



XIXth International Workshop on ECR Ion Sources



LPSC Grenoble, France, August 23 – 26, 2010



#### Measurement of the SEISM (Sixty GHz ECR Ion Source using Megawatt Magnets) magnetic field map



Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE

J. Jacob, T. Lamy, L. Latrasse from LPSC Grenoble

F. Debray, J. Matera, R. Pfister, C. Trophime from LNCMI Grenoble







- Why a 60GHz prototype ?
- Results of the design study
- Technical challenges of fabrication
- Magnetic field measurements
- What next?









#### What kind of prototype



Origins of 60GHz project: presentation by P. Sortais in Moriond - Les Arcs, March 17-22, 2003



Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE





### Results of the design study (1) SEISM prototype

L. Latrasse et al., SEISM: A 60 GHz cusp electron cyclotron resonance ion source, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81, 02A324, 2010



#### Compact CUSP magnetic structure Magnetic field above expectations





EVENTH FRAMEWOR



#### H1 aluminum prototype



August 28, 2010

Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



UNIVERSITE JOSEPH FOURIER

Grenoble INP

### Results of the design study (1) SEISM prototype



30kA current on each set of coils









# Results of the design study (1) SEISM prototype











UNIVERSITE IOSEPH FOURIER

# Technical challenges (1)



- Narrow insulators (2mm wide) to minimize local heating
- Height calibration (0.32mm height)
- 24 sectors on inner coil, 32 sectors on outer coil to avoid contact between the windings
- → « prepreg » for pre-impregnated composite fibres G11 dry woven glass fabric impregnated with epoxy resin Specified maximum continuous operating temperature: 165°C Specified breakdown voltage condition: 35kV/mm

Out of stock ! Tests with frozen out-of-date prepreg 20MPa at room temperature Resin damaged for local temperatures around 300°C









UNIVERSITE JOSEPH FOURIER

Grenoble INP

## Technical challenges (2)

Hydraulic circulation from the inner to the outer coil

- Tests with fake aluminum helices
- $\rightarrow$  water circulation up to 18bars 18l/s in each tank
- $\rightarrow$  cavitation noises, small damage marks on aluminum



- Tests with copper helices and measurements up to 7000A
- $\rightarrow$  10bar 12l/s in each tank \_ water speed up to 14m/s in the radial helices slit
- $\rightarrow$  cavitation noises
- Porous discs to slow down the flow in SEISM, but not in the LNCMI magnet running in parallel
- $\rightarrow$  no more cavitation, but a filter damaged after 24 hours of run



Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



UNIVERSITE IOSEPH FOURIER

### Technical challenges (3)

#### Temperature monitoring



Voltage measurement to monitor the coils resistance / temperature:



Inserting a camera to have a look:

++ insulators still aligned !

-- color indicates local temperature is higher than expected



Snapshot of the outer coil insulators after 40 hours of run up to 7000A



August 28, 2010

Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



August 28, 2010

Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



# Magnetic field measurements (2)

Preliminary results vs simulations



Radial field measurement on axis 15mm at 3500A



Axial magnetic field on central axis

As expected: Axisymmetric field Increase with distance to central axis Scaling with increase of the intensity Unexpected: Shift in maxima positions Lower amplitude on extraction side



E 2,5 2 2,5 2 3500A 5250A 7000A 8750A 10500A 8750A 10500A 10500A 200 -200 -100 -1,5

z (mm)

August 28, 2010

Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



August 28, 2010

Mélanie MARIE-JEANNE



### Magnetic field measurements (3)

#### Possible explanations



Mechanical error (tank dimensions, helix positioning)
 Injection and extraction coil sets are too close
 Sum of the amplitudes is modified
 Not likely to cause 10mm difference, tanks dimensions were checked and within tolerances

- Misplaced magnetic center because helix shape is wrong
  Electric discharge machining with a 0.25mm wire
  For example real split is 0.37mm instead of expected 0.32mm
  Magnetic centers can be checked individually for each helix after dismounting
- Calculation error
  Considered heat transfer is wrong
  Copper resistance is higher, current density is lower, and resulting magnetic field is lower
  Comparative simulations should be performed









- The SEISM magnetic structure was built and set up on a test bench at LNCMI Grenoble
- Continuous magnetic field has been produced on-site for 70h up to now
- Results show an axi-symmetric field map with a lower amplitude and closer maxima than expected from the simulations
- Possible explanations involve mechanical errors in the fabrication of the polyhelix coils or the water tanks, and are still under investigation



GrenobleINP





- Right now:
- $\rightarrow$  Damaged poral disc to be replaced
- $\rightarrow$  Gaussmeter with triple-axis Hall probe to be bought
- $\rightarrow$  Magnetic field measurements up to 14000A (2 weeks run to be scheduled in automn 2010)
- Next year:
- $\rightarrow$  Plasma chamber design
- $\rightarrow$  permanent room at LNCMI is under funding request for first tests at 28 GHz

#### • In a near future:

- $\rightarrow$  Preparation to raise the current to full intensity (30kA)
  - $\rightarrow$  Adding direct voltage reading on each individual helix
  - $\rightarrow$  Adding temperature reading on local non-cooled parts (insulators)
  - → Insulators replacement ? What kind ?
- $\rightarrow$  60 GHz gyrotron is currently under construction at IAP-NN (ISTC contract)





#### Acknowledgements

To numerous people from the SERM-LPSC workshop

To the LNCMI Magnet Group



