Proceedings of the International Conference on Sector-Focused Cyclotrons and Meson Factories

### **OPERATION OF THE BERKELEY 88-INCH CYCLOTRON**

H. A. Grunder and F.B. Selph Lawrence Radiation Laboratory (presented by H.A. Grunder)

The Berkeley 88-inch cyclotron is a 3-sector, AVF, variable-energy cyclotron designed to accelerate protons up to 60 MeV, deuterons up to 65 MeV, and heavier ions up to comparable energies. The shaping of the magnetic field that is required to ensure isochronous acceleration is accomplished by means of 17 trim coils. For first harmonic shaping of the field, five sets of valley coils are used. A plan view of the pole face is shown in Fig. 1, which shows some of the important machine components. Construction details and an account of the early beam-development work were given in several papers presented at the 1962 International Conference on Sector-Focused Cyclotrons<sup>1</sup>.



Fig. 1 Plan view of pole face.

(\*) Work done under the auspices of the USAEC.

- 9 -

Internal beams of protons, deuterons, and a particles have been developed at energies up to and including maximum design energies. A summary of these is presented in Table I; a particles and deuterons are shown together in the table as no difference in the magnetic field settings is required in changing from one to the other. The largest internal beam currents run to date have been on the order of 500  $\mu$ A, for short periods of time. The more usual internal beam current is on the order of 50  $\mu$ A, of which we are able to extract 20  $\mu$ A for the external beam. The area of radial phase space occupied by 90% of the deflected 65 MeV a beam is 50 millimeter milliradians (mm mrad), vertically 90 mm mrad. The amount of extracted beam obtainable is being gradually pushed upward as we learn how to make a septum that will withstand large beam currents and at the same time permit efficient extraction.

Beams	avail	able	at	extraction	radius,		
	r	Apı	ril	1963			

Mable T

Particle	Energy (MeV)	Extraction (%)	Fig. No.	Remarks
	25	-	12	
Proton	50	1.5	12	Not available externally with present deflector
He <sup>3+</sup>	25	-	13	
	65	40	6	20 $\mu$ A maximum external beam, determined by septum heating
Alphas (deuterium and H <sub>2</sub> of $\frac{1}{2}$ energy)	25 to 80	0 25 to 35	11	Any intermediate energy available (max external beam ≈ 0.45 kW)
	130	-	3	Not available externally

## Beam Development

A history of the effort required to obtain a beam of 130 MeV  $\alpha$  particles will illustrate the methods employed. The field shaping, which must be done by the trim coils, is most exacting for this case. The first step was the calculation of the optimum main field and trim-coil currents with a linear programming method<sup>2</sup> that minimizes the phase excursions of the particles, consistent with the suitable field gradients required for radial and axial stability, and with constraints imposed by trim-coil limitations. With the currents set according to this calculation, the



Fig. 2 Development of the 130 MeV  $\alpha$  beam. (a) The first  $\alpha$  beam obtained, with the corresponding H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> beam. (b) and (c) The  $\alpha$  beam as a function of frequency.

- 10 -

beam was obtained out to 36.5 in. [Fig. 2(a)]. We later found a small error in the field data fed to the computer. Without this error the beam would have probably come out somewhat farther. The  $H_2^+$  beam shown was found 89 kc/s below the  $\alpha$  resonance. This beam was from a source which had been running with helium for over 24 hours. To prevent confusion when working with a new beam, we found that it is a good idea to identify both resonances.

The phase behaviour of the α beam was then deduced from records of beam current vs radius for several frequencies above and below the optimum frequency [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)], by using a method devised by Garren and Smith<sup>3</sup>. Since it was concluded from probe measurements that

the loss of beam between 35 and 37 in. is due to phase loss, it is clear that the beam particles lag the RF by  $\pi/2$  in this region, as a small increase in frequency (causing additional phase lag) results in a large loss of beam at 35 in., while a relatively large decrease in frequency causes only a small loss. These changes locate points 1 and 2 on the phase diagram [Fig. 3(a)] as the limit of the beam in phase at the optimum frequency of 12.513 Mc/sec. Now when the frequency is shifted an amount  $\Delta f$ , each particle is shifted an additional amount in phase, given approximately by

$$\Delta \sin \Phi \approx (2\pi^3 \mathrm{mfR}^2/\mathrm{qV}) \Delta f \qquad (1)$$

