IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-26, No. 3, June 1979

## A MINUS-I QUADRUPOLE SYSTEM FOR CONTAINING ABERRATION-CORRECTION OCTUPOLES*

## Stanley Fenster ${ }^{\dagger}$

## Abstract

Octupoles may be used to correct the third order spherical aberration of quadrupole transport systems. Crosstalk in the coupling of an octupole placed at a given point causes it to add a term with the wrong sign in the $y$-channel if it has the right sign in the $x$-channel, thus severely reducing efficiency. It is of ten convenient to utilize a special correcting section insertion which is seen as a +I transfer matrix by the first order focussing. Within point-to-point thin lens optics we give two-parameter systems with 16 magnets having locations with large $S_{x}$ where $S_{y}=0$ and vice versa for octupole placement.

The problem is to set up a -I quadrupole system which contains two special locations. At the first, $S_{x}$ is large and $S_{y}$ is zero; while at the second the reverse is true. ${ }^{Y}$ Octupoles are placed at these locations and make corrections free of channel crosstalk. The layout is:
-I System

Section 1
subsection $1 A$
part 1 Aa
part 1 Ab
subsection $1 B$
part 1 Ba
part 1 Bb

Section 2
subsection 2A part 2Aa
part 2Ab
subsection 2B
part 2Ba
part 2Bb
The basic module for the lAa part is a five element OFODO sequence of drift spaces and thin lenses and part lAa consists of two such modules notated in English and Greek respectively as

$$
\text { 1Aa: } \underbrace{\sum \mathrm{PmQn}}_{\substack{\text { one module } \\
1 \mathrm{Aa}}} \underbrace{\lambda \pi \mu \tau v}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { one module } \\
1 \mathrm{Ab}
\end{array}}
$$

For example, the second element (P) after the source has transfer matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-p & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the X-chamel. Each module is one of the modes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{PP}=\text { point-to-point } & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 0 \\
x & x
\end{array}\right) R_{12}=0 \\
L P=\text { parallel-to-point } & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x \\
x & x
\end{array}\right) R_{11}=0 \\
P L=\text { point-to-parallel } & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x \\
x & 0
\end{array}\right) R_{22}=0 \\
L L=\text { parallel-to-parallel } & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & x \\
0 & x
\end{array}\right) R_{21}=0
\end{array}
$$
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For the present model we have chosen

| $X_{1 A}$ |  |  | PL | and | LP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Y_{1 A}$ |  |  | $P P$ | and | LL |
| $X_{1 A a}$ | LL | $Y_{1 A a}$ | LP | and | PL |
| $X_{1 A b}$ | LP | $Y_{1 A b}$ | LP | and | PL |

This decomposition makes the problem tractable because the one LL zero of $X_{1,}$ and the two (PL and LP) zeroes of $Y_{1 A a}$ are easy to solve. By matrix multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{LP} \text { and } \mathrm{PL}) \cdot(\mathrm{LP} \text { and } \mathrm{PL}) & =\mathrm{PP} \text { and } \mathrm{LL} \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1 \mathrm{Aa}} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{1 \mathrm{Aa}} & =\mathrm{Y}_{1 \mathrm{~A}} \\
\mathrm{LP} \cdot \mathrm{LL} & =\mathrm{LP} \\
\mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{Ab}} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{Aa}} & =\mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{Y}_{1 A}$ is automatically PP and LL, while $\mathrm{X}_{14}$ needs to have one condition satisfied ( $\left.\mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}\right)_{22}=0$ ) to become LP and PL. We should also like to specify, at the end of subsection 1A, the value of $S_{x}\left(=\left[X_{1 A}\right]_{12}\right)$. A count shows that $3+3+1+1=8$ restrictions have been put on the 10 parameters in the two modules of 1 Aa leaving two ( mQ and $\pi \mu$ ) free. One finds, with notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=m Q \\
& b=\pi \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell=\frac{b\left(1-a^{2}\right)\left(2-b^{2}\right) S_{x}}{(1+b)\left(1-b^{2}\right)\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)} \\
& P=\frac{\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)(1+b)}{2 a b(1+a)\left(2-b^{2}\right) S_{x}} \\
& m=\frac{2 a^{2} b\left(2-b^{2}\right) S_{x}}{\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)(1+b)} \\
& Q=\frac{\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)(1+b)}{2 a b\left(2-b^{2}\right) S_{x}} \\
& n=\frac{b\left(2-b^{2}\right)(1+2 a) S_{x}}{\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)\left(1-b^{2}\right)(1+b)} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\left(1-b-b^{2}\right) S_{x}}{(1+b)^{2}(1+a)} \\
& \pi=\frac{(1+b)(1+a)}{b S_{x}} \\
& \pi=\frac{b^{2} S_{x}}{(1+a)(1+b)} \\
& \pi(1-b) S_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=\frac{(1-b) S}{1+a} x \\
& S_{y}=\left(Y_{1 A}\right)_{12}=\frac{b(1+a)\left(2-b^{2}\right) S_{x}}{\left(1-b^{2}\right)\left(1+a+2 a^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{X}$ is the peak of the $X$-channel sinelike function. The system allows all a, b choices within the limits

