

Timing and Synchronisation Considerations for the NLS Project

G. J. Hirst, STFC Central Laser Facility S. P. Jamison, L. B. Jones, A. J. Moss, ASTeC P. J. Phillips, University of Dundee

The NLS Project

A *science-driven* proposal for an integrated suite of sources delivering ~20fs FWHM pulses tuneable from THz to soft X-ray

Progress:

- Launched April '08
- Science case October '08
- Developed science case July '09 and outline facility design
- Conceptual design End of '09



http://www.newlightsource.org/documents/NLS_Sci_Case.pdf

The NLS Project

A *science-driven* proposal for an integrated suite of sources delivering ~20fs FWHM pulses tuneable from THz to soft X-ray

BMs and undulators (2.5-60meV) Conventional lasers + NLO (60meV-6eV), Laser HHG (6-50eV) Three seeded FELs (50-1000eV + harmonics)



200pC electron bunches from a photoinjector accelerated to 2.25GeV in a cw SC 1.3GHz linac and compressed to ~200fs



Timing

Output pulses will be equispaced with a nominal baseline pulse rate of 1kHz, rising to 10kHz, 100kHz and 1MHz

Factors affecting the exact rates will include:

- Use of integer fractions of the master clock frequency which will be an integer fraction of the 1.3GHz RF
- 1kHz-1MHz rates will be integer fractions of one another
- Preference for the products of small primes (2ⁿ×3^m) allowing simple subdivision and compatibility with a wide range of resonant subsystems
- Cooperation with international partners to allow the development of common commercial components



Clock Frequency and Bunch Rates

Clocks based on fibre laser oscillators work best at ~200MHz 216.67MHz is a convenient (6th) subharmonic of 1.3GHz but integer kilohertz rates are not subharmonics of this





Timing Summary

NLS time structures are *science-driven* Implementation details need careful thought but are not expected to present serious challenges



NLS Synchronisation Requirements



NLS Synchronisation Requirements



Synchronisation of Lasers and FELs



Clock distribution HHG seed laser locking Endstation laser locking Differential transport

G. J. Hirst



FCS Implementation Principles

- Sensors as close as possible to point-of-use
- Actuators as close as possible to sources of noise
- Sensing and control of the parameters of interest
- Paths for fast, low-amplitude signals as short as possible
- Burden on feedback control system minimised (e.g. passive stability maximised, deterministic variations removed into separate feed-forward systems)



Clock Distribution





Clock Distribution

- Two femtosecond-jitter schemes have been demonstrated*, both distributing laser light (pulsed or cw) over optical fibres
- At this stage it will suffice if at least one of these meets the NLS specification. Consider the pulsed laser scheme:



Low noise clock, based on Er fibre laser locked to Rb/OCXO RF source below a few kHz

Distribution fibre length stabilised by optical cross-correlation of retro-reflected signal

3 fs overall for 2 links

*F Loehl et al, *First prototype of an optical cross-correlation based fibre-link stabilization*, DIPAC '07 (2007) J W Staples et al, *Demonstration of femtosecond-phase stabilization in 2km optical fiber*, PAC '07 (2007)

Laser Locking





Laser Locking

- Ti:Sapphire laser oscillators have already been locked both to RF and to optical sources with ~1 fs jitter*
- Complications in the NLS laser chains include:

Wavelength tuning effects on the timing itself and on the sensor (should be deterministic)

Noise from long paths, large pump lasers, cooling plant ... The Nyquist limit for noise sensing at low pulse rate Reconciling timing control with CEP stabilisation

 Complications in the FEL amplification include: Bunch timing stability through the cascade chicane(s)

5 fs target for endstation laser, 7 fs for HHG seed

*R K Shelton et al, *Subfemtosecond timing jitter between two independent, actively synchronized mode-locked lasers*, Opt Letts **27** 312 (2002), T R Schibli et al, *Attosecond active synchronization of passively mode-locked lasers by balanced cross correlation*, Opt Letts **28** 947 (2003)



