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#### Abstract

An analytical evaluation is given of several expressions of averaged lattice functions in bending magnets in a synchrotron ring. In particular it turns out that a simple expression results for the fourth symchrotron radiation integral in an isomagnetic lattice with rectangular dipole magnets, which is independent of the chosen quadrupole structure and of the machine length. As a result damping rates of the synchrotron and betatron oscillations, and energy spread of the beam are independent of the chose type of lattice.


## Introduction

The synchrotron radiation integrals $I_{1}$ to $I_{5}$ (1) are used for evaluating the physical properties of the beam in a synchrotron or storage ring. The integrals are non-zero in bending magnets, and are given in terms of combinations of lattice functions (Twissfunctions, dispersion function, etcetera), only at the segment boundaries: Helm et.al. (1).
In this paper an evaluation is given of the fourth synchrotron radiation integral $I_{4}$ in particular, following the the prescriptions of Helm et.al.. for rings with rectangular homogenous dipole magnets. A very simple expression results, independent of the lattice functions. This example has considerable practical applications, since rectangular ("straight") dipole magnets are easy to construct, and are of ten used. Moreover it turns out the analytic expression is independent of the sign of curvature (direction of rotation). Hence approximate expressions of $\Delta I_{4}$ for wigglers and undulators can be found by application of the given formula. The radial and longitudinal damping partition numbers (2) are given by $J_{X}=1-D, J_{E}=2+D$. with $D=I_{4} / I_{2}$. It is well-known that for separated function isomagnetic lattices $D \ll 1$ and that $D$ vanishes for homogenous bending magnets with parallel end faces (3). Our evaluation of $I_{4}$ in fact shows that for this case of parallel end faces $-1_{4} \ll 1$ but $\neq 0$ for dipoles with small bending angle. In synchrotron lattice codes. eg. DIMAD (4), the numerical evaluation of damping partition numbers is done via Helm's formulas. and also always shows that $I_{4}<0$ for straight homogenous bending magnets. In this paper we will give a derivation for the expression for $I_{4}$. The result was first found with the code REDUCE (5), which was used for checking the relations given by Helm, e.g. for finding the expression for the averaged H-function.

## Definition of synchrotron integrals (1)

With the usual Twiss functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and with the dispersion function $\eta$ the synchrotron radiation integrals are in fact summations of relevant quantities in bending magnets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\Sigma_{i} \frac{\ell_{i}}{\rho_{i}}\langle\eta\rangle_{i} ; \quad I_{2}=\Sigma_{i} \frac{\ell_{i}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} ; \quad I_{3}=\Sigma_{i} \frac{\ell_{i}}{\left|\rho_{i}\right|^{3}} \\
& I_{4}=\Sigma_{i}\left[\frac{\ell_{i}}{\rho_{i}^{3}}\langle\eta\rangle_{i}-2 \ell_{i}\left\langle\frac{n \eta}{\rho^{3}}\right\rangle_{i}\right] ; \quad I_{5}=\Sigma_{i} \frac{\ell_{i}}{\left|\rho_{i}\right|^{3}}\langle H\rangle_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ and $n_{i}$ are radius and field index in magnet $i$ whose leng th is $\varepsilon_{i}$ and where $H=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\eta^{2}+\left(\beta \eta^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{\prime} \eta\right)^{2}\right]$, with $\beta^{\prime}=d \beta / d s, \eta^{\prime}=d \eta / d s$.

## Evaluation of $I_{4}$

Here we give the derivation of the formula for $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, the contribution to $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ of each magnet. for rectangular homogenous bending magnets. The bending angle is $2 \phi$. the angle of entrance and exit is $\phi$. see fig. 1. In this derivation we closely follow the prescriptions of Helm et.al. The general expression for $\mathrm{AI}_{4}$ is:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{I}_{4}=\frac{e}{\rho^{3}}\langle\eta\rangle-2 e\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{~m} \eta}{\rho^{3}}\right\rangle
$$

where $\ell$ and $\rho$ are the length and bending radius of the magnet.


In a homogenous sector magnet the dispersion function is given by:

$$
\eta(s)=\eta_{0} \cos (s / \rho)+\eta_{0} \sin (s / \rho)+\rho[1-\cos (s / \rho)]
$$

where $\eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}^{\prime}=(\mathrm{d} \eta / \mathrm{d} s)_{0}$ are the values of the dispersion function and its derivative at the entrance of the dipole. Hence the averaged value, and the value at the end of the dipole are:
$\langle\eta\rangle=\left\langle\eta\left(\eta_{o} \cdot \eta_{o}^{*}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \varphi}\left[\eta_{o} S+\eta_{o}^{*} \rho(1-C)+\rho(2 \varphi-S)\right]$, and $\eta(L)=\eta_{o} C+\eta_{0}^{\prime} \rho s+\rho(1-C)$,
where $S=\sin 2 \varphi, C=\cos 2 \varphi$ (double $\varphi$ ). For a rectanguLar dipole magnet a magnetic wedge of angle $\phi$ is added to the sector magnet at either end. This changes $\eta_{0}^{\circ}$ to $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ (but not the value $\eta_{0}$ ):

