EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF HIGH AVERAGE POWER LINACS*

M. Shumail[†], V. A. Dolgashev

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

 9th International Particle Accelerator Conference
 II

 ISBN: 978-3-95450-184-7
 II

 EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF HI

 M. Shumail[†], V

 SLAC National Accelerator Labor

 Yuon officiency equations and useful scaling laws to optimally determine design

 Topper time, parameters for high efficiency rf linacs. For the first time

 parameters for high efficiency rf linacs. For the first time We have incorporated the parasitic losses due to the higher order cavity modes into the efficiency analysis of the standing wave (SW) and travelling wave (TW) accelerators. We have also derived the efficiency 2 equations for a new kind of attenuation-independent-¹/₂ impedance travelling wave (ATW) accelerators where the $\overline{\Xi}$ shunt impedance can be optimized independent of the group velocity. We have obtained scaling laws which g relate the rf to beam efficiency to the linac length, beam aperture radius , phase advance per cell, and the type of accelerating structure: SW versus TW, disk-loaded (DL) z versus nose-cone (NC). We give an example of using E these scaling laws to determine a feasible set of E parameters for a 10 MeV, 10 MW linac with 97.2% efficiency.

efficiency. INTRODUCTION Typically, the main parameters of a high power linac are energy $U_B = eV_A$ and the average power P_B of the beam. Here, e is the electron charge and V_A is the net \gtrsim accelerating voltage. The average beam current is $I_B = P_B$ $/(FV_A)$, where F is the unit-less form factor $\stackrel{\infty}{\cong}$ characterizing the shape of the bunch. We define the $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \frown}{\scriptstyle \sim}$ beam impedance as:

$$R_B \equiv \frac{V_A^2}{P_B}.$$
 (1)

beam impedance as: $R_B \equiv \frac{V_A^2}{P_B}.$ (1) In the subsequent derivations, we will be using an impedance ratio, which we call *B*-factor, defined as: $B = \frac{R_B}{r_s L},$ (2) where r_s and *L* are the shunt impedance per unit length and the total lines length respectively. The net

$$B = \frac{R_B}{r_s L},\tag{2}$$

 $\stackrel{\text{g}}{=}$ and the total linac length, respectively. The net accelerating voltage can be written in terms of this *B*-

$$V_A = BFI_B r_s L. \tag{3}$$

Denoting the power supplied by the rf generator as *GEN*, the rf to beam efficiency is defined as:

$$\eta \equiv \frac{P_B}{P_{GEN}}.$$
 (4)

*Work supported by U.S. Department of Energy, HEP under Research Opportunities in Accelerator Stewardship: LAB 16-1438. *shumail@alumni.stanford.edu

THPML125 4970

In continuous wave, all-rf-buckets-filled operation, we have $I_B = qf$, where the relativistic bunches of charge q pass at the rate equal to the fundamental rf frequency f. Besides the accelerating voltage V_{GEN} due to the rf generator or source, the beam also experiences a so-called beam loading voltage, which tends to decelerate the beam. We can further split this beam loading voltage into two parts: the one due to the coherent interaction with the fundamental mode V_{FND} , and the other due to the noncoherent interaction with all other higher order modes V_{HOM} . In the following sections, we will analyse these three voltage components one by one and this will naturally lead to the rigorous efficiency equations for both standing wave (SW) and travelling wave (TW) structures.

ACCELERATING VOLTAGE DUE TO THE RF GENERATOR

SW Structures

The accelerating voltage V_A is related to the power loss in the linac walls P_W as $V_A = \sqrt{P_W r_s L}$. In the absence of beam, P_W is equal to the total power coupled into structure, i.e., $P_W = (1 - |\Gamma|^2) P_{GEN}$ where $|\Gamma| = |\beta - 1|/\beta$ $(\beta + 1)$ is the reflection coefficient and β is the rf coupling coefficient [1]. Thus, the voltage component just due of the rf generator is given as:

$$V_{GEN} = \frac{2}{\beta + 1} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\eta B}} F I_B r_s L \quad \text{(for SW)}. \tag{5}$$