where m is the mass and q the charge of the particle, V the dee voltage, and R is the radius. For  $\Delta f = +1 \text{ kc/s}$ , the particles of the beam which are lagging by  $\pi/2$ are at points 3 and 4. The position on the phase diagram [Fig. 3(a)] is then found by computing  $\sin \Phi = (1.0 - \Delta \sin \Phi)$  for each point. The edges obtained by the other positive frequency shifts are plotted in the same manner. With  $\Delta f = -10 \text{ kc/s}$  and less, the particles are leading the RF by  $\pi/2$  when the beam disappears, and the position on the phase plot is found as  $\sin \Phi = -(1.0 - \Delta \sin \Phi)$ . In completing the diagram, use is made of the fact that the phase width remains constant with radius as long as the phase is within the  $\pm \pi/2$  limits. A check on the accuracy of the data is afforded by the agreement between points obtained from the  $+ \pi/2$  loss with points obtained from  $- \pi/2$  loss. With the phase plot thus obtained as a guide, adjustments were made

## - 11 -

to the trim-coil currents, which brought the beam to the maximum radius, 40 in. on the target probe [Fig. 3(b)].

The large decrease in beam intensity occurring inside 27 in. is not due to phase loss, however, but apparently to particles following the spiral ridges; hence we called the beam a spurious beam or "spurium". This beam does not disappear when another probe is run into the centre of the machine, which of course would stop all of the orthodox beam [Fig. 3(b)]. The disappearance of this spurious beam beyond 27 in. occurs because the spiral ridge curves away from the probe track in this region. This beam was eliminated by moving the ion source and puller, while observing orthodox beam on the dee probe and spurious beam on the target probe, until a position was reached in which the spurious beam largely disappeared and the orthodox beam intensity was unimpaired [Fig. 3 (c)]. A 3-finger probe record is shown in Fig. 4. The effect of lowering the dee voltage, shown in Fig. 5, is to extract less beam from the centre region. The flat I vs R curves show that the beam is not lost in phase as the voltage is lowered. This is a good test of isochronism.

Records made with the three probes in turn showed that the beam was off centre about 1 in. toward the target probe. The direction of this off-centre displacement could be readily changed to any azimuth by using the valley coils. Centring was tried by repositioning the ion source, but this was not successful.



<sup>Fig. 3 Development of the 130 NeV α beam. (a) The phase plot deduced from the curves of Fig. 2 (b) and (c).
(b) The beam obtained after trim-coil adjustments were made. The spurious beam, obtained by blocking the orthodox beam with another probe, is also shown.
(c) After center region adjustment was made to eliminate spurium.</sup> 



Fig. 4 Record of 130 NeV a beam made with a 3-finger probe.



Fig. 5 The 130 MeV a beam; effect of varying the dee voltage.



Fig. 7 The 65 MeV a beam as a function of frequency.



Fig. 6 The 65 MeV  $\alpha$  beam with which an extraction efficiency exceeding 40% has been obtained.



Fig. 8 Phase plots of the 65 MeV α beam. (a) By using curves of Fig. 7. (b) By using curves made with a dee voltage of 19 kV.

With the valley coils, however, it was possible to obtain a beam that was well centred. The method employed was to position the dee, target, and deflector probes at 20 in., then adjust the currents in valley coils 1 and 2 until all three probes read the same beam current. As the probes are symmetrically located relative to the particle orbits, this should be a good test of centring. The process was repeated by using valley coil 3 with the probe positions at 25 in., valley coil 4 - 13 -

with probe positions at 30 in., and valley coil 5 with probe positions at 37.5 in. The distribution of intensity on the three probes was not very sensitive to frequency when the beam was centred in this fashion.

Sparking difficulties with the electrostatic deflector have so far prevented extraction of the 130 MeV  $\alpha$  beam. Extensive work has been done with the 65 MeV  $\alpha$ beam to investigate properties necessary for good extraction efficiency. Typical probe records are shown in Figs. 6 and 7, and phase diagrams in Fig. 8. The 19 kV dee voltage represents an effort to achieve the maximum number of particle orbits and still get some beam out. In this machine, probably the lowest dee voltage is determined by the centre-region geometry rather than by the threshold voltage for particle extraction from the ion source.

