# The challenges of seeded FELs

## Giovanni De Ninno

**Sincrotrone Trieste** 

#### What a FEL user is dreaming of...

- Much higher peak brilliance than standard synchrotron radiation sources and full tuneability in the VUV/X-ray spectral region

- Possibility of controlling pulse duration vs energy resolution
- Full transverse and longitudinal coherence of radiation pulse
- High shot-to-shot reproducibility, i.e. :
  - power stability (especially needed for nonlinear experiments)
  - spectral stability (especially needed for energy resolved experiments)
  - point source and pointing stability (especially needed for experiment design)
  - low temporal jitter (especially needed for pump-probe experiments)

### Outline

#### - Introduce seeded schemes

A major advantage of the High Gain Harmonic Generation FEL is that the output properties at the harmonic wavelength are **a map** of the characteristics of the high-quality fundamental seed laser (L.H. Yu et al., Science 289, 932 (2000))

- Review of "basic" requirements for fourth generation light sources
- Review "advanced" requirements : <u>control of longitudinal e-beam phase space</u>, <u>minimization of jitter in input parameters and seed-bunch synchronism</u> at undulator entrance
- Conclusion

#### Seeded harmonic generation: the cascade



#### **Seeded harmonic generation: the cascade**



#### Seeding with high order harmonics generated in gas



#### Seeding with high order harmonics generated in gas



- High harmonic generation in gas is <u>presently</u> limited to short pulses. It is therefore complementary to cascade approach

- Most of the following considerations hold for both configurations

### 1D "ideal" scaling laws

For an ideal <u>uniform</u> electron beam with zero energy spread and zero emittance linear analysis shows that (*on resonance*) the power grows exponentially along the undulator coordinate. In this case:



The same analysis is approximately valid when the electron beam is initially <u>bunched</u>, as in the case of seeded schemes, and one considers the (later) exponential part of the process.



**Distance along the radiator** 

#### "Basic" electron beam requirement: energy spread



For effective harmonic generation:

$$\Delta \gamma > N\sigma_{\gamma}$$

but too small  $\sigma_{\gamma}$  leads to SASE growth

→ need a compromise

#### "Basic" electron beam requirement: transverse dimension

Radius of an electron beam matched to a linear transverse focusing:







#### *Emittance*

Affects interaction coupling.

Good transverse overlap requires

 $\mathcal{E}_n \leq \lambda$ 

critical at short wavelengths

#### Focusing



### "Advanced" requirements

Requirements on energy spread and e-beam transverse dimensions are not enough for insuring that *the output properties at the harmonic wavelength are a map of the characteristics of the seeding signal.* 

The fundamental features the FEL output pulse is supposed to inherit from the seeding signal are longitudinal coherence and shot-to-shot reproducibility. **They rely on** 

- <u>Control on longitudinal e-beam distributions</u> (i.e., flat phase space, uniform current and energy spread distributions at the undulator entrance)

- <u>low jitter of input parameters</u> (e.g., energy, current, energy spread, emittance, seed power, ...)

- low seed-bunch temporal jitter

#### **Phase-space homogeneity (FERMI)**

#### **Before optimization**



#### **Phase-space homogeneity (Bessy)**



#### Uniformity of current and energy spread profiles (FERMI)



### **Jitter of input parameters**

Expected bunch parameter RMS variations at the end of linac extracted from start-to-end time-dependent simulations for **FERMI** and **Bessy-FEL** case

Doromotor	FERMI	Bessy	Bessy
Parameter			( <u>only time jitter</u> )
Mean energy	0.1 %	0.02%	0.25%
Peak current	4 %	6%	6%
Emittance	10%	4.5%	9%
Energy spread	10 %	20%	6%
Time offset	130 fs	75 fs	

#### Shot-to-shot variation

- The Bessy-FEL is dominated by variations induced by seed-bunch time jitter (due to non-homogeneous phase space)

- Fluctuation of mean energy and time offset is the most limiting factor for achieving good output stability (see next slides)

### **Stability study: the FERMI case**

A set of 100 start-to-end time dependent simulations have been performed including errors in the gun and in the linac for the case of FERMI tuned at 40 nm. Nominal values are the following.

#### **Nominal values**

Parameter	Value	Units
Input Seed power	100	MW
Electron Beam Energy	1.2	GeV
Peak current	800	A
Uncorrelated energy spread ("slice" value)	150	KeV
Norm. Transverse Emittance ("slice" value)	1.5	mm-mrad
Electron Bunch Length (flat portion)	0.6	ps

#### **Energy and current fluctuations**



### **FEL output fluctuations**



Average photon number : 4.5.10<sup>13</sup>

Jitter output photon number 23%

Average pulse width: 54 fs

Average central wavelength: 40 nm Jitter output central wavelength: 0.01% Average bandwidth: 0.03 %

factor  $\sim 2.2$  above transform limit

#### **Shot-to-shot fluctuations at Brookhaven**



### Conclusions

- High-quality characteristics of the seeding signal are transferred to output FEL pulse only if stringent physical and technological requirements are satisfied. This includes:

- Small normalized (slice) emittance
- Trade-off for incoherent energy spread and transverse focusing
- Good transverse light-electron overlapping over propagation length

- Control on longitudinal e-beam distributions
- Shot-to-shot e-beam reproducibility
- Small seed-bunch jitter

Impact on:



Longitudinal coherence

**Shot-to-shot FEL fluctuations**