinkel, Q. A. Kerns, L. A. Klaisner, and G. S. Tool, "NAL Booster and Storage Ring RF Systems," IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Sci.,Vol. NS-16, No.3, p. 510 (June 1969).



Fig. 1 Buckets for Fundamental-Only vs Shed.



Fig. 2 Waveforms and Buckets for Shed vs Fundamental-Plus-2 Harmonics.



Fig. 3 Frequency Profile (Note: numbers refer to cavities)

١.