# INITIAL TEST RESULTS FROM A MULTICUSP SOURCE FOR TRIUMF'S RADIOACTIVE BEAM FACILITY

T. Kuo, D. Yuan, K. Jayamanna, M. McDonald, R. Baartman, G. Mackenzie, P. Bricault M. Dombsky, P. Schmor, G. Dutto, -TRIUMF and Y. Lee, K-N Leung, D. Williams, R. Gough –LBNL

#### Abstract

A multicusp source for positive ion beams has been designed and constructed in collaboration with the Ion Beam Technology Department of LBNL for the TRIUMF ISAC project. This type of source has demonstrated a high yield of singly charged ions, a low energy spread and a good emittance and is compact and simple. Several stages of tests and measurements using non-radioactive beams to characterize the source performance are being carried out both at LBNL and at TRIUMF prior to the final phase of radioactive target-source system tests. Results of these non-radioactive tests and certain problems encountered are reported and discussed in this paper.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Radioactive ion species required for TRIUMF ISAC research project [1] will be mainly up to mass 30. In order to obtain a highest RIB to primary beam efficiency, ion sources which is efficient in producing singly charged ions are under study. The criteria for RIB ion sources are more restrictive than those for non-radioactive sources. In addition to having to have a high efficiency, good emittance and small energy spread, a particular source under consideration must be very simple, highly resistant to radiation damage and must have a fast transient time for isotope release. Since surface chemistry is extremely sensitive and critical between the radioactive isotopes and the material along their path to ionization/extraction, the RIB target-sources are nuclear chemistry and high temperature effect dominated.

At TRIUMF, surface ionization source [2] for alkaline species has been in use successfully for TISOL programs and it will be the first source to be used for ISAC. For gaseous and non-alkaline metallic species production we are exploring other source options such as compact microwave source and compact multicusp source.

## 2 TRIUMF-LBNL MULTICUSP SOURCE

Multicusp volume source [3] has demonstrated a high yield of single charged ions, a good emittance and a low energy spread. It was of great interest in 1994 to examine that whether such source can be a candidate for the ISAC project. Since then a source of this type has been designed and constructed in collaboration with the Ion Beam Technology Department of LBNL. The magnetic structure of this source is modeled after the LBNL's RF powered cusp source[4], using 10 rows of cusp lines on

the cylinder, 10 radial lines in the front plate and 4 lines in the back plate for complete magnetic enclosure. All magnet bars are directly water cooled. The plasma chamber is 20 cm in length and 20 cm in diameter. A 48mm circular opening centered in the front plate allows the penetration of the plasma and extraction electrodes to the front surface of the plasma. The plasma electrode is not cooled and allowed to go up to near 2000°C. The plasma and extraction apertures are 3mm in diameter and a tungsten filament 18cm long, 2.4mm in diameter powered by 200 amperes is used. A 2000°C tantalum liner is designed for on-line use but not installed for nonradioactive beam tests. Typical arc power used ranges from a few ten of watts to 1.5kW. There is no threshold for plasma ignition, a mW (100V,10 $\mu$ A) will begin to show beam of interest. Several stages of tests and measurements using non-radioactive beams to characterize the source performance have been carried out both at LBNL and at TRIUMF.

## **3 FIRST TEST AT LBNL**

In August 1995 the cusp source was shipped to LBNL for the first phase of test during which some subsets of source property such as ion species population, beam intensity, gas efficiency were measured. The source was first mounted on a teststand where a small mass energyanalyser was immediately after a single stage extraction at 600 volts. Argon and nitrogen were the gas samples been tested for species distribution.  $Ar^{1+}/Ar^{2+}$  and  $N_2^{1+}/N^{1+}$ ratios as a function of source pressure, gas flow, arc voltage and current were scanned through. About 200 scans were taken and some sample results are shown in Fig. 1(a) and 1(b).

