

Alexander Lin UCLA Department of Physics & Astronomy



The SASE FEL process yields "noisy" and "spikv" radiation profiles



G. Geloni, et al., New J. Phys. 12 (2010) 035021



LCLS, Nature Photonics (2012)

A monocapillary tube placed along the undulator can reduce noise without beam removal



Monocapillary tubes are selective in frequency and angle, reducing on-axis spectral impurities

1

The index of refraction at X-ray wavelengths is given by the Drude model:

$$n(\omega)^2 = 1 - \frac{\omega_P^2}{\omega^2}$$

$$\omega_P^2 = \frac{e^2 n_e}{\varepsilon_0 m_e}$$

For most materials, this value is less than unity, so guiding occurs via total external reflection.

The critical angle at 8 KeV occurs at 0.2 degrees (3.75 mrad).

LCLS-like case is used as a challenging trial



The diffraction angle of radiation is inversely proportional to the electron beam energy.



Parameter	Value
Electron Beam Energy	14 GeV
Diffraction Angle	0.42 µrad

The tube acts as a non-intercepting monochrometer

Parameters of interest: length, bandwidth, and radius.

The tube also provides the effect of "**reverse**" **slippage**, which enhances the effect of bunching.



The tube's length must be on the order of the undulator gain length



For the monocapillary tube to have significant effect on the radiation, the power of the reflected radiation must be greater than the effective SASE power: z

$$P(z) = P_0 e^{\overline{Lg}} \ge P_{EFF} \qquad \frac{z}{Lg} \ge P_{EFF}$$

The bandwidth of the tube must be on the order of the FEL parameter

For SASE FEL, the bandwidth of radiation is near the FEL parameter:

 $\frac{\Delta\omega}{2} \sim \rho \approx 10^{-3}$ (\mathcal{O})



Single layered monocapillary tubes have bandwidths of $\sim 10^{-2}$, which is too small for proper effects. However, multilayered tubes can reach bandwidth of $\sim 10^{-3}$.



The radiation must intercept and reflect from the tube



Our model of a monocapillary tube is a waveguide with imperfect boundaries



 $R = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta < \theta_c , \quad \omega = \omega_r \pm \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \\ 0 & \theta \ge \theta_c , \quad \omega \ne \omega_r \pm \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \end{cases}$

We use a simple hard-edge frequency filter model



We use a simple hard-edge frequency filter model



A modified version of Genesis 1.3 allows for modeling our scheme



The simple model requires an unachievable and unrealistic tube

Table 1: Simulation Para	meters	
Parameter	Value	Tal
Undulator Type	Planar	Para
Undulator Period Length	3400 A 3 cm	W
Radiation Wavelength	1.5 Å	He
Simulated Undulator Length Electron Beam Energy	60 m 13.6 GeV	Critica
RMS Diffraction Angle	${\sim}6.8\mu{ m rad}$	

Table 2: Tube Values		
Parameter	Value	
Width	$34\mu\mathrm{m}$	
Height	$34 \mu \mathrm{m}$	
Length	20 m	
Critical Angle	3.75 mrad	

The spread of radiation is confined by the tube

10

8

The effect of the tube (L=1) over a simulation length of 2 meters. Guiding and radiation confinement is observed.

4

6

z [m]

3×10⁻⁵

2×10⁻⁵

1×10⁻⁵

0

0

2

 $\sigma_{\rm rad}$ [m]

 4×10^{-5} 3×10^{-5} E 2×10^{-5} 1×10^{-5} 0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.52.0

without the tube.

restraint.

rapidly grows and

Radiation

without

Future Work





Simulate other FEL parameters:

•FLASH

•SACLA