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#### Abstract

The horizontal crossing angle scheme for the tau-charm factory (TCF) as a further development of its versatile design [1], [2], [3] is considered. With versatile deaign the collider geta in laminosity $\mathrm{L} \simeq 1.0 .10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and provides the possibility to work with the conventional flat beam scheme as well as with the monochromatization one for experiments requiring a small energy resolution. To upgrade the tar-charm facility the crossing angle scheme is incorporated into the veraatile design [4], getting a luminosity $\mathrm{L}=3.5 \cdot 10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$.


## 1 BASIC REQUIREMENTS TO CROSSING ANGLE SCHEME

Presently the conventional scheme is considered as the bar sic one for the TCF [5], [6]. Following this, finite crossing angle option has been implemented into conventional design with a minimum of modifications in the storage ring. Only interaction and separation regions have been replaced, while keeping the arcs and the long straight section opposite to interaction point (I. P.) untouched. With this restriction the TCF circumference becomes slightly longer ( $\Delta C / C=5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ ) for crossing angle scheme compared with those for conventional one. Hence, smadl taning of RF frequency becomes necessary.

## 2 OPTICS

Both horizontal and vertical monochromatic schemes for TCF, used crossing angles, have been discussed previously. Their comparison shows that [4]:

- the horizontal crossing angle scheme gets bigger laminoaity and beam lifetime with the same current, compared with the vertical one;
- among the horizontal crossing angle solations, the one which uses the first microbeta insertion quadrupole Q1 (Fig. 1) common for electron and positron beams and aeparate other magnetic elements, gives maximam luminosity with minimum total carrent.

To provide the maximurn independence of two ringe, as well as fast beam separation, the second insertion quadrupole Q 2 is separated for electron and positron beams. The magnetic element location for Dubna project is shown in Fig. 1. To help in vertical focussing and to amplify horizontal separation of beams, compact permanent quadrupole QPM is located just in front of quadrupole

Q1. As well as Q1, quadrupole QPM is common for both beams. The positions and the lengths of quadrupoles QPM and Q1 are the same as in the conventional design lattice, and have been taken directly from the machine-detector interface considerations, discussed at the Marbella Workshop [7]. The long drift space after quadrupole Q1 provides horizontal beam deviation enough to have two vacuum chambers starting at the horizontally focussing quadrupole Q2 which is located in 2.3 m from I. P.
The value of crossing angle $\phi= \pm 12 \mathrm{mrad}$ was chosen as a compromize between fast beam separation and ressonable orbit excursion in quadrupole Q1. After quadrapole Q1 beam deflecting angles become $\pm 37$ mrad and the horizontal distance between beam axes is of 118 mm .
According to the TCF parameters (see Table 1), the ratio of horizontal beam size to the banch length at I. P. is 4 times bigger than the crossing angle $\phi$, probably allowing to avoid synchro-betatron resonances. Nevertheless, special places with appropriate phase advances $\mu_{x}= \pm 2 \pi \times 1.75$ are foreseen for the RF crab cavity location in optical design.
The use of the same arcs in crossing angle and conventional designs puts constraints to separation region optics. The separation of beams into vertically distanced rings is performed simaltaniously in horizontal and vertical planes, and the vertical bending is enclosed in the horizontal one. Hence, different horizontal and vertical phase advances are used to match horizontal and vertical dispersion. Following the principle to make minimum optics changes, arca and straight section atilities have been taken directly from conventional $60^{\circ}$ lattice design [2]. Lattice functions in interaction and separation regions are shown in Fig. 2, 3.

## 3 CHOICE OF PARAMETERS FOR TCF CROSSING ANGLE SCHEME

For the crossing angle scheme, the value of $\beta_{y}^{*}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$ was kept the same as for the conventional one, to get high Iuminosity with relatively amall total current. This is possible to do, because no additional problems with chromatic correction in the crossing angle scherne occur. Final focus chromaticities in this case are very close to those in the conventional one. The reaults of chromaticity correction for the conventional scheme show [8] that it is possible to get large energy acceptance of $\delta p= \pm 1.8 \%$, hence, big beam lifetime in $60^{\circ}$ lattice. The choice of bunch spacing $S_{b}=2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ was made to avoid more than two aymmetrically located parasitic crosainga. In this crossings the ratio of distance between beam axis to their horizontal size

