HIGH LUMINOSITY 100 TeV PROTON - ANTIPROTON COLLIDER

Sandra J. Oliveros John Acosta, Lucien Cremaldi, Terrence Hart, Don Summers



University of Mississippi - Oxford

NAPAC2016

Introduction

- ★ With the discovery of the Higgs boson exploration will continue to search for beyond the standard model (BSM) physics.
- **★** The energy scale for new physics is known to be in the multi-TeV range.
- ★ A 100 TeV proton-antiproton collider is proposed with luminosity of 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹, and 200 km circumference ring using 8 Tesla NbTi magnets.
- Advantages in pp collision are presented, such as higher cross sections for high mass production and synchrotron radiation reduction.
- ★ Key aspects to achieve high luminosity include increasing p̄ momentum acceptance, in a Fermilab-like antiproton source, and more antiproton cooling.



Why a Proton-Antiproton Collider?

- Proton antiproton colliders have shown to be competitive: CERN (W & Z bosons), Fermilab (Top quark).
- ★ The cross section for pp̄ collisions is greater than in pp collisions for high masses. Antiquarks for production can come directly from an antiproton, so detector pileup is reduced.
- ★ Scaling to a 200 km pp̄ ring, the SR is reduced from 35 to 1.75 W/m compared with a 100 km ring.
- ★ Higher cross section reduces the synchrotron radiation: lower beam current can produce the same rare events rates.
- ★ A pp̄ collider only requires one ring instead of the two needed for a pp collider.



Figure 1. Feynman diagrams for W' production in (a) $q\overline{q}$ collision, and (b) qq collision (t channel).



Figure 2. *W'* cross section as a function of the mass using pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions with $E_{cm} = 100$ TeV.



Tevatron (1987-2011)



Fermilab Antiproton Source

a) Target Station:

~38 x 10¹⁰ p̄/hr antiprotons (8.9 GeV) are created.



b) Debuncher Ring:

- Antiprotons are stochastically precooled during 2.2 s.
- > Emittance reduction of 300 to 30 μ m.

c) Accumulator Ring:

- Store the cooled \bar{p} 's (Stacking rate of 25 × $10^{10} \bar{p}$ /hr).
- Additional stochastic cooling is done.
- Emittance reduction of 30 to 3 μ m.

d) Recycler Ring:

- > Additional \bar{p} 's storage (up to 600 x 10¹⁰)
- Stochastic and electron cooling.



How to get a Luminosity of 10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹





$$L_{scaled} = E_{increased} \times f_{decreased} \times \beta^*_{factor} \times L_{current}$$
$$= \frac{50 \, TeV}{0.98 \, TeV} \times \frac{6.28 \, km}{200 \, km} \times 2 \times (3.4 \times 10^{32} \, cm^{-2} s^{-1})$$
$$= 1.1 \times 10^{33} \, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

10x bunches (10 x 36) to achieve a luminosity of 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹

$$\overline{P}_{Burn rate} = \sigma L = 540 \text{ x } 10^{10}/\text{hr}$$

(σ = 153 mbarn, total proton/antiproton cross section for E_{cm} = 100 TeV)

The Fermilab Debuncher cooled ~40 x $10^{10} \overline{p}/hr$, **12x more antiprotons are needed to keep up the burn rate**

β^* in the Interaction Region





- Using Mad-X the β^* is fixed and the the Tevatron Inner Quadrupole System is scaled in order to get $\beta_{x,max} = \beta_{y,max}$
- Nb₃Sn quadrupoles are required (~13 T)
- Quadrupoles Aperture = 40 mm
- More central $p\overline{p}$ events allow shorter detector and low β^* .



Beta values (β_x, β_y) are plotted as a function of the coordinate s.



Physics Student Research Symposium

How to get 12x more Antiprotons

- In Fermilab only antiprotons with momentum acceptance of 8.9 GeV/c ± 2% were selected.
- The goal is to collect more antiprotons: 11 GeV/c ± 24%.
- Disperse the beam into 12 different momentum channels.

Beam Separation and transportation:

- The initial beam (11 GeV/c ± 24%) enters the 18.6 cm Li Lens, and then is spread by a magnetic dipole.
- To divide the beam: an electrostatic septa ES and two magnetic septa MS to increase the separation.
- > FQ and DQ quadrupoles to transport the beam.



