# NEA SURFACE ACTIVATION OF GaAs PHOTOCATHODE WITH CO2

L. Guo<sup>\*</sup>, Institute for Molecular Science,

UVSOR Facility 38 Nishigo-Naka, Myodaiji, Okazaki, 444-8585, Japan

M. Kuriki, Graduate School for Advanced Sciences of Matters, Hiroshima University

Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan

H. Iijima, Tokyo University of Science 6-3-1 Niijuku, Katsushika-ku, Tokyo

K. Uchida, Cosylab Japan

## Abstract

EXPERIMENT

NEA (negative electron affinity)-GaAs cathode is able to generate highly spin polarized electron beam more than 90%. The NEA activation is performed usually with Cs and  $O_2$  or NF<sub>3</sub>, but the exact structure of the NEA surface is not known. In this paper, we performed the NEA activation on a cleaned GaAs surface with CO<sub>2</sub>, CO and O<sub>2</sub> gases and ∃ compared the results to improve our understanding on the  $\frac{1}{2}$  NEA surface. We found that CO<sub>2</sub> activated the cathode, but CO did not. By analyzing  $CO_2$  activation, we found that atomic oxygen activates the NEA surface and CO degrades the NEA surface simultaneously. We found that the NEA activation ability of atomic oxygen is almost a half of that

## **INTRODUCTION**

sign of  $O_2$  molecule. NEA (Negative Electron Affinity) GaAs photo-cathode  $\gtrsim$  has a unique and important role in the accelerator science. This is a practically only solution of highly spin polarized  $\widehat{\underline{\infty}}$  electron source for accelerator [1] [2]. NEA GaAs cathode  $\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}$}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}{\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\text{$\widehat{e}}}\\\stackrel{\\$  $^{\textcircled{O}}$  emittance) electron beam, due to the small beam emittance [3] and a large quantum efficiency (QE) up to 20% [4]. It can be considered to be one of the candidates of photo-cathode  $\frac{1}{2}$  for accelerators requiring a high brightness electron beam.

In contrast to the large improvement on the spin polarization and the good performance of the beam from the NEA cathode, the structure of the NEA surface is not understood well. The NEA surface is artificially formed by Cs evapora- $\frac{1}{2}$  tion with O<sub>2</sub> [5] of NF<sub>3</sub> [6] evaporation on a cleaned GaAs surface. According to an investigation of the NEA surface je by STM, the work function of p-GaAs(110) was lowered by Cs adsorption on its surface [7], but the role of O<sub>2</sub> or NF<sub>3</sub> in the NEA activation has not been understood well. By analyzing spectra of QE of There Guine, a second progress of Yo-Yo process [8].

To improve our understanding for the NEA surface, we studied the NEA activation process with several gas species. In this paper, we employed  $CO_2$  and CO as test gases. We performed the NEA activation experiment with them. The from results were compared with that by O<sub>2</sub> as reference.

lguo@ims.ac.jp

Content THPMK116 4590

The experimental setup and the gas introduction system were described in Ref. [9]. The typical pressure was  $6.0 \times 10^{-9}$  Pa. The GaAs wafer is placed on a molybdenum base plate. It is soldered on the base with indium and fixed by a tantalum cup. In this study, the p-type, Zn-doped bulk GaAs(100) was used as a cathode sample. The doping density is  $4.0 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The temperature of the cathode mount can be controlled with a tungsten heater embedded in the mount. The GaAs cathode temperature is measured with a thermocouple attached to the cathode mount. A quadrupole mass spectrometer, QMS (M101QA-TDM-W, ANELVA) is used for the gas analysis. The sample gas contains not only the specific molecule, but also fragment components and contaminations. The gas content is obtained by analyzing the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) spectrum up to 100 m/z measured by OMS.

The NEA GaAs cathode was formed by alternate evaporations of Cs and gas (O<sub>2</sub> as reference) on a cleaned GaAs substrate (yo-yo method) [9]. We examined CO<sub>2</sub> and CO as the test gas. Addition to these gas species, O<sub>2</sub> was used as the reference. The QE evolutions during the NEA activation with O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and CO are shown in Figures 1-3, respectively.