$$
\eta_{i}^{*}=\eta_{0}^{\prime}+\left(\eta_{0} / \rho\right) \mathrm{T},
$$

where $T=\tan \varphi$ (single $\varphi$ ). Moreover the addition of wedge magnets gives contributions to $\left\langle n \pi / \rho^{3}\right\rangle(1)$. which are absent in the case of the a sector magnet:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left\langle\mathrm{n} \eta / \rho^{3}\right\rangle_{\text {entrance }}=\frac{\eta_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{T}}}{2 \ell \rho^{2}} \\
& \delta\left\langle\mathrm{n} \eta / \rho^{3}\right\rangle_{\text {exit }}=\frac{\eta_{2} \mathrm{~T}}{2 \ell \rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{2}=\eta(\ell)$.

The expression for $\mathrm{AI}_{4}$ now becomes:

$$
\Delta I_{4}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left[\eta_{0} S+\eta_{1}^{\prime} \rho(1-C)+\rho(2 \varphi-S)-\left(\eta_{0}+\eta_{2}\right) \mathrm{T}\right]
$$

with $\eta_{2}=\eta_{0} C+\eta_{1}^{\prime} p S+\rho(1-C)$.
This expression is independent of the values $\eta_{o}$ and
$\eta_{1}^{\prime}:$ coefficient of $\rho \eta_{1}^{\prime}: 1-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{TS}=0$,
coefficient of $\eta_{o}: S-T(1+C)=0$.
Remaining terms: $\Delta I_{4}=\frac{1}{\rho}[2 \varphi-S-T(1-C)]$

$$
=\frac{1}{\rho}(2 \varphi-2 \mathrm{~T}), \text { since } \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{TC}=\mathrm{T}
$$

Hence:

$$
\Delta I_{4}=\frac{2}{\rho}(\varphi-\tan \varphi)
$$

with $\varphi$ half the bending angle of the magnet. The formula given above shows that damping rates and r.m.s. energy spread of the beam are independent of the focussing structure of the machine, for the energy spread even independent of machine length.

Comment: Although it was seen that the coefficient of $\eta_{i}$ equals zero, without using the expression relating $r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{0}^{\prime}$, which could lead to think that one could use any entrance angle. it is quite clear that the independence of $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{4}$ of $\eta_{0}^{\circ}$ and $\eta_{1}$ only occurs for the very special case of equal entrance and exit angles, i.e. for a straight magnet. This statement was also verified with the help of REDUCE.

The relations for damping rates of betatron and synchrotron oscillations, and for the relative r.m.s. energy spread are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{i}^{-1}=J_{i} \frac{U_{o}}{2 E_{o}^{T}}=J_{i} I_{2} C_{\gamma} E_{o}^{3} /\left(2 T_{o}\right) \\
& T_{E}^{2}=C_{q} \gamma^{2} \frac{I_{3}}{I_{2}} J_{c}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $U_{0}$ the energy loss per turn, $\gamma_{0}$ the relativistic factor. $T_{0}$ the revolution time, the numerical factors for electrons: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}=8.8510^{-5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{GeV}^{-3}$.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=3.8410^{-13} \mathrm{~m}
$$

and with damping partition numbers $J_{x}=1-D, J_{Y}=1$, $J_{\varepsilon}=2+D_{2} \cdot D=I_{4} / I_{2}$. For an isomagnetic latíice with $I_{2}=2 \pi \rho^{-1}$ and $I_{3}=2 \pi \rho^{-2}$ and having $N$ straight dipole magnets the quantity $D$ is given by:

$$
\mathrm{D}=1-\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\pi} \tan \frac{\pi}{N}
$$

Changing the polarity of a particular dipole implies the transformation $\rho \rightarrow-\mu$ and $\varphi \rightarrow-\varphi$. Inspection of the derivation of the formula for $\Delta \mathrm{I}_{4}$ shows that the coefficients of $\eta$ and $\eta^{\circ}$ are zero anyway and that the remaining terms are invariant under this transformation. Hence the formula applies also to reversed bending magnets.

In particular it applies to each pole piece of a dipole undulator, and the total contribution to $I_{4}$ of an undulator of $N$ pole pieces is given as

$$
\Delta I_{4, \text { und. }}=(N / p)(\varphi-\tan \varphi / 2)
$$

where $\varphi$ is the bending angle of each pole, and $\rho$ the bending radius. Moreover the formula applies to a dipole wiggler constructed out of straight dipoles, satisfying the condition of equal exit and entrance angles.
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