# VALIDATION OF APS-U MAGNET SUPPORT DESIGN **ANALYSIS AND PREDICTION\***



### ZUNPING LIU<sup>†</sup>, CURT PREISSNER, JEREMY NUDELL, AND WILLIAM JANSMA

Advance Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

INTRODUCTION

### **ABSTRACT**

The Advanced Photon Source Upgrade (APS-U) accelerator magnets have stringent stability requirements [1]. The project schedule and budget did not allow for full prototyping of the final design. Therefore, the engineers relied on accurate simulation to ensure that the design would meet the specifications. Recently, assembly and free-boundary vibration tests have been done on the first article of the upstream quadrupole Doublet, Longitudinal gradient dipole and Multipole module (DLM-A). The top surface flatness of the girder and the magnet alignment measurement results demonstrate the static positioning requirement of magnet-to-magnet is met. The free-boundary condition modal test results were used to validate dynamic performance of the FEA analysis used in the DLM-A design. These validations then confirm the predicted performance of the magnet support system design. Mode shapes and corresponding frequencies from the FEA modal analysis agree with the experimental modal analysis within an acceptable tolerance. The validation approves not only the procedure for accurate modelling of magnet support system that APS-U has developed, but also provides confidence in predicting the accelerator performance.

### VALIDATION: FODO PROTOTYPE

Previously, the FODO prototype provided validation to the design and analysis process for the support systems [7]. A The APS-U magnet support design has gone through The Advanced Photon Source Upgrade (APS-U) phases of conceptual, preliminary, and final design. In full prototype was constructed, consisting of the girder, the plinth, and a set of support and alignment mechanisms. accelerator magnets have stringent stability requirement Analyses were carried out, along with EMA of both the components and the whole prototype FODO module, including preliminary design a prototype of FODO module assembly [1]. Some of the requirement are listed in Tables 1 and 2, both free and grouted boundary conditions. The results of all tests were found to compare well with the results from the was reported [2-5]. The magnet grouping has been which service as a guideline through all design phases. changed through the design phases [6]. Figure 1 shows analyses. The APS-U magnet support design has gone through phases of conceptual, preliminary, and final design. In APS-U magnet grouping of final design. The "QMQ' Girder: Ductile cast iron, A536, GR-60/40/18 was chosen as places a dipole magnet with its adjacent two quadrupoles preliminary design a prototype of FODO module the girder material because of its design flexibility, low cost, on a common support (girder), while "A" and "B" imply assembly was reported [2-5]. The magnet grouping has and favourable vibration damping properties [3, 5]. Figure 5 upstream and downstream positions. Three plinths provide been changed through the design phases [6]. Figure shows the FODO prototype girder casting at the support to corresponding girders above each, while a shows APS-U magnet grouping of final design. The manufacturer, rigging for a free BC EMA [7]. The EMA and QMQ girder is supported on adjacent plinths at each end. "QMQ" places a dipole magnet with its adjacent two FEA results are compared in Table 4. It confirms the A testing and modelling process has been developed and quadrupoles on a common support (girder), while "A" and assumption that material properties of the cast iron in FEA established to close the loop on the design-analysis-testing "B" imply upstream and downstream positions. Three are sufficient to predict its behaviour. workflow [4, 7]. This process provides confidence in plinths provide support to corresponding girders above *Plinth:* The prototype FODO plinth was a steel-reinforced simulation results and enables the exploration of many each, while a QMQ girder is supported on adjacent plinths concrete structure developed through a research and Figure 5: FODO prototype undergoing free BC EMA. different design iterations using the same components. at each end. development collaboration with a university, concrete These design iterations reflect updates of constraints, such A testing and modelling process has been developed and fabricator, steel fabricator, and ANL [5]. The steel-reinforced Table 4: Girder Modal Results (Avg. Difference 1.99%) as more stringent space limitations from interfacing established to close the loop on the design-analysis-testing concrete structure was chosen for the favourable systems. The support components between girder and workflow [4, 7]. This process provides confidence in performance, cost, and convenience of local fabrication. As plinth are also updated. Some constraints remained the simulation results and enables the exploration of many with the girder, a finite element modal analysis and EMA same throughout the process, such as maximizing different design iterations using the same components. were performed. The results com-pared well and are shown eigenvalues of low vibration modes of the system, whose These design iterations reflect updates of constraints, in