As can be seen from Fig.1(a), the ratios of  $Ar^{1+}/Ar^{2+}$  are mainly determined by arc voltage. For example, at  $3.7 \times 10^{-3}$  torr source pressure the ratio is about 40-50 to 1 at 50 volts then falls rapidly to 15 to 1 at 150 volts. Variation of arc current from 5A to 15A will not change the ratio appreciably but change the extracted beam intensity. For the case of nitrogen ions, however, the  $N_2^{1+}/N^{2+}$  is in the factor of 1000 to 1 at 1A and 50 to 1 at 5A, rather insensitive to arc voltage at about  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  sccs gas flow. Similarly, the  $N_2^{1+}/N^{1+}$  ratios as seen from Fig.1(b), are more arc current dependent than voltage dependent. At 50 volts and low gas flow ( $5 \times 10^{-4}$  sccs), the ratio varied from 20 at 0.5A to 0.6 at 13.5A. These ratios do not change appreciably up to 150 volts.



Fig. 1. Argon and nitrogen gaseous species as a function of arc voltage and current

The beam currents of argon and nitrogen ions were measured on another teststand which is equipped with a faraday cup and secondary electrons recapture mechanism. current intensity as a function of extraction voltage (Child-Longmuir curve) at 5 different arc currents were measured. With a gas flow of  $6.6 \times 10^{-4}$  sccs and an arc power of 150V/5A, we obtained 0.3 mA Ar beam at 1.3 kV, 0.8mA at 2 kV and 1.0 mA at 3.8 kV. Likewise, with  $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$  sccs nitrogen flow and an arc of 100V/4A, the total nitrogen beam  $(N_2^{-1+}+N^{1+})$  were 0.35, 0.95 and 1.2mA respectively. Below 1.5 kV the beam extracted is insensitive to arc power and gas flow variation.

### **4 TESTS AT ISAC TESTSTAND**

A non-radioactive source/matching section/separator teststand for ISAC has been constructed for source study and optics verification. It is also used as a testbed for the new EPICS control system as well as various diagnostics devices. The cusp source was used to provide easy beams for the initial commissioning. Due to some instrumentation difficulties and uncertainty in measuring the beam emittance for the cusp source at the early stage of commissioning, a prototype ISAC surface ionization source [5] was later used for the optics verification for the matching section and the separator. Very good agreement has been obtained between the computed and measured emittance ellipses based on a given set of optics tune.

#### 4.1 Cusp Source Emittance

An Allison type scanner was constructed for heavy ion emittance measurement. However, the position of the scanner had to be located about 1.5 meter from the point of extraction. The divergence resolution of the device was found to be 3 mrad. These two limitations made it necessary to use a focused beam with a divergence less than  $\pm$  8 mrad for measurement. A focused beam gave an emittance of ~7.5  $\pi$ -mm-mrad while an unfocused beam always showed a value larger than 10  $\pi$ -mm-mrad. This discrepancy made it difficult to determine the waist size and the actual emittance value. In addition, the signal to noise ratio was poor for low current scanning. As a result, a systematic emittance data set as functions of energy, arc power, ion species and optics tunes has not yet been obtained.

#### 4.2 Extraction

The initial extraction system designed for the cusp source used a three electrode arrangement. The extractor was designed to be set at 4 kV negative to the plasma electrode while the third put at ground potential. When this extraction system was tested at the teststand with 30 keV beam energy, we were forced to use about 1.3 kV extraction to achieve the best beam transmission. This resulted in low current intensity and loss of benefit from higher arc power and gas flow. The "system gas efficiency" appeared to be very low. A new five-electrode system including an einzel lens has been designed using IGUN simulation code and was tested briefly at LBNL with a 15 keV nitrogen beam. A gain of 4 in beam intensity was obtained with the einzel lens on than without for a +/- 5 mrad divergence drift, mainly due to a higher extraction voltage permitted to be set by the einzel lens. The cusp source and the five-electrode extraction system will be reinstalled to the ISAC teststand for beam current, emittance and gas efficiency test up to 60 kV.

## 4.3 Source Gas Efficiency

The source gas efficiency was obtained with a single extraction gap using extraction voltage above saturation and a Faraday cup immediately after the extractor electrode. This set up disregards any optics requirement. Since the dominating ion species is singly charged, the gas efficiency is approximately represented by the ratio of the extracted beam currents to a calculated currents as if all the inflow gas were singly ionized. For the source under test we observed that the efficiency increases as arc power increases and as gas flow decreases. The dependence on gas flow at 500 watts arc power is shown in Fig. 2. The ionization of Ar is quite efficient, up to 80% at a small flow  $(0.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sccs})$  but falls to 25% at a higher flow  $(3x10^{-3} \text{ sccs})$ . For N<sub>2</sub> gas the overall nitrogen beam has a less gradient dependence on gas flow, from 20% at  $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$  sccs to 15% at  $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$  sccs.

