MACHINE PROTECTION DIAGNOSTICS ON A RULE BASED SYSTEM.

Timmy Lensch (MDI), Yuri Nechaev (MCS), Winfried Schütte (MCS), Victor Soloviev (MCS), Marcus Walla (MCS), Matthias Werner (MDI)

Deutsche Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Motivation

For an operator it can be difficult to determine the cause of a beam-loss. One example is pictured in Fig. 1 due to RF thyratron and a BPM failure. Only an expert could determine the actual cause of this beamloss based on the many errorsignals. To automate this determination the expert's knowledge was built into a rule based system. As a result Fig. 2 shows the same beam-loss



MPS Event

When the MPS hardware detects a beam-loss event the MPS diagnostic application fetches the raw data from the MPS server. The raw data consists of a subset of all connected devices and their alarm state. This structure is abstracted to an hierarchical structure of MPS groups and the alarm state, see Fig 5.

The alarm state is needed for the rule based layer to determine which device causes the alarm.

Rule Based Layer

A Domain Specific Language (DSL) architecture

is used to automate the experts knowledge. The



Alarm consequences Figure 1: MPS Client application with a beam-loss on the July 2nd 2013



Figure 2: MPS Diagnostic application with a result again on the July 2nd 2013

Introduction

The fast technical interlock hardware of the Machine Protection System (MPS) allows a beam-loss to be detected from multiple devices over the entire PETRA storage ring, see Fig. 3. One such device is a Beam Position Monitor (BPM) which measures the beam orbit. When the orbit drifts out of a defined scope the BPM triggers the MPS hardware which itself generates a dump trigger within a few hundred nanoseconds. This trigger is sent to the Radio Frequency (RF) system which dumps the beam. The timescale between detection of a failure and the beam dump is about 400µs.





Figure 5: Class diagram of the MPS event



Figure 6: Class diagram of the rule layer



Figure 3: Overview of the MPS system. Red lines indicate alarm signals causing a beam dump. The blue lines are only for analysing the beam losses.

For post-mortem analysis the data is sent to the MPS server. It stores the data in the event archive and provides it for subsequent diagnostics. The MPS diagnostics software loads the data and verifies the beam-loss event. Figure 4 shows the communication overview.



Figure 7: Extraction of the implemented rules

Displaying the Resulting Message

Creating the message for the operator is now trivial. Figure 8 shows a message determined on August 28th 2013.



Figure 8: Short and comprehensible message as a result of a beam-loss

Statistics

The MPS diagnostic server determines the correct cause of the beam-loss with a success rate of 97 %.

Conclusion

- Operators see a short comprehensive message of the last beam-loss in the MPS client application.
- ▶ The success rate of 97 % is a very good result for a first iteration.
- The efficiency and error-handling of the operations group is strongly improved...
- The work of the operator is strongly improved.

ICALEPCS 2013 THPPC071

