DISK AND WASHER COUPLED CAVITY LINAC DESIGN AND **COLD-MODEL FOR MUON LINAC**

M. Otani^{*}, K. Futatsukawa, T. Mibe, F. Naito, KEK, Oho, Tsukuba, 305-0801, Japan K. Hasegawa, T. Ito, R. Kitamura, Y. Kondo, T. Morishita, JAEA, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1195, Japan H. Iinuma, Y. Nakazawa, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan Y. Iwashita, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 611-0011, Japan N. Saito, J-PARC Center, Tokai, Naka, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

Abstract

A disk and washer (DAW) coupled cavity linac (CCL) was developed for a medium-velocity part in a muon linac to measure muon anomalous magentic moment and search to measure muon anomalous magenue moment and search for electric dipole moment. Muons were accelerated from $v/c = \beta = 0.3$ to 0.7 at an operational frequency of 1.3 GHz. In this paper, the cavity design, beam dynamics design, and cold-model measurements are presented.

INTRODUCTION

A disk and washer (DAW) coupled cavity linac (CCL) was first proposed in the early 1970s [1] and the first DAW was used in a proton and H⁻ linac at the Moscow meson factory [2]. A DAW CCL is a cylindrical cavity with conducting washers interspersed with disks. There are several types of supporting structures for the washer [3] and Fig. 1 shows a biperiodic L-support type [4]. The DAW CCL has many advantages such as high shunt impedance and high coupling ⇒between the acceleration and coupling cells. Its disadvantages include the mode overlapping and the difficulties in analyzing its mode structure. Owing to recent enhancements $\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{\sim}$}}{\sim}$ in computational power, a satisfactory cavity design including washer support can be achieved; thus, three-dimensional electromagnetic fields can be considered. This paper de-scribes the design of a DAW CCL for a low-emittance muon beam.



Figure 1: Biperiodic L-support DAW structure [4].

Low-emittance muon beams exhibit potential advantages in various branches of science. After muons are cooled to thermal energy [5,6], they are accelerated to the specific

masashio@post.kek.jp

TUPRB117 1924

energy required by an application. One such application of accelerated muon beams is the transmission muon microscope [7]. If the muons are accelerated up to 10 MeV, three-dimensional imaging of living cells can be achieved, which is impossible with the use of a transmission electron microscope. Muon linacs have also been used for the precise measurement of muon anomalous magnetic moment $(g_{\mu} - 2)$ and electric dipole moment (EDM) at the Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC E34) [8]. The J-PARC E34 experiment aims to accelerate muons to 212 MeV. Recently, a muon acceleration was demonstrated using, for the first time, using a radio-frequency quadrupole linac (RFQ) [9]. The muons will be accelerated to few megaelectronvolts after the RFQ using a drift-tube linac (DTL) [10–12]. In order to reach the higher energy levels required for various applications, it is necessary to perform additional acceleration with following cavities. The DAW CCL is one of the most promising candidates for this.

In this paper, the DAW CCL designs for the J-PARC E34 experiment and the prototype status are presented. The DAW CCL accelerates muons from $v/c = \beta = 0.27$ to 0.7 at an operational frequency of 1.3 GHz. First, we present designs for cavity and beam dynamics. Then, we describe the measurement for an Al cold-model of the DAW cavity. Finally, we summarize our results.

CAVITY DESIGN

In order to maximize the high-acceleration efficiency, the cavity design is optimized using several electro-magnetic field solver software. First, a two-dimensional model with-**[0**] out washer supports, as shown in Fig. 2 is optimized by calculating the acceleration and coupling mode with SU-PERFISH [13]. The variable parameters are disk radius published (T_d) , disk thickness (T_d) , washer radius (R_w) , and the gap between the washer (G) as shown in red characters in Fig. 2. The optimization process is performed using the SIMPLEX algorithm [14] and the optimization function is constructed s. with the confluent condition $(f_a = f_b, \text{ where } f_a \text{ and } f_b$ final versior are the resonant frequencies of the acceleration and coupling modes, respectively), high shunt impedance (ZTT), and small peak-to-average ratio (E_0/E_{max}) .

the Then, a three-dimensional model with washer supports is constructed in CST MICROWAVE STUDIO [15] based on the optimized two-dimensional model. Here, the connecpreprint tion radius of the supports is selected to be the zero-electric point to minimize perturbation to the accelerating mode. The

MC4: Hadron Accelerators

Ι

S.

10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-208-0

three-dimensional model is also optimized by using the same optimization function as that used in the two-dimensional one. Finally, the dispersion curve is investigated to check whether an unfavorable mode exists around the operation frequency. All the steps are repeated for several cavity lengths of $\beta \lambda / 4$ ($\beta = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6$).



