DESIGN FORMULAS FOR VUV AND X-RAY FELS

E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller, M.V. Yurkov Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Simple formulas for optimization of VUV and X-ray SASE FELs are presented. The FEL gain length and the optimal beta-function are explicitly expressed in terms of the electron beam and undulator parameters. The FEL saturation length is estimated taking into account energy diffusion due to quantum fluctuations of the undulator radiation. Examples of the FEL optimization are given. Parameters of a SASE FEL, operating at the Compton wavelength, are suggested.

INTRODUCTION

At the first stage of a SASE FEL (self-amplified spontaneous emission) [1] design one looks for the dependence of the FEL saturation length on the wavelength, electron beam parameters, undulator parameters, and beta-function. Usually the parameters are optimized for the shortest design wavelength since the saturation length is the largest in this case. The saturation length is proportional to the gain length (e-folding length) of the fundamental transverse mode (see [2] for more details). The gain length can be found by the solution of the FEL eigenvalue equation.

The eigenvalue equation for a high-gain FEL, including diffraction of radiation, emittance, and energy spread, was derived in [3, 4]. There exist approximate solutions [5, 6] of this equation. The exact solution was presented in [7] as well as an approximate solution (with a limited validity range). The latter solution was fitted [7] using 3 dimensionless groups of parameters, and 19 fitting coefficients. An approximate solution, that fits the exact solution in the entire parameter space with high accuracy (better that 1 %), was presented in [8]. A numerical algorithm for finding this approximate solution is very fast and robust. It was used to obtain the main results of this paper.

In this paper we present the fitting formula for the FEL gain length written down explicitly in terms of the beam and undulator parameters. This formula is not universal, but it provides a good accuracy (better than 5 %) in a typical parameter range of VUV and X-ray FELs. We present the formula without derivation since it was not derived analytically. In some sense the parametric dependencies were guessed, and then the fitting coefficients were found from the solution of the eigenvalue equation. For instance, we used only 2 fitting coefficients for the gain length with the optimized beta-function. The formulas of this paper allow one to quickly estimate FEL saturation length, including the effect of energy diffusion in the undulator due to quantum fluctuations of the undulator radiation. In addition,

we present two practical examples of using our design formulas: optimization of SASE FEL with negligible energy spread, and the limitation on SASE FEL wavelength taking into account quantum diffusion. In particular, we suggest for the first time the set of parameters for a SASE FEL operating at the Compton wavelength.

GAIN LENGTH FOR THE OPTIMIZED BETA-FUNCTION

Let us consider an axisymmetric electron beam with a current I, and a Gaussian distribution in transverse phase space and in energy [7, 8]. The focusing structure in the undulator is a superposition of the natural undulator focusing and an external alternating-gradient focusing. The eigenvalue equation [7, 8] is valid under the condition [8]:

$$\frac{L_{\rm f}}{2\pi\beta} \ll \min\left(1, \, \frac{\lambda_r}{2\pi\epsilon}\right)$$

where $L_{\rm f}$ is the period of the external focusing structure, β is an average beta-function, ϵ is the rms emittance of the electron beam, and λ_r is the FEL resonant wavelength. The resonance condition is written as:

$$\lambda_r = \frac{\lambda_w (1 + K^2)}{2\gamma^2} \,. \tag{1}$$

Here λ_w is the undulator period, γ is relativistic factor, and K is the rms undulator parameter:

$$K = 0.934 \lambda_{\rm w} [\rm cm] B_{\rm rms} [\rm T] , \qquad (2)$$

 $B_{\rm rms}$ being the rms undulator field.

