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#### Abstract

Beam characteristics of a front-end system of the JKJ linac was measured. The measured transverse emittance of a 27 mA beam extracted from the negative hydrogen ion source (NIS) was within the assumed range in RFQ design. The measured transmission of the RFQ showed a good agreement with PARMTEQm[1] simulation. Significant emittance growth was observed in the medium energy beam transport (MEBT) following the RFQ. In order to reproduce the Twiss parameter measured at the MEBT exit in TRACE3D[2] calculation, input data representing the realistic field distribution in each quadrupole $(\mathrm{Q})$ magnet was indispensable.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

A front-end system, which is composed of a NIS, a low energy beam transport (LEBT) with two short strong-field solenoid magnets (SMAG's) and a 324 MHz radio frequency quadrupole (RFQ) linac, was originally developed for the Japan Hadron Facility (JHF) project [3,4,5]. According to the requirement of the JHF, the RFQ was designed to accelerate 30 mA beam with a transmission of more than $90 \%$ while minimizing the accelerated beam emittances. The challenging goal of a intensity of more than 33 mA with a duty factor of more than $1.5 \% \quad(600 \mathrm{micro}-\mathrm{sec} * 25 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the "cesium unseeded" NIS was settled in order to avoid the instability due to sparking in the extraction and acceleration gaps of the NIS and the inter-vane gaps of the RFQ caused by introduced cesium. The front-end system is operated in order to supply a beam for testing downstream parts of the JKJ linac. The results of beam test are described.

## 2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A schematics of the NIS and LEBT is shown in Fig.1a). A $\mathrm{LaB}_{6}$ filament was used to produce DC-arc plasma in the NIS. The beam extracted from the NIS was injected into the RFQ being focused with two SMAG's. The beam current was measured with a movable Faraday-cup (FCm). The horizontal and vertical emittances of the beam were measured with two sets of double slit type emittance monitor composed of a movable slit (EMSL) and a movable Faraday-cup with slit (EMFC). FCm, EMSL and EMFC were installed on a vacuum chamber located between two SMAG's.
A schematics of a set-up to measure the beam just after the RFQ is shown in Fig.1b). Emittances of the RFQ beam were measured with two sets of double slit type
emittance monitor as same as on the LEBT. The beam current was measured with a current transformer (CT1) and a Faraday-cup (FC). During the measurements, the beam was focused with two Q-magnets (Q1 and Qtst).
A schematics of a set-up to measure the beam after the MEBT is shown in Fig.1c). The beam current was measured with CT1, CT2 and FC. The emittances were also measured in this set-up.

## 3 RESULTS OF THE BEAM TEST

As shown by trace1 of Fig.2, the NIS produced a peak beam current of 27 mA . In order to chop macro beam pulse by using the longitudinal acceptance of the RFQ, the acceleration voltage of the NIS was modulated from 33 kV to 42 kV with a rising time of a few $\mu \mathrm{s}$ at $180 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ later from trigger. The measured current increased with a slight step at the same timing. The beam energy became the design value of 50 keV , when the acceleration voltage was 42 kV , since the extraction voltage was set to 8 kV . The pulse arc power was calculated to be 50 kW from trace 2 and 3 ( $\mathrm{I}_{\text {arc }}=240 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {arc }}=210 \mathrm{~V}$ ).
As shown by trace 1 of Fig.3, macro beam pulse after the RFQ was chopped with a few $\mu$ s rising time by modulating acceleration voltage of the NIS and around 1 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ falling time by offing the rf-switch of rf-source for the RFQ. The accelerated beam current was 25 mA .

For a 10 mA beam, horizontal and vertical emittances were measured with set-up shown in Fig.1b). The Twiss parameter trace-backed with TRACE3D at the exit of the RFQ is shown in Fig.4a). PARMTEQm simulation results for 10 mA and 25 mA beams are shown in Fig. 4 a ) and b). Although the simulated Twiss parameters are similar for two different currents $\left(\alpha_{x}, \beta_{x}, \alpha_{y}, \beta_{y}=-1.85,0.16,1.53\right.$, 0.13 for 10 mA and $-1.95,0.17,1.56,0.13$ for 25 mA ), the measured one $\left(\alpha_{x}, \beta_{x}, \alpha_{y}, \beta_{y}=-1.22,0.13,2.19,0.22\right)$ is rather discrepant with them. The vane-end round cutting (to resist high voltage sparking) not included in the simulation probably caused the discrepancy.

The particle distribution in the horizontal/vertical phase space (top) and the relationship between the emittance and the beam fraction included in it (bottom) measured with set-up shown in Fig.1c) is shown in Fig.5/6. The measured normalized rms emittances in different conditions are listed in Table 1. RFQ1 and 2 of Table 1 are according to datum shown in Fig.4a) and Fig.5, respectively. A significant emittance growth (5\%/12\% in horizontal/vertical phase space) was caused by changing only coil current of Q8 slightly (comparison between

RFQ2 and 3). In both cases (RFQ2 and 3), although the emittance in horizontal phase space was grown more than $25 \%$ (comparison with IS2), the emittance in vertical phase space was conserved as simulated result (comparison between IS2 and RFQ2).

