

HOM STUDIES OF THE CORNELL ERL MAIN LINAC CAVITY IN THE HORIZONTAL TEST CRYOMODULE*

N. Valles[†], R. Eichhorn, D. Goldman, G. Hoffstaetter, M. Liepe
Cornell University, CLASSE, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Abstract

The Cornell energy recovery linac will accelerate a 100 mA beam to 5 GeV, while maintaining very low emittance (30 pm at 77 pC bunch charge). A major challenge to running such a large current continuously through the machine is the effect of strong higher-order modes (HOMs) in the SRF cavities that can lead to beam breakup. This paper presents the results of HOM studies for the prototype 7-cell cavity installed in a horizontal test cryomodule (HTC). HOM measurements were done for three HTC assembly stages, from initial measurements on the bare cavity to being fully outfitted with side-mounted RF input coupler and beam line HOM absorbers. We compare the simulated results of the optimized cavity geometry with measurements from all three HTC experiments, demonstrating excellent damping of all dipole higher order modes.

INTRODUCTION

Cornell's Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) is designed to operate with low emittance, 77 pC bunches spaced with a frequency of 2.6 GHz, with alternating bunches being accelerated and decelerated.[1] The proper operation of this next generation light source hinges on the ability to suppress higher-order modes (HOMs) in the superconducting main linac structures that can lead to beam breakup. Previous work has produced a 1.3 GHz, 7-cell cavity design that can support threshold current through the linac well in excess of the 100 mA design specification, and satisfies all other electromagnetic and mechanical constraints.[2, 3]

Fabricating structures that preserve the optimized properties of the 7-cell cavity is essential to proper operation of the ERL. Previous particle tracking simulations demonstrated that an ERL constructed of realistically shaped cavities—meaning cavities that had geometry deformations consistent with expected machining fabrication tolerances of ± 0.5 mm—could support current in excess of 400 mA.[4]

A prototype 7-cell cavity has been fabricated, ERL 7.1, and found to exceed design specifications for the fundamental mode in both vertical and horizontal tests.[5, 6] Whether the HOM properties can be preserved from fabrication through installation in a linac cryomodule is an important question. The horizontal test cryomodule (HTC) experiments seek to answer this question by assembling the fully equipped cryomodule in stages and measuring the effect of each stage on the HOM spectrum.

The HTC experiments were done in three stages, and the essential elements effecting HOM measurements are outlined in Table 1. A detailed description of HTC assembly and instrumentation is available elsewhere.[7, 5] Here we simply note that each stage adds a key component to the horizontal assembly eventually resulting in a fully equipped cryomodule in HTC-3 that incorporates all the systems needed for a full main linac cryomodule consisting of six 7-cell accelerating structures.[8]

Table 1: Key elements effecting the 7-cell's HOM spectrum incorporated in each iteration of the horizontal test cryomodule experiments. The fundamental mode couples to the on-axis input coupler with $Q_{ext} = 9 \times 10^{10}$ and the high-power coupler with $Q_{ext} = 5 \times 10^7$.

Stage	RF input method	HOM absorbers
HTC-1	On-axis coupler	none
HTC-2	High-power input coupler	none
HTC-3	High-power input coupler	2 SiC absorbers

The cavity's HOM spectrum was measured in each HTC experiment from the RF input coupler to a field probe that couples to the fundamental mode with $Q_{ext} \sim 3 \times 10^{11}$.

The absorbers have been characterized outside of a cryomodule beamline,[9] but it is necessary to evaluate their efficacy at damping HOMs in a real structure before they can be deployed on a larger scale.

METHODS

The prototype cavity was characterized inside a horizontal test cryomodule. To ensure that cavity performance is maintained at or above design specification for the entire assembly, cryomodule development progressed in stages.

HTC-1 contained the prototype cavity with an axial high Q_{ext} RF input coupler, replicating the conditions of the vertical test. There were no HOM absorbers.

HTC-2 exchanged the axial RF input power coupler with a side mounted high-power (5 kW) RF input coupler.[10] Though the axial coupler was still present, it was unused for RF testing. This stage allowed the coupler assembly process to be qualified. This also allowed the first experimental investigations into the coupling between the high power coupler and higher-order modes.

HTC-3 reconfigured the cavity assembly, removing the axial power coupler and adding two broadband beamline HOM absorbers to each end of the cavity. The purpose of this stage is to measure the quality factors of HOMs with

* Work supported by NSF Grants NSF DMR-0807731 and NSF PHY-1002467

[†] nrv5@cornell.edu

a realistic damping scheme. The broadband dielectric SiC beamline absorbers were ceramics loaded with SiC. The loads have sufficient DC conductivity and have electromagnetic properties $\epsilon \approx (50 - 25i)\epsilon_0$ and $\mu = \mu_0$.