Figure 2: Two dimensional model of the DAW cavity and notations of the dimensions. Red characters are variable parameters in the optimization process.

Figure 3 shows the three dimensional model (left) and the dispersion curve (right) of the optimized model for $\beta = 0.3$. Because of the bi-periodic structure, some stop bands appear in $\pi/2$. Although the TM11 mode is near the operational frequency, the cavity is tuned in the optimization process so that the operational frequencies sit in the stop band at $\pi/2$. Although the dipole mode passband TE11 crosses the line where the phase velocity matches the speed of muons, it is not considered to be a problem because the muon beam current is negligible and the transverse kick due to this mode is estimated to be much smaller than the requirement. Table 1 summarizes the optimized cavity parameters for $\beta = 0.3 \sim$ 0.6.



Figure 3: Dispersion curve with optimized cavity in several β calculated by CST MICROWAVE STUDIO.

BEAM DYNAMICS DESIGN

A DAW module consists of two DAW tanks connected with a bridge tank and quadrupole doublets placed at inter-

MC4: Hadron Accelerators

This is a preprint

Table 1:	Parameters	of the	Optimized	DAW	Cavity
----------	------------	--------	-----------	-----	--------

β	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3		
L	$\beta\lambda/4$					
R _b [mm]	12					
R _n [mm]	2.6					
T _w [mm]	3.5					
θ [deg.]	30					
R _c [mm]	155	157	154	151		
R _d [mm]	111.3	108.352	104.52	103.221		
T _d [mm]	16.014	14.790	10.97	9.630		
R _w [mm]	105.969	105.63	108.14	110.391		
G[mm]	15.975	11.285	7.8976	6.148		
f _a [GHz]	1.300	1.300	1.299	1.301		
f _c [GHz]	1.299	1.301	1.302	1.301		
$ZTT[M\Omega/m]$	57.8	46.3	33.8	18.0		

tank spacing. The inter-tank spacing is set to $4.5\beta\lambda$ considering the feasibility of magnet installation. The average acceleration field (E_0) is considered according to the Kilpatrick limit and the peak-to-average ratio calculated in the previous section; E_0 is set to be 5.6 MV/m that is lower than Kilpatrick limit. Then the longitudinal dynamics is designed by TRACE3D [16]. Table 2 lists the parameters for the dynamics design including the transit time factor (T).

Table 2: Parameters for the dynamics design estimated by SUPERFISH and CST MW Studio. T (S) is the transit-time factor, integral of $E_z \cos(kz)$ ($E_z \sin(kz)$), and T' (S') is first derivative of T with respect to wave number. Z and ZTT are the shunt impedance and effective shunt impedance in $M\Omega/m$, respectively.

β	Т	T'	S	S'	g/	Z	ZTT	E ₀ /E _{max}
0.70	0.85	0.045	0.21	0.028	0.23	87	63	4.6
0.65	0.84	0.047	0.22	0.028	0.24	83	59	4.5
0.60	0.84	0.047	0.22	0.028	0.23	78	55	4.4
0.55	0.84	0.047	0.22	0.028	0.22	70	50	4.4
0.50	0.84	0.045	0.21	0.027	0.20	63	45	4.8
0.45	0.84	0.047	0.22	0.027	0.19	55	39	4.7
0.40	0.83	0.048	0.22	0.027	0.17	47	33	5.1
0.35	0.82	0.051	0.23	0.028	0.18	38	26	4.9
0.30	0.81	0.055	0.23	0.028	0.18	29	19	4.9
0.27	0.80	0.056	0.24	0.028	0.16	22	14	5.2

The upper limit on the number of DAW cells, or the length of the tank, is determined by the limitation of the quadrupole strength due to transverse instabilities. There may be instabilities or resonances when the zero current phase advance (σ_0) is greater than 90 degrees [17]. Although the muon beam intensity is expected to be much smaller than that in the region of such instabilities, the number of cells is chosen to match this criterion. The maximum σ_0 is 83 degrees at the first tank when the number of cells is ten. The number of cells in all tanks is chosen to be ten based on this result.

The beam transport line between the previous accelerator (inter-digital drift-tube linac [10]) and the DAW is then designed to match the injection beam to the beam parameters estimated by TRACE3D. The transport line consists of four

10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-208-0

IOQ

and quadrupole magnets and two RF cavities. The field strength publisher, of the quadrupoles and the RF cavities are fitted to obtain a matched beam.

