© 1979 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-26, No. 3, June 1979

## COLLECTIVE ION ACCELERATION IN THE VACUUM

## SYSTEM WITH INSULATED ANODE.

V.M.Bistritsky, A.N.Didenko, Ya.E.Krasik, V.S.Lopatin, V.I.Podkatov

# Summary.

Experiments are described of ion acceleration in diode with insulated anode mounted on two E-beam generators that deliver 2kJ and 1 kJ of energy in 1 MeV;50 ns and 0.35 MeV,80 ns pulses accoraingly. The maximum yield of protons  $10^{14+3.10^{13}}$  with energy in  $(1.9 \div 2.7)$ MeV range were measured with accompanying electron beam current 18 kA and electron energy 0.8 MeV on 2 kJ maching. The suppres-sion of charging prepulse by inserting a dielectric piece in cathode terminal on it resulted in increased ion energy up to 5 MeV and total yield of protons with energy in

the (2.7 + 5) MeV region up to  $10^{13} \pm 3.10^{12}$ . By application of several (from 1 to 3) post anode electrodes on 1 kJ machine the energy of ions were increased up to 4.2 MeV, which corresponds to (E/E) =14.Reproducibili-

ty and effectiveness of acceleration processes were investigated.

### Introduction.

Besides well known methods of the ion acceleration in relativistic electron beams drif-ting in neutral gases <sup>1</sup> new approaches of ion acceleration are in progress now at se-veral laboratories<sup>2,3</sup>. The simplicity of applied technique and rapid successes in at-taining high ion yields and energies stimulate further investigations in these directions.

Experimental. We have carried some experiments on collective ion acceleration on the device similar

to one proposed in <sup>2,3</sup> using two E-beam ma-chines that delivers 2 kJ and 1 kJ of energy in 1 MeV,50 ns and 0.35 MeV,80 ns pulses.Our generators differed from those used in mentioned above works by long pulse rise time (20 ns) and absence of prepulse switch, suppressing the microsecond duration pulse du-ring the charging phase. To investigate the influence of these factors on effectiveness of acceleration processes a lot of experi-ments were fulfilled on modified accelerator guns, in which suppression of prepulse and some shortening of current rise time were attained by insertion insulators-caprolon pieces (L=5+9cm) between the needle tungsten cathode and shank. In first experimental run the electron beam from 2 mm in diameter tun-gsten needle was injected through the central hole in the insulated anode (fabricated from polyethylen, caprolon, teflon and plexyglass) in the vacuum chamber 300 mm in dia-meter and 300 mm in length and impinged on the target grounded through the resistor of the Faraday cup (F.c.) or directly. The plas-ma, generated on the anode surface due to the bombardment of electrons and surface break-Institute of Muclear Physics P.O.Box 25 Tomsk- 50, USSR.



Fig. 1 The scheme of experimental setup with electrodes assembly mounted on 1 kJ machine.

down was the source of ions. In another series of experiments on 1 kJ E-beam generator

additional floating and grounded (alterna-tively) electrons in post-anode region were established (see fig. 1). The measurements of ion-electron beam was made by F.c. (R= 50 m $\Omega$ ) on which litium or multilayered copper foils ( 20 mkm ) were a justed. The total gun current and voltage ajusted. The total gun current and voltage were measured by shunt ( $R=0.11\Omega$ ) and ca-pacity voltage divider. The total number of protons was measured by neutron yield in the threshold reactions Li7(p,n)Be7 and Cu63,65

 $(p,n)Zn^{63,65}$ . The neutrons were detected by silver activation counter situated at 70° angle to the direction of the beam. The energy of protons was determined by the threshold reactions (registration of two annihilation gamma-quants from decay of

Zn<sup>63</sup> on standard coincidence scheme ), time of flight ( TOF ) method ( 30 cm base ), and, in several runs - by foil rangr spectrometer adjusted to the vacuum chamber instead of F. c. The photos of the rear anode luminous region were taken by open shutter camera. The measurements of electron range in

the copper foil targets gave the average value 800 keV for 2 kJ machine and 330 keV for 1 kJ one.

Results.