After the cavity was installed in the cryomodule and cooled to the operating temperature of 1.8K, the scattering parameter, S_{21} , was measured with a network analyzer between 1.5 and 6 GHz. The RF coupler was used as the input port; the axial coupler in HTC-1 and the high power coupler in HTC-2 & HTC-3. The output port was located at the other end of cavity on a side port, with a probe with coupling of $\approx 3 \times 10^{11}$ for the fundamental mode. The frequency scan used a 500 Hz step size with certain ranges including high-Q modes measured with a 10 Hz step size.

The resonant frequency of the modes and their quality factors can be determined from the experimental data in several ways. The first method uses a least-squares fit to extract resonant frequency, f_0 and loaded quality factor, Q_L , information via the parameterization

$$|S_{21}|(f; a, b, f_0) = \frac{10^{-a}}{\sqrt{10^{-2b} + \left(\frac{f}{f_0} - \frac{f_0}{f}\right)^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where $Q_L = 10^{-b}$, and a is used to generate the proportionality constant.

The above formulation allows the fit parameters to easily vary over orders of magnitude while having less chance of being trapped in local minima due to numerical noise.[11]

In addition, phase versus frequency information was used to characterize the Q_L of the HOMs using the relationship:

$$\phi(f) = \phi_0 + \tan^{-1} \left[Q_L \cdot \left(\frac{f}{f_0} - \frac{f_0}{f} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where ϕ_0 the phase measured at resonance.[12]

The geometry of the cavity installed in HTC-1 was simulated in 2D in CLANS2, an electromagnetic field solver that was used to compute the dipole HOM spectrum in the cavity optimization.[13] The code assumes symmetry about the beam axis and monopoles, dipoles, quadrupoles, etc. can be calculated by specifying the number of azimuthal variations of the mode.

For HTC-2 & HTC-3, the side mounted coupler introduces effects that cannot be modeled by CLANS, so ACE3P[14] was used to simulate the coupling of the high power input coupler to the HOMs. A simulation showing the electric field amplitude of the lowest dipole mode is presented in Fig. 1.

An example showing the damping provided by the HOM absorbers can be seen in Fig. 2, where a dipole mode is solved with and without HOM material present, and the electric field amplitude is calculated on a line parallel to the beam axis. In this case, the HOM loads reduce the Q_L by a factor of 10^3 .




Figure 1: Magnitude of the electric field (in arbitrary units) for a dipole mode in the lowest HOM passband, ($f=1612$, $Q_L = 5.3 \times 10^3$). The absorbing load is in gray, and the white areas are alumina dielectrics isolating the cavity vacuum space from the cold and warm spaces.




Figure 2: Magnitude of electric field along a line parallel to the beam axis and offset toward the high power coupler by 1 mm for a geometry with and without absorbing loads for the mode presented in Fig. 1. The absorbing load properties were $\epsilon = (50 - 25i)\epsilon_0$ and $\mu = \mu_0$. Both cases have the same energy stored in the cavity, but energy is concentrated in the HOM load when it is present, reducing the field amplitude near the beam axis.

RESULTS

The higher-order mode spectra as measured in HTC-1 & HTC-2 is shown in Fig. 3. The large quality factors of these modes are due to the lack of HOM absorbers in these experiments. Very small damping is provided by short sections of stainless steel pipe connecting the cavity to the vacuum system.

Importantly, no HOMs were found near harmonics of 2.6 GHz (two beams at 1.3 GHz), up to 7.8 GHz. If the beam could resonantly drive an HOM on one of these resonances, the resulting HOM power could overload the HOM absorber. Fortunately, frequency domain measurements show that the design was successful in avoiding this danger.




Figure 3: Amplitude of the scattering parameter S_{21} in the first two HTC experiments. The large quality factor of the modes are due to the fact that there were no HOM absorbing loads installed in HTC-1 and HTC-2. The mode frequencies agree with 2.5D simulations.




Figure 4: Amplitude of the scattering parameter S_{21} in the HTC-2 and HTC-3 experiments. The only significant change between the two runs is the addition of beamline absorbers in HTC-3. The measurements show a strong damping of all modes.

The HOMs in HTC-1 were compared with 2.5D simulations performed in CLANS and showed agreement in the lower passbands of the HOM spectrum, and frequency correspondence up to 3600 MHz.[15]

After reconfiguring the cryomodule for HTC-3 and adding beamline HOM absorbers, the transfer function was remeasured. The scattering parameter S_{21} is plotted in Fig 4. ACE3P's eigenmode solver was used to compute modes near the resonances in the HTC-3 HOM spectrum. The measured loaded quality factors are compared with simulations in Fig. 5.

The rough agreement between simulations and measured quality factors is expected due to fabrication variation. What is important to note is that all the measured modes have quality factors within acceptable limits such that they will not lead to beam break-up current below the 100 mA design specification.[4]

CONCLUSIONS

The prototype 7-cell cavity has been fabricated to within design tolerances (± 0.5 mm) and successfully tested in a fully outfitted cryomodule. The higher-order mode spectrum was successfully measured and the SiC absorbers provide strong damping of higher order modes. Measurements using both the magnitude of the scattering parameter and the phase dependence of HOMs yield consistent measurements of HOM's Q_L . Simulations are in rough agreement with results, but are an area of ongoing investigation.