Finally, the beam dynamics in the entire modules includ- $\frac{1}{4}$ ing the transport line is simulated using PARMILA [18]. Figure 4 shows the phase-space distributions at the DAW 2 exit. Figure 5 shows the emittance growth along the DAW Table 3 summarizes the been J cells. The growth is estimated to be less than a few percent, $\stackrel{o}{=}$ and the output beam emittance is 0.32 π mm mrad and 0.21 π

Table 3 summarizes the beam dynamics results. The total length is 16.3 m with 15 modules, which corresponds to $138\beta\lambda$, and the beam transit time is calculated to be $\frac{138\beta\lambda}{\beta c} = 106.0$ ns. The survival probability is calculated to be $exp(-\frac{106.0}{\overline{\gamma}\tau}) = 0.961$, where $\overline{\gamma} = (\gamma_{in} + \gamma_{out})/2 =$ 1.212. The estimated power dissipation is 4.5 MW, which is comparable to the expected value.



 $\hat{\underline{\mathfrak{D}}}$ Figure 4: Phase-space distributions in the last cell estimated \Re by PARMILA. (A) the horizontal divergence angle x' vs x, O(B) the vertical divergence angle y' vs y, (C) y vs x, and (D)



Figure 5: Emittance growth along the DAW cells. The growth is less than a few percent. **COLD MODEL MEASUREMENTS** Based on the optimized cavity design, an Al cold model with $\beta = 0.3$ were fabricated. A unit includes four washers, **TUPRB117**

TUPRB117

1926

Table 3: Summary of Simulation Output Beam Parameters in DAW

	Input	Output
β	0.28	0.68
Energy (MeV)	4.49	40.3
$\varepsilon_x \ [\pi \text{ mm mrad}]$	0.315	0.322
$\varepsilon_{y} \ [\pi \text{ mm mrad}]$	0.195	0.216
$\varepsilon_z \ [\pi \text{ MeV deg}]$	0.121	0.466
Transmission [%]	99.5	
Beam transit time [ns]	106	
Survival rate [%]	96.1	
Transmission total [%]	95.6	

and corresponds to three accelerating cells. In order to measure the accelerating and coupling modes, two types of the end plate were fabricated

Figure 6 shows the measurement results for the coupling mode. The resonant frequency is measured to be 1.315 GHz and it is consistent to the simulation result within approximately 0.1%. The difference from 1.3 GHz is owing to the effect of the end plate. Further measurements with different numbers of cells and for the acceleration mode are being conducted.



Figure 6: Resonant frequency measurement with the Al cold model.

CONCLUSION

We designed a DAW CCL cavity and beam dynamics for muon linac to measure muon g - 2 and search for EDM. The required power is comparable to the expected value and the emittance growth satisfies the requirement. An Al coldmodel was fabricated and measurements are being conducted to confirm the design.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our appreciation to Seiwa Works Co., Ltd. who fabricated the Al cold model. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP15H03666, JP16H03987, and JP18H03707.

MC4: Hadron Accelerators

DO

work,

В

ot

REFERENCES

- [1] V.G. Andrev et al., Proc. 1972 Linear Acel. Conf., Los Alamos.
- [2] S. K. Esin et al., Proc. 1988 Linear Accel. Conf., Virginia USA.
- [3] Y. Iwashita et al., Proc. 1992 Linear Accel. Conf. Ottawa, Canada
- [4] H. Ao et al., 2000 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.39 651
- [5] P. Bakule et al., Nucl. Instru. Meth. B266, 335, 2008.
- [6] G.A. Beer et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 091, C01, 2014.
- [7] http://slowmuon.kek.jp/MuonMicroscopy_e.html
- [8] http://g-2.kek.jp/portal/index.html
- [9] S. Bae et al., Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 21, 050101, 2018.
- [10] M. Otani et al., Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams, 19, 040101 (2016).
- [11] M Otani et al., J. Phys. :Conf. Ser. 875, 021038 (2017).
- [12] M. Otani et al., Proceedings of the 14th Annual Meeting of PASJ, Sapporo, Japan, TUP024.

- and [13] Billen, J. H. Billen and L. M. Young, "Poisson Superfish", LA-UR-96-1834, 1996
- publisher, [14] F. James and M. Roos, "Minuit: A System for Function Minimization and Analysis of the Parameter Errors and Correlations," Comput. Phys. Commun., vol. 10, pp. 343-367, 1975.
- [15] CST Studio Suite, Computer Simulation Technology (CST) https://www.cst.com/products/CSTMWS
- [16] K.R. Crandall and D.P. Rustoi, Los Alamos Report, No. LA UR-97-886, 1997.
- [17] Martin Reiser, "Theory and Design of Charged Particle Beams", 1994, JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC.
- Content from this work may be used under the terms of the CC BY 3.0 licence (© 2019). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title [18] Los Alamos Accelerator Code Group (LAACG), LANL, Los Alamos http://www.laacg.lanl.go