As shown in Fig.7, the emittances shown in Fig. 5 and 6 was compared with TRACE3D calculation using the measured Twiss parameter of Fig.2a) as initial condition for two different cases of 60 mm Q-field length(a) or $80 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~b})$. In both cases, the GL (field gradient times length) was set to the integrated value of filed distribution calculated with MAFIA, Twiss parameter was rather discrepant with the measurement. Since the bore diameter of each Q-magnet is comparable with it's length as shown in Table 2, each Q-magnet produces rather large fringe field as MAFIA [6] calculations shown in Fig.8(top). By composing each Q-magnet with 20 components, each of which has one of the field gradient shown in Fig.8(bottom), TRACE3D calculation showed a good agreement with the measurement as shown in Fig.9. Since the length of each component is 10 mm , the total length of each Q-field is 200 mm .

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

The cesium unseeded NIS produced a 27 mA negaive hydrogen beam with a low duty factor of $0.1 \%$ $(100 \mu \mathrm{~s} * 12.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The measured transverse emittance ( $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{yrmsn}}=0.2 \pi \mathrm{~mm} * \mathrm{mrad}$ ) of the beam was within the two assumed values ( 0.167 and $0.25 \pi \mathrm{~mm} * \mathrm{mrad}$ ) used in RFQ design. The measured transmission (93\%) of the RFQ showed a good agreement with PARMTEQm simulation. However, the measured Twiss parameter at the RFQ exit was discrepant with the simulation, probably due to the
effect of round cutting of vane-end. Significant emittance growth (upto $30 \%$ in rms value) in the MEBT was observed. Although the causes of the growth are not specified, horizontal emittance measured at LEBT was conserved at the MEBT exit in one MEBT setting. A MEBT setting, which minimize emittance growth in both phase spaces will be studied. By composing each Qmagnet in MEBT with 20 components representing the realistic field distribution, TRACE3D reproduced the measurements.
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Table 1: Measured Normalized Emittance ( $\pi \mathrm{mm} * \mathrm{mrad}$ )

|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\text {beam }}(\mathrm{mA})$ | $\varepsilon_{\text {nrmsx }}$ | $\varepsilon_{\text {nrmsy }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IS1: At RFQ Entrance | 8 | 0.107 | 0.101 |
| IS2: Between two SMAGs | 27 | 0.200 | 0.202 |
| RFQ1: After Qtst | 10 | 0.173 | 0.194 |
| RFQ2: After Q8(I $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q} 8}=28 A\right)$ | 25 | 0.250 | 0.201 |
| RFQ3: After Q8(I $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q} 8}=55 \mathrm{~A}\right)$ | 25 | 0.262 | 0.226 |

Table 2: Parameter of Quadrupole Magnets

|  | Q1 | Qtst | Q2/3/6/7/8 | Q4/5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Core Length (mm) | 60 | 50 | 60 | 60 |
| Bore Diameter (mm) | 30 | 35 | 41 | 52 |
| Coil Turns per Pole | 15 | 17 | 19 | 19 |



Figure 1: a) Schematics of the NIS and LEBT. b) Schematics of set-up to measure the beam just after the RFQ. C) Schematics of MEBT and set-up to measure the beam transported through the MEBT.


Figure 2: Waveforms of beam current measured with FCm on LEBT (trace1: 4mA/Div.), arc current (trace2: 60A/Div.) and arc voltage (trace3: 80V/Div.). Repetition rate of pulses was 12.5 Hz . Time scale is $40 \mu \mathrm{~s} /$ Div..


Figure 3:Waveforms of beam current measured with CT1 on MEBT (trace1: 5mA/Div.), RFQ tank filed (trace2). Repetition rates of NIS and RFQ pulses were 12.5 Hz and 25 Hz , respectively. Time scale is $40 \mu \mathrm{~s} /$ Div..
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Figure 7: Measured and traced Twiss parameters at emittance monitor position of Fig.1c). Q-field length used in TRACE3D was 60 mm (a) and 80 mm (b).

Figure 4: a) Measured and simulated Twiss parameters at the exit of the RFQ for a 10 mA beam. b) The simulated Twiss parameters for 10 mA and 25 mA beams.

 distribution in horizontal phase space (top) and relationship between emittance and beam fraction included in emittance (bottom).


Figure 6: Measured particle distribution in vertical phase space (top) and relationship between emittance and beam fraction included in emittance (bottom).


Figure 9: TRACE3D results using realistic filed distribution in each quadrupole magnet. Traced orbit using Twiss parameter estimated from the emittances measured with set-up shown in Fig.1b) and trace-backed orbit using the emittances measured with set-up shown in Fig.1c) are presented. ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Q} 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 / 5 / 6 / 7 / 8}=205 / 195 / 104 / 104 / 129 / 123 / 124 / 28 \mathrm{~A}$ )