In what follows we assume that the beta-function is optimized so that the FEL gain length takes the minimal value for given wavelength, beam and undulator parameters. Under this condition the solution of the eigenvalue equation for the *field* gain length can be approximated as follows:

 $L_q \simeq L_{q0} \left(1 + \delta\right),$

where

$$L_{g0} = 1.67 \left(\frac{I_A}{I}\right)^{1/2} \frac{(\epsilon_n \lambda_w)^{5/6}}{\lambda_r^{2/3}} \frac{(1+K^2)^{1/3}}{KA_{JJ}}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\delta = 131 \frac{I_A}{I} \frac{\epsilon_n^{5/4}}{\lambda_r^{1/8} \lambda_{\rm w}^{9/8}} \frac{\sigma_{\gamma}^2}{(KA_{JJ})^2 (1+K^2)^{1/8}} \,.$$
(5)

(3)



Figure 1: Gain length versus resonant wavelength for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_{\rm w} = 3$ cm, K = 1, I = 2.5 kA, $\epsilon_n = 2 \ \mu$ m, $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1$ MeV. Undulator is planar, resonance is maintained by tuning electron beam energy, beta-function is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).

The following notations are introduced here: $I_A = 17$ kA is the Alfven current, $\epsilon_n = \gamma \epsilon$ is the rms normalized emittance, $\sigma_{\gamma} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}/mc^2$ is the rms energy spread (in units of the rest energy), $A_{JJ} = 1$ for a helical undulator and $A_{JJ} = J_0(K^2/2(1+K^2)) - J_1(K^2/2(1+K^2))$ for a planar undulator, J_0 and J_1 are the Bessel functions of the first kind.

The formula (3) provides an accuracy better than 5 % in the domain of parameters defined as follows

$$1 < \frac{2\pi\epsilon}{\lambda_r} < 5 \tag{6}$$

$$\delta < 2.5 \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi\epsilon}{\lambda_r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$
(7)

Note that the condition (6) is usually satisfied in realistic designs of VUV and X-ray FELs when one does optimization for the shortest wavelength (defining the total undulator length). The condition (7) is practically not a limitation. To illustrate the accuracy of the formula (3) we present a numerical example. The following nominal operating point is chosen: $\lambda_r = 1$ nm, $\lambda_w = 3$ cm, K = 1, I = 2.5 kA, $\epsilon_n = 2 \ \mu m, \ \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1$ MeV, energy is 2.8 GeV, undulator is planar. We scan over different parameters and compare the gain length calculated with formula (3) and by solving the eigenvalue equation [8]. The results are presented in Figs. 1-6. We have carefully checked the accuracy of formula (3) for different combinations of dimensional parameters entering (4) and (5). The deviation of the approximate formula (3) from the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8] is indeed defined only by the parameters $2\pi\epsilon/\lambda_r$ and δ , and is within 5 % in the above specified domain.

We also present here an approximate expression for the optimal beta-function (an accuracy is about 10 % in the above mentioned parameter range):



Figure 2: Gain length versus undulator period for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_r = 1$ nm, K = 1, I = 2.5 kA, $\epsilon_n = 2 \ \mu$ m, $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1$ MeV. Undulator is planar, resonance is maintained by tuning electron beam energy, betafunction is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).

$$\beta_{\rm opt} \simeq 11.2 \left(\frac{I_A}{I}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\epsilon_n^{3/2} \lambda_{\rm w}^{1/2}}{\lambda_r K A_{JJ}} (1+8\delta)^{-1/3}$$
 (8)

Note that dependence of the gain length on beta-function is rather weak when $\beta > \beta_{opt}$.

Finally, let us note that the saturation length cannot be directly found from the eigenvalue equation. However, with an accuracy 10-20 % one can accept the following estimate:

$$L_{\rm sat} \simeq 10 \ L_g \tag{9}$$

EFFECT OF QUANTUM DIFFUSION IN AN UNDULATOR

Energy spread growth due to the quantum fluctuations of the spontaneous undulator radiation can be an important effect [1, 10] in future SASE FELs. The rate of the energy diffusion is given by [11]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma}^2}{dz} = \frac{14}{15} \lambda_{\rm c} r_{\rm e} \gamma^4 \kappa_{\rm w}^3 K^2 F(K) , \qquad (10)$$

where $\lambda_c=3.86\times 10^{-11}$ cm, $r_e=2.82\times 10^{-13}$ cm, $\kappa_w=2\pi/\lambda_w$, and

$$F(K) = 1.42K + (1 + 1.50K + 0.95K^2)^{-1}$$
for helical undulator

$$F(K) = 1.70K + (1 + 1.88K + 0.80K^2)^{-1}$$

for planar undulator (11)

