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Consider a bunch of electrons in a storage ring:

**Linear Symplectic Dynamics**

+ **Damping/Diffusion Process**

**Gaussian Beams**

\[ f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} e^{\frac{1}{2} z_i z_j \Sigma_{ij}^{-1}} \]
Gaussian Distributions with Invariants

Invariants: $g_{1,2,3}$

Beam Distribution:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^3 \langle g_1 \rangle \langle g_2 \rangle \langle g_3 \rangle} \exp \left( - \frac{g_1}{\langle g_1 \rangle} - \frac{g_2}{\langle g_2 \rangle} - \frac{g_3}{\langle g_3 \rangle} \right)$$

$$\langle g_a \rangle = 2\epsilon_a$$

So to find distribution, we need invariants + emittances.
Some previous work

- Sands (1970)
  
  Analytical:
  \[ \epsilon_x \propto \int \mathcal{H}_x(s) \, ds \]

- Chao (1979) (SLIM)
  general 6X6 coupled Case
  *Numerical*

- Ohmi et. al. (1994) (Beam Envelopes)
  Analytical, expressed in terms of fully coupled Edwards/Teng Lattice parameters
(1) Coupling Effects

Consider coupling perturbation.

\[ M_{\text{uncoupled}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_x & 0 \\ 0 & M_{y,z} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ T = I + P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \xi_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \xi_c & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

In x-y space, \( \xi_c \) is skew quad strength.
In x-z space, \( \xi_c \) is crab cavity strength.
X-Y coupling instability (skew quad)

Instability Plot

\[ \xi_c = 0.25 \]

(computed via eigenvalues of exact matrix)
Different, since

\[ \beta_z \propto \frac{1}{\nu_s} \]

magnification near half-integer

(KEK operates near 1/2 int.)
Emittance growth caused by crab cavity near resonances

Non-linear resonances can be a problem– would require extension of this framework

\( \nu_s \approx 0 \quad \nu_x \approx \frac{1}{2} \)

Params from PEP-II
Computed w/ our Analytical formulas
Linear half integer res.
Doesn’t look bad, sum/dif strong
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• Chao/ Ohmi approaches can compute these results (SLIM+, SAD, PTC, etc.) But can we get analytical understanding??
When are analytical results useful??

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Useful for precise calculation of Specific case(s)</td>
<td>Useful for understanding generic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithmic complexity not so important, as long as speed is reasonable.</td>
<td>Results should be simple.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For small coupling, we develop a perturbative approach with simple analytical results.
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Perturbation Theory Calculation of Invariants

- Develop perturbation theory
- Analogous to Quantum mechanics
- Near resonance means degenerate PT
- Integer/Half Integer resonances due to coupling require special care (2\textsuperscript{nd} order!)
Theorem:

Given eigenvectors of $M$

$$G_a = -J(v_a v_a^\dagger + v_a^* v_a^T)J$$  \hspace{1cm} a = 1, 2, 3$$

$$g_a = \bar{z}^T G_a \bar{z}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{are three invariants of } M$$
Formal Connection to QM

Beam Dynamics

\[ M \vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v} \]

Eigenvalues give tunes. Eigenvalues give energies.
Eigenvectors give invariants. Eigenvectors give stationary states.
M is symplectic. H is Hermitian.

TI Shroedinger Eqn.

\[ \hat{H} \psi = E \psi \]
\[ v_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_x} \\ i-\alpha_x \\ \sqrt{\beta_x} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad v_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta_z} \\ i-\alpha_z \\ \sqrt{\beta_z} \end{pmatrix} \]

Eigenvectors

\[ \beta_x, \alpha_x \text{ are familiar, but what about } \beta_z, \alpha_z \text{ ??} \]

\[ \beta_z = \frac{a}{\mu_s}, \quad \gamma_z = \frac{\mu_s}{a}, \quad \alpha_z = -\frac{\mu_s}{2} \left( 1 - 2\tilde{\alpha} \right) \]

\[ a = C\alpha_c \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \text{Partial momentum compaction factor} \]

\[ g_a = \gamma_a X_a^2 + 2\alpha_a X_a P_a + \beta_a P_a'^2 \quad a = x, z \text{ Two invariants} \]

note: Courant Snyder analysis generalized to z-motion
\[ M = M_0 + M_1 = (1 + P)M_0 \]