## 4.4 System Gas Efficiency

The system gas efficiency was obtained from the beam current achievable after the image slit (2 mm in width) of the separator. The optics quality of the extraction system becomes very critical. Results from the initial commissioning of the teststand showed that only 5% of the source efficiency can be translated to the system efficiency for both  $Ar^{1+}$  and  $N_2^{1+}$  at 28 keV beam energy. The



Fig. 2. Source gas efficiency as a fuction of flow at 0.5 kW.

system efficiency dependence on gas flow was very similar to that of the source efficiency except for a scaling factor of 1/20. A 0.8 mm image slit will drop the system efficiency further to about 3% of the source efficiency. In other words, only 0.6% system efficiency for  $N_2^{1+}$  at the best.

A Neon leak gas of  $2x10^{-6}$  sccs was sent to the source with N<sub>2</sub> or He as support gas. A total of 120 nA of Ne<sup>1+</sup> was recorded after the 2 mm image slit (1.3% system eff.) when a minimal N<sub>2</sub> support gas (1x10<sup>-4</sup> sccs) was used. As the N<sub>2</sub> support gas was increased the Ne<sup>1+</sup> beam current fell off very rapidly, to 0.05% when N<sub>2</sub> gas flow reached 2.2x10<sup>-3</sup> sccs. The use of He as support gas improves the Ne<sup>1+</sup> efficiency to 1.5% and the falloff was not as steep.



Fig. 3. Energy spread as a function of beam energy and  $I_i$ 

#### **5 ENERGY SPREAD MEASUREMENT**

The longitudinal energy spreads of the cusp source were measured at LBNL in April, 1997 using an axial retarding field energy analyzer designed by IMS [6] and optimized by LBNL. The details of the instrument and method of measurement is presented in the invited talk given by Y. Lee [7,8] at this conference. A very low energy spread of 1.25 eV was obtained with a 2 keV Argon beam.

The ion current  $I_i$  through the 3 mm entrance aperture of the analyzer was used as an independent parameter. We observed a strong dependence of measured energy spread on the ion beam current  $I_i$  while the beam energy is fixed. As shown in Fig. 3, Beams at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 KeV are plotted as a function of  $I_i$ . There is a common trend that energy spread increases as  $I_i$  increases rather independent of beam species, arc power and beam optics. This ion current dependence is tentatively attributed to the severe space charge effect as the beam energy approach to zero. On the other hand, energy dependence trend might come from a deeper field penetration to the plasma and from the gas ionization effect inside the analyzer. It should be pointed out that measurements were made without an internal filter near the extraction. Adding a weak dipole field (~10 gauss) did not seem to have an observable effect.

#### **6 SUMMARY**

The TRIUMF/LBNL cusp source under test indeed shows its merit in generating copious singly charged gaseous ions. The *source gas efficiency* for argon beam can be up to 80% while the *system gas efficiency* is not yet optimized due to some deficiency in the extractor design. Initial emittance scan shows a figure less than  $10 \pi$ -mmmrad using a focused beam tune. At 2 keV beam energy the longitudinal energy spread can be as low as 1.25 eV. Further tests will be conducted using a new extraction system to search for a better system gas efficiency. Correspondingly a systematic emittance measurement through various arc conditions will be carried out.

#### REFERENCES

- P. Schmor, et al.' Status of the TRIUMF ISAC Facility for Accelerating Radioactive Beam', these Proceedings.
- [2] M. Dombsky, Triumf internal note.
- [3] K. N. Leung, Rev. Sci. Instrum., Vol.65, No.4, (1994).
- [4] M. D. Williams, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 67(3), (1996).
- [5] M. Dombsky, et al., NIM B 126(1997)50-54.
- [6] Ion Microfabrication System, Vienna, Austra.
- [7] Y. Lee, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 68(3), (1997).
- [8] Y. Lee, 'Very Low Energy Spread Ion Source', these Proceedings.