Figure 1: QE evolution during the activation process by Cs and O<sub>2</sub>. The first peak is made by Cs only. In other peaks, QE is increased by O<sub>2</sub> and decreased by Cs.

Figure 1 shows the QE evolution of the NEA activation with  $O_2$  gas. The first peak is made by Cs evaporation. In other peaks, QE is increased by  $O_2$  and decreased by Cs. If the height of the peak is almost same as that of the previous peak, as the sixth peak in Figure 1, we terminate the NEA activation process. Figure 2 shows the QE evolution



Figure 2: QE evolution of the activation process with Cs and  $CO_2$ . The activation procedure was same as that with  $O_2$  except the introduced gas.

of an activation with  $CO_2$ . The first peak is made by Cs evaporation. In other peaks, QE is increased by  $CO_2$  and decreased by Cs. The evolution is similar to that with  $O_2$ , but the maximum QE value is about 2.3% which is significantly lower than that with  $O_2$ .



Figure 3: QE evolution during the activation process with Cs and CO. QE did not rise with CO.

Figure 3 shows the QE evolution of an activation with CO. After the first peak was made by Cs, CO gas was introduced up to t=0.8 h, but nothing happened, i.e. QE was not increased at all. CO did not activate GaAs cathode. To confirm the health of the GaAs sample and effect of CO on GaAs, O<sub>2</sub> gas was introduced after t=0.9 h, i.e. the usual NEA activation was performed. In Figure 3, OE evolutions of the NEA activation with CO and O<sub>2</sub> are drawn with a solid line and a dashed line, respectively. By introducing O2 gas, QE was increased as same as the usual NEA activation with  $O_2$  and the final maximum QE was more than 9% which is almost same as that in Figure 1. From this result, we can conclude that the GaAs sample was healthy (there was no trouble on the preparation) and CO does not activate GaAs cathode. CO gas introduction prior to the process does not disturb NEA activation with O<sub>2</sub>, because the NEA surface was activated with O<sub>2</sub> even after CO gas was applied.

### DISCUSSION

Figure 4 shows the height of each peak in the Figure 1 (NEA activation with  $O_2$ ) as a function of exposure of  $O_2$  gas in Langmuir (1L =  $1.33 \times 10^{-4} Pa \cdot s$ ). According to the result, the height is linearly increased as the  $O_2$  gas exposure. Figure 5 shows the height of each peak in the Figure 2 (NEA activation with  $CO_2$ ) as a function of exposure of  $CO_2$  gas. It is quite different from Figure 4. The QE height in Figure 4 is proportional to the exposure of  $O_2$ , but the relation of that with  $CO_2$  in Figure 5 is not linear.



Figure 4: The height of each peak in Fig. 1 is shown as a function of  $O_2$  gas exposure. The red solid line is a fitting curve by assuming Eq. (2).

CO molecule does not contribute to the NEA activation and does not disturb the NEA activation process, but it degrades the activated NEA surface, according to Ref. [10]. By considering this degradation effect of CO molecule, the saturation observed in Figure 5 can be explained. The QE( $\eta$ )

and DOI.

evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> activation can be expressed by the is evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> activation can be expressed by the is degradation effect by CO molecule and the activation by atomic oxygen as  $\eta = \frac{\alpha_1 \mu}{\beta} [1 - \exp(-\beta x_3)]$ (1)

$$\eta = \frac{\alpha_1 \mu}{\beta} [1 - \exp(-\beta x_3)] \tag{1}$$

where  $\mu f_3 = f_1$ .  $x_3 = \int_0^t f_3 dt$ , i.e. exposure of CO. This model can explain not only the lower QE of the activation  $\frac{1}{2}$  model can explain not only the lower QE of the activation with CO<sub>2</sub> than that with O<sub>2</sub>, but also the non-linearity of the



function of  $CO_2$  gas exposure. The red solid line is a fitting curve by assuming Eq. (1).