To estimate the FEL saturation length, we accept the following scheme. First, we neglect energy diffusion and find a zeroth order approximation to the saturation length from



Figure 3: Gain length versus undulator parameter K for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_r = 1 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_w = 3 \text{ cm}$, I = 2.5 kA, $\epsilon_n = 2 \mu \text{m}$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1 \text{ MeV}$. Undulator is planar, resonance is maintained by tuning electron beam energy, beta-function is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).



Figure 4: Gain length versus normalized emittance for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_r = 1 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_w = 3 \text{ cm}$, K = 1, I = 2.5 kA, $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1 \text{ MeV}$. Undulator is planar, beta-function is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).

(9), (3)-(5). Then we calculate an induced energy spread in the middle of the undulator from (10), add it quadratically to the initial energy spread, and find a new expression for δ . Then, using (9), (3)-(5), we find the first approximation to the saturation length. Then we do the next iteration, etc. The saturation length is then proportional to a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_q^n$ and is given by

$$L_{\rm sat} \simeq 10 \ L_{g0} \ \frac{1+\delta}{1-\delta_q} \ , \tag{12}$$

where

$$\delta_q = 5.5 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{I_A}{I}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\lambda_{\rm c} r_{\rm e} \epsilon_n^2}{\lambda_r^{11/4} \lambda_{\rm w}^{5/4}} \frac{(1+K^2)^{9/4} F(K)}{K A_{JJ}^3}$$
(13)

Note that in the latter formula the powers are somewhat simplified. Comparing Eqs. (9) and (12), we can introduce an effective parameter

$$\delta_{\rm eff} = \frac{\delta + \delta_q}{1 - \delta_q} \,, \tag{14}$$

which should be used instead of δ in (7) to check the applicability range and in (8) to estimate the optimal beta-function.

Although formula (12) is rather crude estimate, it can be used for quick orientation in the parameter space with *a posteriori* check using a numerical simulation code.

OPTIMIZED FEL WITH A NEGLIGIBLE ENERGY SPREAD

Formulas, presented in the previous Sections, can be used for the optimization of undulator parameters as soon as a specific type of the undulator is chosen. We demonstrate such a possibility with the planar NdFeB undulator of which magnetic field can be described by the following formula [9]:

$$B_{\max}[T] = 3.694 \exp\left[-5.068 \frac{g}{\lambda_{w}} + 1.52 \left(\frac{g}{\lambda_{w}}\right)^{2}\right]$$

for $0.1 < g/\lambda_{w} < 1$ (15)

where g is the undulator gap. The rms value of the parameter K is given by Eq. (2) with $B_{\rm rms} = B_{\rm max}/\sqrt{2}$.

We assume that the energy spread effect on the FEL operation can be neglected (δ , $\delta_q \rightarrow 0$). Then, using (3), (2) and (15), we minimize the gain length for a given undulator gap. The optimal undulator period is independent of λ_r , *I* and ϵ_n and is found to be

$$(\lambda_{\rm w})_{\rm opt}[\rm cm] \simeq 1 + 2\,g\,[\rm cm] \qquad for \quad g > 0.5\,\rm cm \quad (16)$$

The optimal value of K is then defined from (15) and (2), the electron beam energy - from (1), and the optimal beta-function - from (8). The minimal gain length can be expressed (in practical units) as follows:

$$(L_g)_{\min}[m] \simeq 20 \; \frac{\epsilon_n^{5/6}[\mu m] \, g^{1/2}[cm]}{I^{1/2}[kA] \, \lambda_r^{2/3}[\mathring{A}]}$$
(17)

Using estimate of the saturation length (9), we find the minimal wavelength at which SASE FEL can saturate within the given undulator length L_w :

$$(\lambda_r)_{\min}[\text{\AA}] \simeq 3 \times 10^3 \frac{\epsilon_n^{5/4} [\mu\text{m}] g^{3/4} [\text{cm}]}{I^{3/4} [\text{kA}] L_{\text{w}}^{3/2} [\text{m}]}$$
(18)



Figure 5: Gain length versus current for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_r = 1 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_w = 3 \text{ cm}$, K = 1, $\epsilon_n = 2 \mu \text{m}$, $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1 \text{ MeV}$. Undulator is planar, beta-function is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).