The use of valley coils doubles the extraction efficiency for the 65 MeV  $\alpha$ beam. We do not understand at present why this is so. Attempts to obtain similar results without valley coils have been unsuccessful. The records of Fig. 9 show that the effect of these coils on the beam is to reduce greatly the amount of beam loss at extraction radius. The beam centring is essentially unaffected, although in this case the valley-coil tuning was done by looking at the external beam without regard for centring. The improvement in beam is evidently in less phase loss, because a special probe which records blowup shows no significant change in intensity between the two cases. It is probable that evaluation of beam-centring studies, such as shadow measurements, would throw some light on this result, but we can report little progress so far in such attempts.

Shadow measurements made with the three probes are reproduced in Fig. 10. With a completely symmetrical centred beam, these records would be identical. They show







Fig. 10 Shadow measurements of the 65 NeV a beam.

## Proceedings of the International Conference on Sector-Focused Cyclotrons and Meson Factories

### Session I

- 14 -





Fig. 12 The 25 and 50 MeV proton beams.



Fig. 11 The 25 to 80 MeV a beam. With minor trim-coil adjustments any intermediate energy can be obtained.



that the beam is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  in. off centre, as well as having a different structure in the three sectors.

Since we are able to construct precise phase plots, such as Figs. 3(a) and 8, from records that require only a few minutes to obtain, trim-coil corrections can be made quickly and with confidence; this contributes greatly to the operator's understanding of machine operation. Also, since with fairly crude trim-coil settings the beam can be brought out to one-half or greater radius and then improved, it is possible to arrive at isochronous trim-coil settings without the aid of machine calculations.

The records of Fig. 11 show a beam that was developed by first using a simple graphical procedure to select trim-coil currents for two energies<sup>4</sup>) (50 and 80 MeV  $\alpha$ ), where the currents were chosen to be as similar as possible in the two cases. By using the phase plots of the resulting beams, trim-coil corrections were made which brought the beams out to extraction radius. Then, working from these two cases, it was possible to obtain any energy from 25 to 80 MeV without exceeding allowable trim-coil currents or having to change polarity. Changes in the main-field shape require a new set of solutions above 80 MeV.

# **Operating Stability**

An important criterion in constructing the cyclotron was to secure a high degree of stability in operation so that a beam of constant intensity would be available for many hours at a time, with only occasional adjustments necessary by the operator. Of equal importance is the reproducibility of machine settings. Many manhours of work may be necessary to achieve a particular satisfactory result, and this work can be partly or wholly wasted if the machine conditions are not precisely - 15 -

reproducible. These considerations are familiar to every experimenter, and the following discussion will indicate how they apply to this cyclotron.

The output with a particular beam will depend, among other things, upon the behaviour of the beam in phase. Particles which have a phase shift near  $\pm \pi/2$  at any radius will not be further accelerated if a frequency or field change causes a phase shift greater than  $\pi/2$  or less than  $-\pi/2$  to occur. The most sensitive region is in the outer few inches, for the phase shift due to such a change is proportional to the square of the radius. In addition, the greatest departure from the iso-chronous field (and hence the greatest phase shift) is likely to occur at the edge of the magnet, where the trim coils make the greatest contribution.

The experience with the 130 MeV  $\alpha$  beam was that to maintain a beam intensity constant within 10% at 39 in. radius, the frequency had to be stable to 5 parts in  $10^5$  (for corresponding stability of the 65 MeV  $\alpha$  beam, about 1 part in  $10^4$  is required). The main-coil current requires a corresponding stability, while the trimcoil currents must be held constant to 1 part in  $10^3$ . For reproducibility of a beam the trim coils require a control and readout of comparable accuracy, while the frequency and main-coil current can tolerate an order of magnitude less, since the frequency can be tuned. For beam diagnostics, however, a frequency readout accurate to 100 cycles is essential.

The 88-inch cyclotron does meet the above requirements. The main-coil-current regulation is about 3 parts in  $10^5$ , and the frequency regulation is about 5 parts in  $10^5$ . For short periods of time, under quiet conditions, a more favourable condition can be realized.

### **Operating Experience**

The machine operating time is divided about equally between (1) physics experiments, (2) target bombardments for nuclear chemistry, and (3) beam development and maintenance (typically, one maintenance shift per week). The amount of unplanned shutdown time has been small, less than 5%.