All the modes measured had very low quality factors in the few 100 to few 1000 range. This important result demonstrates that the cavity design and the HOM damping scheme is effective at mitigating the strength of higher-order modes to reasonable levels.

Measurements of the scattering parameter find no resonances near harmonics of 2600 MHz (2 beams at 1300 MHz).

Future work will measure HOM properties of the cavity




Figure 5: Comparison between HOMs measured in the HTC-3 and ACE3P simulations. Some discrepancy between the simulated and measured points is expected due to machining variation.

with beamline dampers using beam from Cornell’s ERL Injector. These experiments are scheduled to begin Fall 2013.

REFERENCES

[1] J. A. Crittenden, I. V. Bazarov, S. A. Belomestnykh, D. H. Bilderback, M. G. Billing, J. D. Brock, E. P. Chojnacki, B. M. Dunham, M. P. Ehrlichman, M. J. Forster, S. M. Gruner, G. H. Hoffstaetter, C. J. Johnston, Y. Li, M. U. Liepe, C. E. Mayes, A. A. Mikhailichenko, H. S. Padamsee, S. B. Peck, D. C. Sagan, V. D. Shemelin, A. Temnykh, M. Tigner, and V. Veshcherevich. Developments for Cornell’s X-ray ERL. In *Proceedings of PAC 2009*, 2009.

[2] V. D. Shemelin. Suppression of HOMs in a multicell superconducting cavity for Cornell’s ERL. In *Proceedings of SRF 2009*, 2009.

[3] N. Valles and M. Liepe. Seven-cell cavity optimization for Cornell’s energy recovery linac. In *Proceedings of SRF 2009*, 2009.

[4] N. Valles, D. S. Klien, and M. Liepe. Beam break-up studies for cornell’s energy recovery linac. In *Proceedings of SRF 2011*, 2011.

[5] N. Valles, M. Liepe, F. Furuta, M. Gi, D. Gonnella, Y. He, K. Ho, G. Hoffstaetter, D.S. Klein, T. O’Connell, S. Posen, P. Quigley, J. Sears, G.Q. Stedman, M. Tigner, and V. Veshcherevich. The main linac cavity for cornell’s energy recovery linac: Cavity design through horizontal cryomodule prototype test. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, (0):–, 2013.

[6] N. Valles, R. Eichhorn, F. Furuta, M. Ge, D. Gonnella, Y. He, K. Ho, G. Hoffstaetter, M. Liepe, T. O’Connell, S. Posen, P. Quigley, J. Sears, and V. Veshcherevich. Record quality factor performance of the prototype Cornell ERL main linac cavity in the horizontal test cryomodule. In *Proceedings of SRF 2013*, September 2013.

[7] N. Valles, F. Furuta, M. Ge, Y. He, K. M. V. Ho, G. H. Hoffstaetter, M. Liepe, T. O’Connell, S. Posen, P. Quigley, J. Sears, M. Tigner, and V. Veshcherevich. Testing of the

main-linac prototype cavity in a horizontal test cryomodule for the Cornell ERL. In *Proceedings of IPAC 2012*, 2012.

[8] R. Eichhorn, B. Bullock, Y. He, G. Hoffstaetter, M. Liepe, P. Quigley, D. Sabol, J. Sears, E. Smith, and V. Veshcherevich. Design and construction of the main linac cryomodule for the energy recovery linac project at cornell. In *Proceedings of SRF 2013*, 2013. MOP074.

[9] R. Eichhorn, J. Conway, Y. He, G. Hoffstaetter, Y. Li, M. Liepe, T. O’Connell, P. Quigley, J. Sears, V.D. Shemelin, and N. Valles. Cornell’s HOM beamline absorbers. In *Proceedings of IPAC 2013*, 2013.

[10] V. Veshcherevich and S. Belomestnykh. Input coupler for main linac of Cornell ERL. In *The 14th International Conference on RF Superconductivity*, 2009.

[11] N. Valles, M. Liepe, and V. Shemelin. Suppression of coupler kicks in 7-cell main linac cavities for Cornell’s ERL. In *Proceedings of IPAC 2011*, 2011.

[12] Paul J. Petersan and Steven M. Anlage. Measurement of resonant frequency and quality factor of microwave resonators: Comparison of methods. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 84(6):3392–3402, 1998.

[13] D.G. Myakishev. CLANS2 - a code for calculation of multipole modes in axisymmetric cavities with absorber ferrites. In *Proceedings of PAC 1999*, pages 2775–2777, 1999.

[14] L. Lee, Z. Li, C. Ng, and K. Ko. Omega3P: A parallel finite-element eigenmode analysis code for accelerator cavities. Technical Report SLAC-PUB-13529, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, February 2009.

[15] N. Valles and M. Liepe. HOM studies on the Cornell ERL prototype cavity in a horizontal test cryomodule. In *Proceedings of IPAC 2012*, 2012.