\(M_0\) is degenerate unperturbed one-turn map exactly on resonance,

Examples for P:
- Dispersion \(\neq 0\) at an RF cavity
- Crab cavity
Find coupling from matrix elements

\[ r_{jk} = v_{j0}^* P v_{k0} \]

Matrix elements from the perturbation

Out of these, for each resonance, we compute a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Splitting parameter</th>
<th>Coupling coefficient</th>
<th>Phase parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \mu )</td>
<td>( \xi )</td>
<td>( \phi )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coupling angle \( \theta \): \( \tan \theta \) or \( \tanh \theta = \frac{\xi}{\Delta \mu} \)
Example Result for Crab Cavity

- All resonances were analyzed for both crab cavity and RF dispersion.

For a crab cavity for sum/difference res., we find

$$\xi_\pm \equiv 2|r_{\pm 12}| = \xi_c \sqrt{\frac{a \beta x}{\mu_s}} \mp 2\eta^2$$

Stop-band width depends on dispersion, increases for small synchrotron tune.

Rederived result of Hoffstaetter, Chao (2004)
(2) Construct the coupled invariants

Example, near difference resonance:

\[ G_1 = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)G_x + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)G_y + \sin(\theta)G_c^- \]

\[ G_2 = \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)G_x + \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)G_y - \sin(\theta)G_c^- \]
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Total change in emittance per turn:

$$\Delta \epsilon_a = -4 \chi_a \epsilon_a + \bar{d}_a$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{a,eq} = \frac{\bar{d}_a}{4\chi_a}$$
Computing damping and diffusion, we find the emittances:

\[ \epsilon_x = \frac{55}{48\sqrt{3}} \frac{\alpha_0 \gamma^5}{\frac{2U_0}{E_0} J_x} \oint ds \frac{H_x}{[\rho^3]} \]

\[ \epsilon_z = \frac{55}{48\sqrt{3}} \frac{\alpha_0 \gamma^5}{\frac{2U_0}{E_0} J_z} \oint ds \frac{1}{[\rho^3]} \]

Reproduces results of Sands using very different (generalizable) approach.
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Invariant sum rule:

\[ G_1 \pm G_2 = G_x \pm G_z = \text{invariant} \]

+ = diff. reso. Stability
- = sum reso. Instability

Damping decrement sum rule:

\[ \chi_1 + \chi_2 = \chi_x + \chi_z = \text{invariant} \]

(manifestation of Robinson sum rule)

Our framework contains both invariant and Robinson sum rules.
Near a sum resonance, one of the damping decrements may become negative. There is an instability for all coupling angles greater than...

\[
\coth\left(\frac{\theta_+}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\chi_z}{\chi_x}}
\]
Anti-Damping Instability for Varying Damping Partition Number

RF cav.
disp

crab
cavity
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Near difference resonance:

\[
\epsilon_{1,eq} = \frac{\cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \overline{d}_x + \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \overline{d}_y}{4(\cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \chi_x + \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \chi_y)} = \cos^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \epsilon_x + \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \epsilon_y
\]

\[
\epsilon_{2,eq} = \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \overline{d}_x + \cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \overline{d}_y}{4(\sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \chi_x + \cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \chi_y)} = \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \epsilon_x + \cos^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \epsilon_y
\]

So, emittance coupling, Not always a rigorous concept! Does not apply to SB coupling.

Familiar result if (but only if) \( \chi_x = \chi_y \)
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Non-uniform means the damping and diffusion coefficients depend on phase space position. Important examples are intrabeam scattering and beam-beam.

(A. Chao, AIP Conf. Proc., 127, 201, 1985)

Now we have more general $\epsilon_{1,2,3}(t)$
Intrabeam scattering

IBS growth depends on distribution!

Same framework applies, still need to find Invariants and emittance evolution.

After finding invariants, solve an equation of the form

\[
\frac{d\epsilon_{1,2,3}}{dt} = F(\epsilon_{1,2,3})
\]
Example: RHIC, gold ions at injection

Our expression
Bjorken-Mitingwa

Nash et. al. PAC '03
We can also apply our perturbation theory results to IBS!