The content of  $CO_2$ , CO, and atomic oxygen in the experimental chamber were measured with QMS. The measured mass spectrum (m/z) is a convolution of the gas content, sensitivity coefficient and fragmentation pattern coefficient  $\succeq$  of each component [11] [12]. We assume O, C, CO, N, N<sub>2</sub>  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}$  and CO<sub>2</sub> as the content. By analyzing the data with the pat-2 tern coefficient of QMS [11], the partial pressure of these 5 molecules during the experiment can be extracted. For ex- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  ample, the peak at 44 is composed by CO<sub>2</sub> only. Because a  $\frac{1}{2}$  part of CO<sub>2</sub> fragments into CO(m/z=28), O(m/z=16), and  $\frac{3}{4}$  C(m/z=12), these components has to be accounted. The  $\frac{1}{2}$  fraction of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and O during the experiment was estimated to be 0.75:0.18:0.07.  $\mu$  is 0.39.

As same as that for CO<sub>2</sub> case, the content was estimated with the same manner, when  $O_2$  gas was introduced.  $O_2$ é molecule composes peaks at 32 ( $O_2$ ) and 16 (O) as the frag-Ξ ment product, but atomic oxygen composes only a peak at work 16. The fraction of  $O_2$  molecule and atomic oxygen during the experiment was estimated to be 0.75:0.25. To determine this ' both activation ability of the O2 molecule and atomic oxyrom gen independently, the NEA activations with CO2 and O2 gas were analyzed together. The expected evolution of the quantum efficiency  $\eta$  for the activation with O<sub>2</sub> is

$$\eta = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2, \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha_2$  is the activation ability of O<sub>2</sub> molecule and  $x_2$  is the exposure of  $O_2$  molecule.

Three parameters,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\beta$  can be determined with the data of activations with  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ . The results are shown in Figure 4 and 5 as the fitting curves.  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  (activation abilities of atomic oxygen and O<sub>2</sub> molecule) were estimated to be  $7.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$  and  $15.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$ , respectively. The error of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are estimated by three measurements with CO<sub>2</sub>. The center value is the average and the error was half of the peak-to-peak value. We found that O2 molecule has an activation ability that is approximately twice of that of atomic oxygen. This fact can be explained as that the activation ability is simply proportional to the number of atomic oxygen provided to GaAs-Cs surface. The degradation ability  $\beta$  of CO was estimated to be 0.91 ± 0.09 L<sup>-1</sup> which can be compared to  $0.84 L^{-1}$  in Ref. [10]. These numbers are consistent to each other within the error. The error of  $\beta$  is estimated with the same manner for  $\alpha$ .

### **SUMMARY**

We examined the NEA activation of GaAs cathode with O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and CO to understand NEA surface property. In a nominal activation with  $O_2$  gas, we found that the height of each yo-yo peak are proportional to O2 exposure. CO did not activate the NEA GaAs cathode at all. CO<sub>2</sub> activated the NEA GaAs cathode, but the QE was 2.3% which was lower than that with  $O_2$ . We found that the activation ability of O<sub>2</sub> molecule and atomic oxygen were  $15.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$  and  $7.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$ , respectively. The low quantum efficiency of the cathode activated with CO<sub>2</sub> can be explained with the degradation by CO to the NEA surface. The degradation ability of CO was estimated to be  $0.91 \pm 0.09 \text{ L}^{-1}$ , which is consistent to 0.84 by a preceding study [10].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E.L. Garwin, et al., Helv. Phys. Acta 47, 393 (1974).
- [2] G. Lampel and C. Weisleuch, Solid State Commun. 16, 877 (1975).
- [3] Ivan V. Bazarov et al., J. Appl. Phys. 103, 054901 (2008).
- [4] A. Moy et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1149 1038 (2009).
- [5] K. Togawa et al., Nucl. Instr. And Meth. A, 414, pp.431-445 (1998).
- [6] Zhi Liu et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 241107 (2008).
- [7] T. Yamada et al., Surf. Science 479 (2001) 33-42.
- [8] Y. Masumoto, Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter. Master Thesis. (2012).

**02 Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators T02 Electron Sources** 

DOI.

Content THPMK116 4592

9th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-184-7

- [9] L. Guo, et al., Surface Science 664C (2017) pp. 65-69.
- [10] N Chanlek et al., J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 47 (2014) 055110.
- [11] K. Fuwa "Quadrupole mass spectrometer principle and application (1977)", Kodansha, (1977), pp50-51.
- [12] K. Uchida, Graduation thesis Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter, Hiroshima University. (2012).