TW Structures

We assume that bunches accelerate along the positive z-axis. In the case of double sign like \pm or \mp , the upper or lower sign would correspond to whether the rf energy is flowing in the positive or negative z-direction – the cases of positive or negative group velocity, respectively. The amplitude of the accelerating electric field $E_A(z)$, the power lost per unit length in the structure walls \tilde{P}_W (z), the stored energy per unit length $\tilde{U}(z)$, and the total power flowing in the positive z-direction P(z) are related as follows:

$$E_A^{2}(z) = r_s \tilde{P}_W(z) = r_s \frac{2\pi f}{Q_0} \tilde{U}(z) = \pm 2r_s \frac{\left(\frac{\pi f}{Q_0 v_g}\right)}{\alpha} P(z).$$
(6)

Here, Q is the intrinsic quality factor, v_q is the group velocity, and α is the attenuation constant per unit length. In the absence of the beam, the accelerating field is just due to the generator and we call it $E_{GEN}(z)$. Applying the

energy conservation, i.e., $-\frac{d}{dz}P(z) = \tilde{P}_W(z)$, we get,

$$\frac{d}{dz}E_{GEN}(z) = \mp \alpha E_{GEN}(z).$$
(7)

02 Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators A08 Linear Accelerators

IPAC2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada JACoW Publishing doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2018-THPML125

It is straightforward to solve Eq. (7) with the boundary conditions $E_{GEN}^2(0) = 2r_s \alpha P_{GEN}$ for the case of positive group velocity or $E_{GEN}^2(L) = 2r_s \alpha P_{GEN}$ for that of negative group velocity. Then, by integrating $E_{GEN}(z)$ from z = 0 to z = L, we obtain the expression for the accelerating voltage component due to the rf generator. This turns out to be the same for both positive and negative group velocity cases and is given in terms of the attenuation constant $\tau = \alpha L$, as:

$$V_{GEN} = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{2B}{\eta}} F I_B r_s L \quad \text{(for TW)}. \tag{8}$$

FUNDAMENTAL MODE BEAM LOADING VOLTAGE

SW Structures

- -

Using the fundamental theorem of beam loading [2, 3], the total beam loading voltage seen by the beam current in the fundamental mode is equal to:

$$V_{FND} = -Fk_0 Lq - 2Fk_0 Lq e^{-\frac{T}{T_f}} - 2Fk_0 Lq e^{-\frac{2T}{T_f}} - \dots$$
(9)

Here, T = 1/f is the time between the arrival of the bunches, $T_f = Q_L/(\pi f)$ is the filling time, $Q_L = Q_0/(1 + \beta)$ is the loaded quality factor, and k_0 is the wakefield fundamental mode loss factor per unit length defined as:

$$k_0 = \frac{\pi f}{2} \left(\frac{r_s}{Q_0} \right) \quad \text{(for SW).} \tag{10}$$

In Eq. (9), the first term on the right corresponds to the voltage induced by the bunch itself while each of the subsequent term corresponds to the voltage induced by a preceding bunch. In the limit $Q_L \gg \pi$, Eq. (9) simplifies to:

$$V_{FND} = -\frac{1}{1+\beta} F I_B r_s L \quad \text{(for SW)}. \tag{11}$$

TW Structures

In this case, the k_0 factor is given as [3]:

$$k_0 = \frac{\pi f}{2(1 \mp v_g/c)} \left(\frac{r_s}{Q_0}\right) \quad \text{(for TW)}. \tag{12}$$

Here, c is the speed of light. Also, we define a dimensionless number p as,

$$p = \frac{L/\lambda}{v_g/c} (1 \mp v_g/c), \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda = c/f$ is the distance between the bunches. For positive group velocity structures [negative sign in Eq. (12, 13)], the net beam induced decelerating field in the fundamental mode witnessed by any bunch is [3]:

$$E_{FND} = -Fk_0q$$

A08 Linear Accelerators

$$-2Fk_0q\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}u(z-Lm/p) e^{-\tau m/p}.$$
 (14)

Here, u(z) is the Heaviside step function. Similarly, in the case of negative group velocity, we have,

$$E_{FND} = -Fk_0q -2Fk_0q\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [u(z) - u(z - L + Lm/p)] e^{-\tau m/p}.$$
 (15)