Briefly the main results are following: 1. In the system anode-grounded target the electron-ion beam was drifting over the distance up to 300 mm with spreading  $\approx 3^{\circ}$ . This fact withnessed about high degree of charge neutralisation ( $2 + 3/\gamma 2 \leq f_e \leq 1$ ).

The current on F.c. were in the range of (18 + 25) kA.

2. In the experiments carried on 2 kJ machine without an insulator piece between the cathode and cathode shank the maximum energy of accelerated ions did not attain the energy of experimentally established threshold of Cu<sup>65</sup>(p,n)Zn<sup>65</sup> reaction. The maximum yield of neutrons in the reaction  $Li^{7}(p,n)Be^{7}$  was N = 1.2.10<sup>9</sup>. This amount cor-

responds to the proton number  $N_p = 10^{14+}_{-} 3$ . .10<sup>13</sup> in the region (1.9 + 2.7) MeV. The typical pulses from F.c. with cen-tral hole in it for TOF measurements, diode voltage divider, X-ray detector and TOF probes are presented on fig. 2. From the shape of TOF-probes signals we conclude that protons were accelerated in several bunches du-



Fig. 2. Typical pulses from various electron-ion diagnostics: a.Voltage divider; b.F.c. signal; c.X-ray signal; d, e. TOF signals. ring 30 ns. The amplitude of ion current in bunches attained 4.0 kA accompanied by 18 kA of electron current.

Using datas of TOF method and rangespectrometer measurements on 1 kJ machine we calculated the moments of the beginning of ions acceleration. On fig. 3 the values of these moments are given for several E<sub>i</sub>/E<sub>e</sub>.

These results are consistent with F.c. datas In these experiments we investigated the

E<sub>i</sub>/E<sub>e</sub> dependence v.s. anode-rear target dis-

tance.( see fig. 4 ).
3. Suppression of charging prepulse on 2 kJ machine and shortening of the current r rise time due to insertion of insulator piece in the cathode resulted in increased proton energy up to 5 MeV with the maximum neutron yield in the reactions  $Cu^{63}, \frac{65}{(p,n)} 2n^{63}, \frac{65}{N_p} = 1.5 \cdot 10^8$ , which cor-

responds to total proton number N<sub>p</sub> = $10^{134}$   $3.10^{12}$  with the energy in the range 2.7 + 5) MeV. These values are consistent with the datas from range-prostructed and more the datas from range-spectrometer and TOF measurements.

4. Insertion of several post-anode elec-trodes (from one to three) with alterna tive or all grounded on 1 kJ E-beam generator resulted in increased energy up to 4.2 MeV ( see fig. 5 ). The reproducibility was poor and the to-



Fig. 3. Gistogramms of : a. Times of E-beam arriving on the F.c.; b. Times of ion acceleration beginnings for three different groups of energy. The zero-time corresponds to the beginning of pulse on voltage divider





/E;  $\left( E_{\rho} \right)$ a the second sec 1 2 3 N



tal proton and neutron yields varied from shot to shot up to two orders. As a rule the highest neutron yield were detected in the shots with negligible degree of anode destruction and best reproducibility - when using the caprolon as anode's material. It was suggested that further develop-

ment of this simple and effective method of ion acceleration depend upon solving the problem of active control over insulator sur-face breakdown. We are now preparing experiments in which anode-dielectric breakdown is controlled by the closure of pressurised gas switch inserted between the anode and grounded vessel.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our appreciations

- to Dr.Arbuzov A. for his aid in experimental runs. Also we would like to thank Dr.Usov Yu.P. for helpful discussions.
- References. 1. S.E.Graybill and J.R.Uglum, J.Appl.Phys., S.E.Graybill and J.R.Uglum, J.Appl.Phys., v:41,236,(1970).
   R. B.Miller and D.S.Straw, IEEE Trans.Nucl. Sci., v.NS-22, n.3, 1022,(1975).
   J.S.Luce, Annals of Sci.; v.251,(1975).
   G.T.Zorn, H.KimC.N.Boyer.IEEE Trans.Nucl. Sci.; v:NS-22,1006,(1975-).
   V.M.Bistritsky, Yu.Usov, Instr.Tech.Exp., 3, 42,(1976),USSR.