G. J. Hirst

Differential Transport





Differential Transport

- Direct feedback control is no longer possible, so stability must be passive (or maintained by a proxy probe)
- Beam path "ends" will vary from experiment to experiment,

⇒ defined engineering standards
off-line test facilities
stability verification in advance

- Stable few-metre interferometers are not uncommon and this is beyond the NLS stability requirement
- But it is important to prevent final sensor "creep" back along the beam path



Synchronisation of Lasers and FELs



INITIAL SETUP

Proven technology, will be needed to establish seeded operation

Removes the need for a new sensor, but results in a long unstabilised path



Differential Transport

- Direct feedback control is no longer possible, so stability must be passive (or maintained by a proxy probe)
- Beam path "ends" will vary from experiment to experiment,

⇒ defined engineering standards
off-line test facilities
stability verification in advance

- Stable few-metre interferometers are not uncommon and this is beyond the NLS stability requirement
- But it is important to prevent final sensor "creep" back along the beam path

3 fs on each path (~1 optical wave) 4 fs for 2 links



Synchronisation of Lasers and FELs



NLS

Endstation laser locking

Differential transport

5fs (target)

4fs (target)

~10fs

soft X-ray phase sensor

QUADRATURE SUM

Electron Beam Jitter Sources and Results

Reducing the two main contributors to the jitter by

- independently powering the RF cavities in each cryomodule
- reducing the power supply jitter in the bunch compressors to 10^{-5}

| Gun Jitter Parameters (rms) | | | RF gun (P and V) | 7 fs | 7 fs |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Solonoid Field | 0.020.2 | т | Injector (RF gun + ACC01) | 21 fs | 11 fs |
| Gun Phase | n Phase 0.1 N Voltage 0.1% | degrees | Main linac RF P | 3 fs | 3 fs |
| Gun Voltage | | | Main linac RF V | 9 fs | 9 fs |
| Charge | 1% | | BCs power supplies | 20 fs | 4 fs |
| X Oliset | 0.025 | [[][]] | P + V + B combined | 20 fs | 10 fs |
| Main linac cavities | | | P + V + B + I combined | 30 fs | 14 fs |

Phase (P)0.01 degreesBunch Comp. (B)1e-5 fractionalVoltage (V)1-e4 fractional

G. J. Hirst

Electron Beam Jitter Sources and Results

Reducing the two main contributors to the jitter by

- independently powering the RF cavities in each cryomodule
- reducing the power supply jitter in the bunch compressors to 10⁻⁵

| Gun Jitter Parameters (rms) | | | RF gun (P and V) | 7 fs | 7 fs |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Solonoid Eiold | 0 0 2 0 2 | Ŧ | Injector (RF gun + ACC01) | 21 fs | 11 fs |
| Gun Phase | Phase0.02e-3Voltage0.1% | degrees | Main linac RF P | 3 fs | 3 fs |
| Gun Voltage | | | Main linac RF V | 9 fs | 9 fs |
| Charge | 1% | | BCs power supplies | 20 fs | 4 fs |
| X Oliset | 0.025 | | P + V + B combined | 20 fs | 10 fs |
| Main linac cavities | | | P + V + B + I combined | 30 fs | 14 fs |
| $D_{\text{base}}(D)$ | 0.01 dogrado | Fibre links and RF recovery | | 7 fs | |
| Bunch Comp. (B) | 1e-5 fractional | | HHG seed laser (undulator) | | 5 fs |
| Voltage (V) | 1-e4 fractional | | Clock + electrons + laser | | 17 fs |

NLS

Summary

• NLS time structures are *science-driven*

Implementation details need careful thought but are not expected to present serious challenges

- Photon pulse synchronisation at the experiment will be key to the success of NLS
 - Many subsystems already meet specification, or are close Areas receiving attention include:
 - Arrival time sensing for tuneable XUV pulses
 - Laser pulse locking over extended paths with tuning
 - Beam-based feedback for electron bunch arrival time

Specifying and delivering stability at noise frequencies above the kilohertz Nyquist limit