| Energy, GeV | E | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luminosity, $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ | L | $3.5 \cdot 10^{33}$ |
| Beam lifetime, hours | ${ }^{T}$ |  |
| Energy resolution, MeV | $\sigma_{w}$ | 1.7 |
| Circumference, m | C | 377.8 |
| Natural emittance, nm. | $\varepsilon_{0}$ | 289 |
| Damping part. nambers | $\mathrm{J}_{x} / \mathrm{J}_{v} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | 0.6/1/2.4 |
| Bending radius in arc, m | $\rho$ | 10.5 |
| Damping times, msec | $\tau_{x} / \tau_{v} / \tau_{*}$ | 41/25/11 |
| Momentum compaction | $\alpha$ | 1.59.10 $0^{-2}$ |
| Energy spread | $\sigma_{E}$ | $5.89 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| Total current, A | I | 2.0 |
| Particles in a banch | $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ | 1.05.10 ${ }^{11}$ |
| Number of bunches | $\mathrm{k}_{b}$ | 150 |
| Energy loss per turn, kV | $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ | 199 |
| RF voltage, MV | V | 7 |
| Crab cavity voltage, MV | $V_{c}$ | 0.96 |
| Crab angle, mrad | $\phi_{c}$ | 12 |
| RF frequency, MHz | $\mathrm{f}_{R F}$ | 476 |
| Harmonic namber | q | 600 |
| Bunch length, mm | $\sigma$ | 7.72 |
| Bunch apacing, m | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ | 2.52 |
| Long. impedance, Ohm | $\left\|Z_{x} / n\right\|$ | 0.27 |
| Beta functions at I.P., m | $\beta_{s}^{*} / \beta_{\nu}^{*}$ | 0.50/0.01 |
| Beam-beam parameter | $\xi_{y}$ | 0.04 |

Table 1: List of parameters of tau-charm collider
$2 \delta_{x} / \sigma_{x}=28$ for $\epsilon_{x}=299 \mathrm{~nm}$, that is close to the same ratio in the conventional scheme design. With this bunch spacing the number of bunches in a ring becomes to be $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{b}}=150$, i. e. 5 times higher compared with conventional design [2]. This leads directly to 5 times higher luminosity, and to a total beam carrent of 2.6 A . Howerever, the safer value of 2.0 A was adopted as the design parameter. For a beam-beam parameter equal to 0.04 , an emittance of $3 \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}$ is required, which is easy to get with $60^{\circ}$ lattice by properly adjusted wigglers. As a resalt, a luminosity of $3.6 \cdot 10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ is achieved. The list of TCF parameters is given in Table 1.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown the possibility to develope conventional scheme into the scheme with horizontal crossing angles. Only interaction region and separation region should be modified, and the same arcs and straight section atilities can be used. The possibility to work with monochromes tization of beams is kept too. A big flexibility of conventional design based on nse of wigglers is good for crossing angles scheme.

## 5 REFERENCES

[1] Alexander Zholents, "Polirized J/ $\psi$ Mesons at a TauCharm Factory with a Monochromatization Scheme", CERN SL/82-27(AP), 1982.
[2] Paul Beloshitsky, "A Magnet Lattice for a TauCharm Factory Suitable for Both Standard Scheme and Monochromatization Scheme", LAL/RT 92-09, 1982.
[3] A. Faus-Golfe and J. Le Duff, ${ }^{n}$ A Versatile Lattice for a Tau-Charm Factory that includes a Monochromatization Scheme (Low-Emittance) and a Standard Scheme (HighEmittance)", Proceeding of the IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, Washington, 1983, p. 2045.
[4] P. F. Beloshitsky and E. A. Perelstein, "Horizontal CrabCrossing Scheme for Tau-Charm Factory", JINR Preprint E9-84-13, Dubna, 1894.
[5] Third Workshop on the Tau-Charm Factory, 1-8 June 1893, Marbella, Spain, ed. by J. Kirkby and R. Kirkby (to be published).
[6] P. Beloshitsky, J. Le Duff, B. Mouton and E. Perelstein "Modern View on Tau-Charm Factory Design Principles", LAL/RT 94-05, 1994.
[7] T. Taylor, "Machine-Detector Interface", Proceedings on Third Workshop on the Tau-Charm Factory, Marbella, Spain, 1993, ed. by J. Kirkby and R. Kirkby (to be published).
[8] P.F. Beloshitsky, "Chromaticity Correction in JINR T\&uCharm Factory High Emittance Lattice", Proceedings of the II Workshop on JINR c-tau Factory, 27-29 April 1983, JINR, Dubna, D1, 8, 13-93-459, 1993.


Fig. 1a. Schematic view of element location in horizontal plane.


Fig. 1b. Schematic view of element location in vertical plane.


Fig. 2. Beta fanctions in interaction and separation regions.


Fig. 3. Horizontal and vertical dispersion in interaction and separation regions.