The 88-inch cyclotron has a hooded ion source<sup>5)</sup> with a water-cooled copper anode. The filament and the oppositely positioned cathode are tantalum. With an average internal beam of 40  $\mu$ A, 65 MeV  $\alpha$ , the filament lasts 100 to 150 hours. The cathode is exchanged about every three filament changes. The copper anode, after 500 hours of operation, is shown in Fig. 14. Since the anode is a rather complicated mechanical piece and the slit is the only part that wears out, we inserted a tantalum piece containing the slit (Fig. 15). This insert is quickly exchanged and shows much less wear than the original copper slit.

The particles are extracted from the ion source by a carbon puller at dee potential. The puller shown in Fig. 16 was used for 500 hours of operation. The beam has eroded a lateral groove. Both the ion source and puller are positioned by

- 16 -

remote control. Use of a telescope to see these parts is a help.

The use of three symmetrically located probes has been found invaluable in beam diagnostics, especially in centring. They are water-cooled, will stand about 3 kW of beam, and can be remotely positioned to an accuracy of 0.03 in. Utility of the internal phase probe (see Fig. 1) has suffered because of RF pickup problems and a lack of sensitivity. A different type of phase probe suggested by Homer Conzett of our Laboratory has been constructed; it uses a foil to scatter particles into a solid-state detector. So far this has been used only in the external beam. Tentative results of measurements made with the 65 MeV  $\alpha$  beam show a phase width about one-third to one-half that of the internal beam.

A pulser for the RF system has been built and found to be useful for obtaining large instantaneous values of beam current. If run continuously, such currents would damage probes or other parts of the machine.

Since we have restricted ourselves, so far, to relatively modest beam currents (up to 20  $\mu$ A external), neither residual radioactivity nor neutron production has been a problem. We hope to keep the residual activity inside the machine at less than 100 mR/h of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  after a 24-hr shutdown in order to do work inside the vacuum tank. This can be done by carefully placing carbon in front of exposed parts. An exception is the septum; activity here has been measured as 50 r at 4 in. after a 34-hr shutdown.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the 88-inch cyclotron group as a whole for their help in performing the experiments. In particular, we wish to thank E.L. Kelly for his wise guidance and Lloyd Smith and A.A. Garren for their invaluable help in interpreting results. We are indebted to the computer group and the engineering support group, especially to R.J. Cox, Arthur Hartwig, A.S. Kenney, K.F. Mirk, H.C. Owens, and B.H. Smith. Further, we are thankful that the customers allowed us the machine time for this investigation.

### References

- 1. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 18, 19 (1962).
- 2. A.A. Garren, Op. cit., p. 309.
- 3. A.A. Garren and L. Smith, see paper I-3.
- 4. It is our intent to issue a Lawrence Radiation Laboratory UCRL report describing this method.
- 5. H.A. Willax and A.A. Garren, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 18, 19, 347 (1962).

Proceedings of the International Conference on Sector-Focused Cyclotrons and Meson Factories





Fig. 14 Copper anode of ion source after more than 500 hours of operation.

Fig. 16 Puller after 500 hours of operation.



Fig. 15 Ion source with tantalum insert.

## - 17 -

## DISCUSSION

RICHARDSON : What is the magnitude of the first harmonic included in the valley coils? GRUNDER : For 65 MeV  $\alpha$ 's we used a first harmonic of about 10 gauss in valley coil 4. We used mostly valley coils 1, 2, and 4. STAFFORD : Have you any figures for the energy stability and reproducibility? GRUNDER : I cannot quote anything on the energy reproducibility. The frequency is reproducible within  $5 \times 10^{-4}$ . TICKLE : Do you get the best beam current at full radius with computed currents in trim coils, or do you make adjustments in the currents? GRUNDER : Computer calculations need some adjusting. RESMINI : What is the mean magnetic field correction obtained by means of trim coils? GRUNDER: The outer trim coils have the biggest influence. We use up to 2200 A in them; this gives about 300 gauss. REISER : Do you use a beam defining slit, and what was your experience with it? GRUNDER : We do not use beam defining slits. I think that they reduce the internal beam without having any influence on deflection efficiency. SCHMIDT : Of what material is the septum made? GRUNDER : We have tried graphite, tantalum, and tungsten.