SASE FEL AT THE COMPTON WAVELENGTH

Another example is the optimization of sub-Angstrom FELs for which the effect of quantum diffusion in the undulator can play an important role. We consider the case when the energy spread is dominated by the quantum diffusion, and neglect initial energy spread ($\delta \rightarrow 0$). Optimizing undulator period and parameter K in (12), we get the following estimate for the minimal wavelength¹:

$$(\lambda_r)_{\min}^{q}[\text{Å}] \simeq \frac{4 \epsilon_n [\mu m]}{I^{3/5} [\text{kA}] L_{w}^{2/5} [m]}$$
 (19)

Note that in some cases the optimal undulator parameters can be impractical. In any case, the estimate (19) gives a lower limit. The following numerical examples show that one can be close to this limit with technically feasible undulator parameters.

Let us consider the electron beam parameters (peak current and emittance) assumed in [12]. One of the examples, considered in [12], is a SASE FEL operating at $\lambda_r =$ 0.28 Å with I = 5 kA and $\epsilon_n = 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$. Another example is even more ambitious: $\lambda_r = 0.12$ Å with I = 5 kA and $\epsilon_n = 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$.

We try to push the wavelength closer to the extreme given by Eq. (19). In our first example we assume I = 5 kAand $\epsilon_n = 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$. With these parameters the wavelength $\lambda_r = 0.1 \text{ Å}$ can be reached at the electron beam energy 23 GeV in a planar undulator with $\lambda_w = 2 \text{ cm}$ and K = 1(with the gap g = 0.7 cm according to (15) and (2)). The optimal beta-function is about 40 m, and the saturation length is estimated at 160 m.

The second example is a SASE FEL operating at the Compton wavelength, $\lambda_r = \lambda_c = 0.0234$ Å (photon energy is 0.5 MeV). We assume the electron beam with



Figure 6: Gain length versus energy spread for the following set of parameters: $\lambda_r = 1$ nm, $\lambda_w = 3$ cm, K = 1, I = 2.5 kA, $\epsilon_n = 2 \mu$ m. Undulator is planar, beta-function is optimized for each case. Line is the solution of the eigenvalue equation [8], and the circles are calculated using formula (3).

I = 5 kA and $\epsilon_n = 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, the energy is 40 GeV. We choose a helical undulator with $\lambda_w = 2 \text{ cm}$ and K = 0.7. The optimal beta-function is about 35 m, and the saturation is reached within 200 m. Our estimates show that quantum effects, other than energy diffusion, give small corrections to the classical description and can be neglected.

REFERENCES

- Ya.S. Derbenev, A.M. Kondratenko and E.L. Saldin, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods 193(1982)415
- [2] E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, "The Physics of Free Electron Lasers", Springer, Berlin, 1999
- [3] K.J. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57(1986)1871
- [4] L.H. Yu and S. Krinsky, Physics Lett. A129(1988)463
- [5] L.H. Yu, S. Krinsky and R.L. Gluckstern, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64(1990)3011
- [6] Y.H. Chin, K.J. Kim and M. Xie, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A318(1992)481
- [7] M. Xie, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 445(2000)59
- [8] E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 475(2001)86
- [9] TESLA Technical Design Report, DESY 2001-011 (2001); TESLA XFEL, Supplement, DESY 2002-167 (2002)
- [10] J. Rossbach et al., Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 374(1996)401
- [11] E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 393(1997)152
- [12] M. Cornacchia et al., SLAC-PUB-10133 (2003)

¹One can notice the difference with more crude estimate presented in [10]