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<a<1 \\
& 0<b<\frac{\sqrt{5-1}}{2}=0.618034
\end{aligned}
$$

to keep all drift distances positive.
For a trial system it was found

$$
\left(S_{x}\right)_{\max }=\left(S_{y}\right)_{\max }=30 \mathrm{~m}
$$

was adequate to keep the octupole strength near $1 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$. As an example we have chosen

$$
a=0.85 \quad b=0.20
$$

In an attempt to get a small total length

$$
\mathrm{L}=4(\ell+\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}+\lambda+\mu+\nu) .
$$

We find (MKS units)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\ell=0.85973117 & \lambda=8.5585586 \\
P=0.10263132 & \pi=0.37000000 \\
m=4.4767956 & \mu=0.54054056 \\
Q=0.18986795 & \tau=0.38541667 \\
\mathrm{n}=8.36494947 & v=12.972973
\end{array}
$$

The resulting cosinelike and sinelike trajectories are listed below

| s | $C_{x}$ | $S_{x}$ | $C_{y}$ | $S_{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.85973117 | 1.0 | 0.8597311 | 1.0 | 0.85973117 |
| 5.3365268 | 0.54054056 | 4.9415152 | 1.459 | 5.7315382 |
| 13.701476 | 0.54054056 | 20.416672 | 0.0 | 5.7315382 |
| 22.260035 | 0.54054056 | 36.250005 | -1.4932394 | 5.7315382 |
| 22.800575 | 0.43243243 | 30.000000 | -1.8861971 | 6.8778458 |
| 35.773548 | 0.0 | 130.000000 | -1.8861971 | 0.0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1 A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 30 \\
\frac{-1}{30} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& Y_{1 A}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1.8861971 & 0 \\
0 & -0.53016733
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Octupoles are placed at 1 A and 2A. A simflar type of problem was solved for a beam rotator.

Alternate solutions are possible. One needs to consider only subsection 1 A , which is divided into parts $1 \mathrm{Aa}, 1 \mathrm{Ab}$, and repetitions as specified above. A part a or $b$ may contain two or three magnets. There are sixteen cases, based on the following matrix multiplication table:

| $P L \cdot L P=L L$ | $L L \cdot P L=P L$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $P L \cdot P P=P L$ | $L L \cdot L L=L L$ |
| $L P \cdot P L=P P$ | $P P \cdot L P=L P$ |
| $L P \cdot L L=L P$ | $P P \cdot P P=P P$ |

In the list below, $N$ indicates the number of magnets per module. The example ${ }_{2}$ given above corresponds to the first line of this list.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}X_{A b} & X_{A a} & Y_{A b} & Y_{A a} & N_{A b} & N_{A a} & X_{A}\end{array} Y_{A}$
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