Table 5. Table 5: Plinth Modal Results (Avg. Difference 5.4%) mode shapes would cause dynamic deformation in a such as more stringent space limitations from interfacing Support Components: direction transverse to the beam path. For static systems. The support components between girder and Dynamic stiffness testing was conducted on the ver-tical deformation, minimizing the girder deformation improves plinth are also updated. Some constraints remained the and lateral support components. Linearized stiff-ness alignment between magnets within a girder. One must also same throughout the process, such as maximizing coefficients were determined for a variety of wedge jack ensure that thermal fluctuations within the storage ring eigenvalues of low vibration modes of the system, whose adjusters, spherical bearings, metal-polymer bearings, and Table 6: Grouted FODO Prototype Modal Results (Avg. tunnel will not cause unacceptable changes in magnet mode shapes would cause dynamic deformation in a load conditions [7]. These com-ponents all have stiffnesses Difference 8.6%) direction transverse to the beam path. For static alignment. Fabrication and material selection also that are highly dependent on load. The experimentally constraint the design. All these constraints play roles in deformation, minimizing the girder deformation improves measured values are the key information to be used with optimizing the design at each iteration. alignment between magnets within a girder. One must simplified geometry for accurate FEA. Recently, the first article of the DLM-A module magnet also ensure that thermal fluctuations within the storage 10.4 69 77 Grouted FODO Prototype: support system arrived. Girder flatness was measured, both ring tunnel will not cause unacceptable changes in magnet After the subcomponent test the full FODO assembly was grouted to the floor and underwent EMA. The first three with and without magnets installed. Then, assembly of the alignment. Fabrication and material selection also EMA modes are shown in Table 6 to match well with the first three FE modes, with an average error of 8.6%. With DLM-A module without the APS-U vacuum system was constraint the design. All these constraints play roles in the models of the girder, plinth, previously validated, the experimentally measured support stiff-nesses, and the completed. A free-boundary condition experimental modal optimizing the design at each iteration. analysis (EMA) was conducted using a Data Physics assumed load on the supports, the close match confirms that the rigid ground assumption is valid. This also validated The Advanced Photon Source Upgrade (APS-U) the whole design-modelling process, and this process was key for the final design. Abacus DAQ system [8] and Vibrant Technology accelerator magnets have stringent stability requirement MEScope [9] for modal property estimation. These results [1]. Some of the requirement are listed in Tables 1 and 2, **VALIDATION: DLM-A FIRST ARTICLE** were used to validate the finite element (FE) analysis used which service as a guideline through all design phases. in the DLM-A design. Table 1: Positioning Tolerances Evolving accelerator design constraints meant the magnet This design-analysis-measurement chain for the DLM-A Figure 6: DLM-A first support final design was quite different from that in article undergoing free module validates the FEA prediction and modelling preliminary design. For example, the girder width is 750 BC EMA. process. This validation provides confidence in predicting mm rather than 1 m, the wedge jack of vertical supports is the accelerator performance. Nivell DK-2/10 rather than Airloc 2012-KSKCV, and the plinth geometry is simplified. The previous validation of the DLM-B DLM-A QMQ-B QMQ-A FODO process with the FODO prototype and its components provides confidence in the approach to final design. The stiffnesses of the support components was obtained through the same dynamic testing process. The girder and plinth Figure 1: APS-U magnet grouping of final design proper-ties did not change, only the geometry, so all previous assumptions are still valid. As discussed in ref [7]. **VALIDATION: STATIC RESULTS** Figure 7: EMA (left) first mode shape at 68 Hz and the confidence leads to production of the final design. FEA (right) first mode shape at 74 Hz. Without prototyping and further validating individual The girder was machined and measured with a Leica AT930 Table 7: DLM-A First Article Free BC EMA Modal Recomponents in the final design phase, the first article of laser tracker while constrained by three vertical supports at the Surface Flatness sults (Avg. Difference 6.0%) DLM-A support system arrived. Figure 6 shows the first Plate Unconstrained same locations used to support the girder in operation. The 0.011 article DLM-A being lifted for a free BC EMA. Table 7 location of those three supports was chosen to minimize the shows the good comparison between the EMA and FEA for 0.002 girder static deflection. first five modes. Note the good match for even the higher In addition, mounting surface of magnets and stop blocks are -0.007 modes. Figure 7 shows match of the first natural frequency precisely machined. Magnet alignment is achieved by precisely and mode shape as example. -0.01