The first terms on the right in Eq. (14, 15) correspond to the field induced by the bunch itself while each term in the summation corresponds to the field induced by a preceding bunch. Either integrating Eq. (14) or Eq. (15) from z = 0 to z = L, and in the limit $p \gg 1$, we obtain the same result for the fundamental mode beam loading voltage for both positive and negative group velocity cases:

$$V_{FND} = -\frac{e^{-\tau} + \tau - 1}{\tau} F I_B r_s L \quad \text{(for TW)}. \tag{16}$$

HIGHER ORDER MODES BEAM LOADING VOLTAGE

Assuming that the wakefields corresponding to the higher order modes add up non-coherently for both SW and TW structures, the power lost in the higher order modes can be given in terms of the wakefield energy loss per unit length U as [3-4]:

$$P_{HOM} = U_{HOM} L f_0 = (U_{TOT} - U_0) L f_0,$$
(17a)

$$P_{HOM} = \left(k_{TOT}q^2 - F^2 k_0 q^2\right) L f_0.$$
 (17b)

Here, the subscripts *HOM*, *TOT*, and 0, correspond to the higher order modes, total spectrum, and fundamental mode, respectively. Note that V_{HOM} , the higher order mode beam loading voltage is a construct that is related to the power lost in the higher order modes as:

$$P_{HOM} \equiv -FI_B V_{HOM}.$$
 (18)

Defining higher order mode loss factor ratio as:

$$h \equiv \frac{k_{TOT} - F^2 k_0}{F^2 r_s f_0},$$
 (19)

we obtain following result which is applicable to both SW or TW.

$$V_{HOM} = -hFI_B r_s L$$
 (for both SW and TW). (20)

RF TO BEAM EFFICIENCY

SW Structures

j

Equating the net accelerating voltage given in Eq. (3) to the sum of voltage components given in Eq. (5, 11, 20) and solving for the rf to beam efficiency we obtain:

$$\eta = \frac{4\beta B}{\left[(1+\beta)(1+hB)+B\right]^2}.$$
 (21)

For maximum efficiency, β must optimally be chosen as:

$$\beta = \beta_{opt} \equiv 1 + \frac{B}{1 + hB}.$$
 (22)

With this choice of β , the corresponding expression of efficiency is:

$$\eta = \frac{B}{(1+hB)(1+(1+h)B)} = \frac{1-1/\beta_{opt}}{1+hB} \quad \text{(for SW).} \quad (23)$$

TW Structures

Using $V_A = V_{GEN} + V_{FND} + V_{HOM}$, for TW case and solving for the rf to beam efficiency, we get:

$$\eta = \frac{2B\tau(1 - e^{-\tau})^2}{\left(\tau + B(e^{-\tau} - 1 + (1 + h)\tau)\right)^2} \quad \text{(for TW)}.$$
 (24)

Iris-coupled normal TW (NTW) In these structures α and r_s cannot be optimized independently as both are directly related to the beam aperture. In these structures, the efficiency versus *L* curves have a narrow peak, as one can see from Fig. 3. The maximum efficiency η_{max} and the corresponding optimal length L_{opt} are given as $\frac{1}{2}$ follows where $A \equiv R_B \alpha/r_s$.

$$\eta_{max} = \frac{2A}{\left(A + (h + (1 + h)\alpha L_{opt})\right)^2},$$

$$L_{opt} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{1}{A(1 + h)} + W_{-1} \left(-e^{-1 - \frac{A}{(1 + h)}}\right)\right).$$
(25b)

Here,
$$y = W_{-1}(x) < -1$$
 is the solution of $x = ye^{y}$.

Attenuation-independent-impedance TW (ATW) These structures [5, 6] are mainly coupled through an external waveguide and hence, we can optimize τ without affecting the shunt impedance. The optimal choice $\tau = \tau_{opt}$ which maximizes the efficiency is given as:

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \tau e^{\tau} (e^{\tau} - 1 - 2\tau) \\ + B (1 + e^{2\tau} (1 + \tau (1 + h))) \\ - B e^{\tau} (2 + \tau (1 + h) (1 + 2\tau)) \end{array} \right|_{\tau = \tau_{opt}} = 0.$$
 (26)







Figure 2: Higher order mode loss factor ratio for 10° bunch length *vs.* normalized aperture size.

