



### Laser Damage Image Pre-processing Based on Total Variation

Jun Luo, Xiaowei Zhou, Xingquan Xie, and Zhigao Ni Institute of Computer Apllication China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianyang, China

#### Outline





#### 1 Background

Laser Damage

- Because of reasons such as self-focusing, laser-induced damages are likely to happen to the optics.
- Damages need to be inspected and tracked upon being initially produced, otherwise the optics would be damaged heavily and become non-repairable.



#### 1 Background

**Laser Optics Damage Inspection** 

• Laser-induced defects or flaws on the optics are presented in images acquired by specific charge coupled devices (CCDs).



Example image acquired by the CCD

 Laser optics damage inspection relies heavily on the image analysis to identify the damage defects or flaws.



#### 1 Background

**Related Researches** 

- To develop the optic illumination techniques.
- To improve the damage image analysis and defect identification technology.

**Challenges of Damage Defect Identification** 

- The size of defects is quite tiny compared to the image.
- The grey value of different image areas is different because of the uneven distribution of illumination.
- The low-light-level property of damage images acquired makes defects blurred into the backgrounds.



#### 2 Motivation

- Due to the challenges listed above, the accuracy of damage defect identification is not satisfying: high false alarm rates and high missing rates.
- One question: Can we develop algorithms to pre-process these laser damage images acquired by CCDs, and to improve the identification of defect points?.



#### **3 Damage Image Pre-processing**

**Problem Description** 

- Given the unknown pollutions
  image pre-processing → image restoration
- Assume that:  $f = K\bar{x} + \omega$ 
  - $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ : the original  $n \times n$  image
  - $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times n^2}$ : the blurring operator
  - - $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ : the additive noise
  - - $f \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ : the observation
- Our objective: to recover  $\overline{x}$  from f



#### **3 Damage Image Pre-processing**

**Total Variation (TV) Based Model** 

• Combining TV regularization with *l*2 norm fidelity, we get the TV based image reconstruction model as following:

• Equivalent constrained formulation:

$$\min_{x,y} \sum_{i} \|\mathbf{y}_{i}\| + \frac{\mu}{2} \|Kx - f\|_{2}^{2}$$
  
s.t.  $\mathbf{y}_{i} = D_{i}x, i = 1, ..., n^{2}$ 



#### **3 Damage Image Pre-processing**

**Applying ADMM to TV Based Model** 

• the augmented Lagrangian function :

$$\Gamma_{A}(x, y, \lambda) = \sum_{i} (\|\mathbf{y}_{i}\| - \lambda_{i}^{T}(\mathbf{y}_{i} - D_{i}x) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{i} - D_{i}x\|_{2}^{2}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|Kx - f\|_{2}^{2}.$$

- Iterative scheme:
  - $y^{k+1} = \arg \min_{y} \Gamma_{A}(x^{k}, y, \lambda^{k})$  $x^{k+1} = \arg \min_{x} \Gamma_{A}(x, y^{k+1}, \lambda^{k})$  $\lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^{k} \beta(y^{k+1} Dx^{k+1})$

• Terminate condition:

$$\frac{\left\|x^{k+1} - x^{k}\right\|}{\max\left\{\left\|x^{k}\right\|, 1\right\}} < \varepsilon$$



#### **4** Experiments



#### The comparison of accuracy

Method	False Alarm	Missing
	Rate	Rate
No pre-processing	30%	15%
With pre-processing	8 %	5%



### **5** Conclusion

#### • Concluding remarks

- Optics damage inspection directly with original images shows a high false alarm rate and a high missing rate
- Pre-processing images through reconstructing them, by utilizing the total variation (TV) based model and an alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) algorithm
- Preliminary experiments demonstrate the potential of pre-processing method: both the false alarm rate and the missing rate are reduced
- Future research
  - The optimal regularization parameter of this method varies when dealing with different images.
  - The scheme of the optimal regularization parameter selecting.





## Thanks

# for your attention!

