#### Chicane BPM Design and Expectations Perpendicularly mounted strip-line for dispersive areas

Kirsten Hacker 18-5-06



### Introduction

- Range and resolution requirements
- Design choice and alternatives
- Simulation expectations
- Front-end prototype measurements
- Stretched-wire prototype measurements
- Expectations for bunch-to-bunch energy measurement

#### **BC2 BPM Placement**



Requires replacement of central vacuum chamber in coordination with location of screen and collimator



Installation is scheduled for October 2006.

#### **BC3 BPM Placement**

In between 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> dipole of BC3 there is a section of empty vacuum chamber



## Large Horizontal Aperture

- Accommodates large range of operating R<sub>16</sub>
  - 74 mm range for FLASH BC2
  - 150 mm range for FLASH BC3
  - 100 mm range for XFEL BC1

Large beam energy-spread becomes position-spread

- ~ 10 mm (6 sigma) in FLASH BC2 chicane (0.5% rms)
- ~ 6 mm (6 sigma) in FLASH BC3 chicane (0.5% rms)
- ~ 60 mm (6 sigma) XFEL BC1 chicane (1.8% rms)

```
FLASH (BC2 16-20°)
                                                      FLASH (BC3 2-5°)
R_{56} = 140-228 \text{ mm}
                                                      R_{56} = 14-84 \text{ mm}
R_{16} = 284-358 \text{ mm}
                                                      R_{16}= 100-250 mm
E = 120-140 MeV
                                                      E = 380-450 MeV
                           XFEL (BC1)
                                                                               XFEL (BC2)
                           R_{56} = 100 \text{ mm}
                                                                               R_{56} = 40 \text{ mm}
                           R_{16} = 500-600 \text{ mm}
                                                                               R_{16} = 200-300 \text{ mm}
                                = 500 MeV
                                                                                    = 2,000 MeV
                           F
```

# High Resolution (<10 um)

- Need energy feedback in BC2 to keep beam arrivaltime constant to 30 fs (~10um @v=c) for pump-probe experiments
- BC2 energy jitter (10<sup>-4</sup>) times R<sub>16</sub> (345 mm) becomes transverse position jitter (34.5 um) in chicane
- After the chicane this becomes  $10^{-4} * R_{56} = 18$  um or 60 fs (rms) arrival-time jitter
- That means the energy jitter must be made better than 5\*10<sup>-5</sup>
- A monitor for a feedback system must be at least a factor of 3 better than this for a single-bunch measurement
- This means that the desired resolution for a BPM is 5 um The same argument goes for BC3, but it is less critical because the  $R_{56}$  is a factor of 4 smaller

### **Possible Candidates**

- Array of small striplines parallel to beam direction
  - Wire interference, Calibration, Offset drifts
- Normal BPM on movers
  - Bellows, space constraints

#### • Perpendicularly-mounted stripline

- RF measurement can't get resolution
- Optical method can (5 um is 17 fs for a single time-of-flight measurement)



- Beam arrival-time has been measured with phase-monitor with 30 femtosecond accuracy using optical method (F. Loehl)
- Improved electronics (diode and filter noise) could give factor of 10 improvement
- Placing EOM in tunnel (no 30 meter cable) gives a factor of ~2 improvement
- Using monitor with larger bandwidth transmission gives factor of ~2 improvement
- 2 measurements give a sqrt(2) improvement
- R<sub>16</sub> has a factor of 5 advantage over R<sub>56</sub> for an energy measurement with this technique in the XFEL BC1

=> Systematic errors will be the largest limitation of resolution

(10 fs phase measurement => 6 um position resolution)



#### MW Studio Simulation

Coaxial cable impedance matching model Tapered to SMA connector to maximize bandwidth of output







Time / ns

freq = 0-50 GHz, pos = -3 cm, beam width=0.2 mm

Time Signals



freq = 0-50 GHz, pos = -3 cm, beam width = 5 mm

Time Signals



Freq = 0.50 GHz, pos = -3 cm, beam width = 30 mm

Time Signals



# Beam Phase Monitor Simulation

8 GHz scope 8 GHz (FWHM) simulation





### Test bench



Setup developed by Florian Loehl

# Stretched-wire tests w/ 7GHz scope and short-pulse generator



#### Stretched-wire simulation



Output -> 5 ns ringing amplitude is 3.5% of input

Conclusion: reality is 3/5 as good as simulation

<- Input 5.6 GHz bandwidth, arbitrary units 10% of this is reflected at chamber entrance



#### Phase changes vs. wire movement

• Stretched-wire moved in steps of 0.5 mm (one full rotation of micrometer) produced 3.5 ps phase shift and 3.6 is exactly what we wanted to see



### Concerns

- If one side's phase measurement is at the zerocrossing and the other is not, the position measurement will change when certain beam parameters change
- Nevertheless, optical delay-lines and piezo line-stretchers can be used in a macro-pulse-tomacro-pulse feedback to keep the system measuring at exactly the zero-crossing

- Charge dependence of slope (scales linearly)
  - Charge stability is 2 to 3 % RMS
- Transverse width
   dependence
  - Simulation shows 1.9 ps change of zero crossing for 1 cm change in width
  - Slope change is more significant
- Longitudinal shape dependence
  - Much smaller than transverse dependence

# What this means for a chicane energy measurement

- Arrival-time measured with phase-monitor can distinguish gun timing jitter induced energy jitter from the beam energy measured with the chicane BPM
- Bunch-length monitor used in conjunction with BPM can distinguish energy modulations caused by LLRF phase changes from amplitude changes
- Upstream and downstream BPMs will be needed to correct for the incoming orbit error contribution to the BPM energy measurement
- Two phase-monitors (before and after the chicane) can provide a good energy measurement as well, but the BPM can offer a factor of >5 advantage, based on simulation
- BPM offers the potential for an energy spread measurement (sum) when used in conjunction with the phase-monitor

#### Thank you for your attention!



- The 4 cm long stretched wire's 1<sup>st</sup> harmonic is 7.5 GHz (3\*2.5 GHz input pulse)
- The 2<sup>ond</sup> would have a zero crossing at the antenna location
- If this is the case then moving the wire will not change the pattern
- An alternative is that a cavity mode in the 16cm stripline direction, due to the end-plates, creates the reflection with a 1<sup>st</sup> harmonic of 0.93 GHz
- If this is the case then moving the wire will change the pattern
- (in simulation, it does, but it is hard to see in the prototype)
- Unfortunately, the rectangular shape of the inpu port for the wire also affects the signal, so distinguishing all of the effects is not
- completely straightforward

#### plot(x,-(sin(x)-sin(x/3-pi/2)).\*exp(-x/100))

The similarity between the above function and the scope trace suggests that the 3<sup>rd</sup> harmonic or sub-harmonic of the transient might be reflected at one of the boundaries with a phase shift between -pi and -pi/2

Since a phase shift of pi is like a shorted-transmission line and a phase-shift of zero is like an open-transmission line, the phase-sh seen on the scope trace could imply that the impedance of the stretched wire termination is too small



#### Moving wire away from center of cavity makes influence of artificial cavity mode caused by endplates slightly weaker



#### **Simplified Layout**

**RF** Pickup



### Front-End A



-Temperature controlled -Radiation shielded -EMI shielded Modular electronics space could provide room for limiters, attenuators, or other RF conditioning as well as conditioning for the bias voltage

## Front-End B



Should be a 3HI VME card in same crate as ADC

Each front-end has its own Clock, and must, therefore, have its own ADC

Clock operates at 108 MHz, so a separate module could provide a gating function, for slower photo-diode/slower ADC option

-Temperature controlled

-EMI shielded