Momentum distribution of the antiprotons produced by a 120 GeV proton beam hitting a tungsten target. (*C. Hojvat and A. Van Ginneken, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. 206, 67(1983))*

Momentum Distribution



12 Different Momentum Channels

An initial beam with momentum acceptance $p = 11.0 \text{ GeV/c} \pm 24\%$ is divided to get finally 12 beams with momentum acceptance of about $\pm 2\%$.



NAPAC2016

6/12

Antiproton Cooling

Beam Cooling: Reduce the energy spread and angular divergence of the beam.

Debuncher/momentum equalizer ring

- Each Debuncher phase rotates the beam to lower the momentum spread and also ramp the beam central momenta up or down to 8.9 GeV/c.
- The central momenta of all 12 channels would be equalized.

Accumulator Ring

- Two 25 x 10¹⁰ p̄/hr accumulator rings can keep up with one 40 x 10¹⁰ p̄/hr debuncher output rate.
- Antiprotons are cooled 2x faster.



To cool 12x more antiprotons, 12 independent cooling systems would be implemented.



Antiproton Cooling

Electron Cooling Ring

- Electrons can cool large numbers of low emittance antiprotons in one ring.
- > Electron cooling rate increases as $\gamma^{-2}\eta$, where η is the ring fraction occupied by electrons.
- > Lowering γ by a factor of **3** and increasing ring fraction by **10**, cooling rate would increase by **90** versus what was achieved at FNAL.





100 TeV pp collider Layout



- Fermilab has the advantage of existing infrastructure.
- Injector ring could be built first and used as a collider. The 50 TeV ring would be an upgrade.

In Texas (USA)



- 200 km collider ring connected to the SSC (Superconducting Super Collider) existing tunnel (~45% complete).
- Texas has a homogeneous soft rock composition allowing rapid and cheaper tunnel boring.



Tunneling and Magnets Costs

Tunneling costs for 4 m diameter tunnel*

Tunneling-time estimate

| | Cost/m | 200 km tunnel | |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------|
| CERN (Molasse/limestone) | \$39,000 | 100 km limit | LEP |
| FERMILAB | \$15,000 | \$3 billion | Channel Tunnel |
| (Dolomite) Texas | \$6,000 | \$1.2 billion | 200 km Tunnel (Texas) |
| (Chalk/marl) | | | t Pock in Texas is fast |

| | Length (km) | Volume of rock (million m ³) | Time (years) | Tunneling Machines |
|--------------------------|----------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------|
| LEP | 27 | 0.3 | 4 | 3 |
| Channel Tunnel | 3 x 50 | 5.6 | 6 | 11 |
| 200 km Tunnel (Texas) | 200 | 2.5 | 3† | 4 |

* M. Breidenbach and W. Barletta, ESS-DOC-371

Rock in Texas is faster to boring ~45 m/day based on SSC tunneling rates (P. McIntyre - Texas A&M University)

Main Dipole Magnets Cost

- 3 or 4.5 T superferric magnets use about half as much NbTi per T/m as 8 T cosθ magnets.
- A 100 Km collider (100 TeV) requires expensive Nb₃Sn 16 T magnets

| | Energy (TeV) | Dipole Field (T) | Dipole Cost (T-m) | Total Cost estimate |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| Injector | 20 | 3 | \$1000 (Superferric magnet) | \$0.42 Billion |
| Collider Ring | 50 | 8 | \$2000 (LHC single aperture magnet) | \$2.2 Billion |

* \$0.5M / magnet x 1232 magnets = \$0.6 billion (LHC)



Summary

- ★ A high luminosity 100 TeV proton-antiproton collider is a competitive option as a future collider.
- ★ This collider reduces synchrotron radiation and pile up as compared to a 100 TeV pp collider, due to higher rare event cross sections.
- ★ To get high luminosity, 12x more antiprotons would be collected and cooled with 12 independent cooling systems.
- **★** New Quadrupole Inner System to obtain low β^* (14 cm).
- ★ Tunneling time would be faster and cheaper in Texas.
- ★ Low cost 3 T and 8 T magnets (NbTi).
- ★ Antiprotons would be recycled during runs without leaving the collider ring, by joining them to new bunches with synchrotron damping. The longitudinal damping time is two hours.



Thank you for your attention