We simulated nose-cone (NC) structures similar to those in Ref. [5–7] and disk-loaded (DL) structures similar to SLAC linac with various values of phase advance and aperture radius in HFSS from Ansys® [9] and ABCI [9,10]. From this simulation data, we obtained the scaling laws for Q, v_g , α , r_s , and k_o , as well as k_{TOT} and h for various bunch lengths [3]. Figures 1 and 2, respectively show the scaling laws of r_s and h (for 10° bunch length) by plotting them against the beam aperture radius a normalized to the free space wavelength λ . We observe that the nose-cone structures can yield higher efficiency due to their higher shunt impedance (Fig. 1) and lower higher order loss factor ratio (Fig. 2).

EXAMPLE OF EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

We consider a 10 MeV, 10 MW linac implying a beam impedance of 10 MΩ. Figure 3 shows efficiency verses length plots for various NC structures and 10° Gaussian bunch length. Here, frequency and aperture were kept constant as f = 1.3 GHz and $a/\lambda = 0.2$. Note that SW and ATW structure exhibit higher efficiency for a broad range of linac length.



Figure 3: Efficiency *vs.* linac length at constant frequency and constant aperture for various NC structures.

Figure 4 shows the dependence of L on f for fixed efficiency and aperture. We have observed from various constant efficiency curves, like the ones in Fig. 4, that for any 10 M Ω beam impedance case L-band (1–2 GHz) rf accelerators will need smaller linac lengths.

9th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-184-7



Figure 4: Linac length vs. frequency at constant efficiency and constant aperture for an NC-SW- π -mode linac.

Based on these and similar analyses we chose f = 1.3 GHz, a = 25 mm, and L = 10 m for an rf to beam efficiency of 97.4% for this linac. This implies that the rf power loss will be 26.2 kW/m. We have designed this linac using an innovative distributed coupling scheme [7]. The details are given in Ref. [11].

REFERENCES

- T. Wangler, "Microwave Topics for Linacs," in *RF Linear* Accelerators, Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH, 2008, pp. 143-144.
- [2] P. Wilson and J. Griffin, "High energy electron linacs; application to storage ring RF systems and linear colliders", in *AIP Conf. Proc.* 87, pp. 450-555, Jul. 1982, doi:10.1063/1.33620
- [3] High Efficiency Linac Design Group, "Design of high efficiency high power electron accelerator systems based on normal conducting rf technology for energy and environmental applications", submitted to the Office of High Energy Physics, USA Department of Energy, Apr. 2018.
- [4] T. Wangler, "Beam loading," in *RF Linear Accelerators*, Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH, 2008, p. 345.
- [5] V. A. Dolgashev, "Traveling wave linear accelerator with rf power flow outside of accelerating cavities," US patent: US9380695B2, Jun. 28, 2016.

- [6] V. Dolgashev, "Traveling wave linear accelerator with rf power flow outside of accelerating cavities," in *Proc. LINAC'16*, East Lansing, MI, USA, Sep. 2016, pp. 48-51, doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-LINAC2016-MOOP04
- [7] S. Tantawi *et al.*, "Distributed coupling and multifrequency microwave accelerators," US patent: US20160014876A1 US9386682B2, Jul. 05, 2016.
- [8] Ansys® Electromagnetics Suite 18.0.0, HFSS.
- [9] Y. H. Chin *et al.*, "ABCI Progresses and plans: parallel computing and transverse Shobuda-Napoly integral," in *Proc. IEEE PAC'07*, Albuquerque, NM, USA, Jun. 2007, pp. 3306-3308, doi:10.1109/PAC.2007.4440407
- [10] ABCI, http://abci.kek.jp/abci.htm
- [11] M. Shumail and V. A. Dolgashev, "Design of high efficiency high power CW linacs for environmental and industrial applications," presented at the 9th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'18), Vancouver, Canada, Apr.-May 2018, paper THPML126, this conference.