Mode	EMA (Hz)	FEA (Hz)	% Diff
1	106	104	1.89
2	157	154	1.91
3	232	227	2.16

Mode	EMA (Hz)	FEA (Hz)	% Diff
1	38	41	7.9
2	83	84	1.2
3	97	104	7.2

	r		
Mode	EMA (Hz)	FEA (Hz)	% Diff
1	41	42	2.4
2	54	62	12.9

Elements within a girder			
Magnet to magnet (2 sigma cutoff)	30	µm rms	
Dipole roll	0.4	mrad	
Quadrupole roll	0.4	mrad	
Sextupole roll	0.4	mrad	

Table 2: Vibrational Tolerances			
(1-100 Hz)	X (rms)	Y (rms)	
Girder vibration	20 nm	20 nm	
Quadrupole vibration	10 nm	10 nm	
Dipole roll vibration		0.2 µrad	



machining the girder reference surfaces, combined with J Figure 2: Measured flatness of DLMA girder, shimming in the cases where it is necessary.







Mode	EMA (Hz)	FEA (Hz)	% Diff
1	68	74	8.8
2	71	76	7.0
3	85	88	3.5
4	91	83	8.8
5	101	103	2.0

## CONCLUSION

The flatness of the girder prior to mounting magnets reflects machining accuracy. It's checked using the Leica AT930 laser tracker. The DLM-A girder is coated in a thin layer of Molykote Tecnite 3402 for rust prevention. The thickness of the coating varies from  $\sim 10$  to 30 microns over the girder surface. The measurements include the effect of the coating. Many points on the top magnet-mounting surface of the 5.6m long girder were measured (Fig. 2). Flatness of the girder is  $\pm 18$ microns peak-peak [10]. After the magnets are positioned, the measured surface flatness of DLM-A girder is  $\pm 23$  microns (Fig. 3), while FEA predicted flatness is  $\pm 29$  microns in Fig. 4. Part of the magnet alignment survey data [10] are listed in Table 3, approving the magnet alignment in the critical X and Y directions meet the 30 microns RMS tolerance in Table 1. Table 3: Magnet Alignment Survey Results

	X offsets (µm)	Y offsets (µm)
Measurement	9, rms	13, rms
Uncertainty	7, rms (magnitude)	



The FEA prediction of the FODO prototype in pre-liminary design is validated by the free BC EMA results, which provide confidence to start designing the final magnet modules without further prototyping and validation. The DLM-A design has been shown to exceed the 30 microns rms magnet-to-magnet positioning tolerance through measurements on the first article [10]. The free BC EMA data of the DLM-A first article also confirm the confidence in design iterations. The validation confirms not only the procedure for accurate modeling of the magnet support system that the APS-U has developed, but also provides confidence in predicting the accelerator performance. For example, a novel approach to estimating mechanical motion-related orbit distortions [6, 11] is based on FEA results of the modes of girders. The accuracy of FEA predictions is expected within 10 percent...

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

\* Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357

† zpliu@anl.gov

[mm]