To give a rough idea of how this goes:

Beam frame momentum distribution:

\[
C_x = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_x & 0 & -\gamma G_x \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\gamma G_x & 0 & \gamma^2 H_x
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
C_z = \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_z \eta_x^2 & \gamma_z \eta_x \eta_y & -\alpha_z \gamma \eta_x \\
\gamma_z \eta_x \eta_y & \gamma_z \eta_y^2 & -\alpha_z \gamma \eta_y \\
-\alpha_z \gamma \eta_x & -\alpha_z \gamma \eta_y & \gamma^2 \beta_z
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Near difference resonance get replaced with:

\[
C_1 = \cos^2 \theta C_x + \sin^2 \theta C_z + \sin(2\theta)C_c \\
C_2 = \sin^2 \theta C_x + \cos^2 \theta C_z - \sin 2\theta C_c
\]

Then evolve coupled invariants
(5) Combine IBS/PT

Now we can explore interaction between IBS and coupling resonances!

Surprising result: IBS+SBC -> no equilibrium below transition!

Understand vertical emittance due to coupling and vertical dispersion.
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Conclusions and future directions

- Gaussian beam distribution is determined by invariants + emittances
- Perturbation theory to find invariants was developed. Near resonance required degenerate PT.
- The case of a crab cavity was analyzed. Half integer resonance not too strong, dispersion can increase stop-band width and emittance growth.
- General analytical expressions reduce to Sands in uncoupled case.
- Diffusion/damping change emittances. Radiation and IBS have been analyzed in detail. Beam-beam, gas scattering and other diffusive effects could also be included.
- Interaction between resonance and damping/diffusion has a rich phenomenology: anti-damping instability, emittance coupling, beam equilibrium with IBS, etc.
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Damping matrix (for radiation damping)

\[
B_\beta = B_{BBB}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
-b_{\delta x} \eta_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b_x \eta_x - b_{\delta x} \eta_x^2 \\
-b_{\delta x} \eta'_x & b_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & (b_x - b_z) \eta'_x - b_{\delta x} \eta'_x \eta_x \\
-b_{\delta x} \eta_y & 0 & 0 & b_y & 0 & (b_y - b_z) \eta'_y - b_{\delta x} \eta'_y \eta_x \\
0 & -b_x \eta_x & 0 & -b_y \eta_y & 0 & -b_x \eta'_x \eta_x - b_y \eta'_y \eta_y \\
b_{\delta x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_z + b_{\delta x} \eta_x
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
b_x(s) = \sum_i \frac{U_{0i}}{c P_0} \delta(s - s_{ci})
\]

\[
b_x = P_\gamma c P_0, \quad b_{\delta x} = \frac{P_\gamma}{2c E_0} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{2}{B_y} \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} \right)
\]
Diffusion matrix (for quantum diffusion)

\[ D_\beta = \mathcal{B} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}^T = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_{xx}^2 & \eta_{xx} \eta_{x} & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y} & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y}' & 0 & -\eta_{x} \\
\eta_{xx} \eta_{x} & \eta_{xx}^2 & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y} & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y}' & 0 & -\eta_{x}' \\
\eta_{xx} \eta_{y} & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y}' & \eta_{yy}^2 & \eta_{yy} \eta_{y} & 0 & -\eta_{y} \\
\eta_{xx} \eta_{y}' & \eta_{xx} \eta_{y}' & \eta_{yy} \eta_{y} & \eta_{yy}^2 & 0 & -\eta_{y}' \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\eta_{x} & -\eta_{x}' & -\eta_{y} & -\eta_{y}' & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \]

\[ d(s) = \frac{55}{48 \sqrt{3}} \alpha_0 \frac{\gamma^5}{|\rho(s)|^3} \left( \frac{\hbar}{mc} \right)^2 \]
Linear resonances
PT results for all resonances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resonance</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$\Delta \mu$ (mod $2\pi$)</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sum</td>
<td>$\mu = \mu_2$</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu = \mu_2 = i(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$</td>
<td>$2r_{12}i$</td>
<td>$\arg(r_{12})$</td>
<td>$-i(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int (x)</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = \mu_2$</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu = -\mu_2 = i(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$</td>
<td>$2r_{12}$</td>
<td>$\arg(r_{12})$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int (z)</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = \mu_2$</td>
<td>$\Delta \mu = 0$</td>
<td>$2r_{21}$</td>
<td>$\arg(r_{21})$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$-int (x)</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = \pi(2n + 1)$</td>
<td>$2(\mu_1 - \pi) - 2i\mu_1$</td>
<td>$2r_{12}$</td>
<td>$\arg(r_{12})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$-int (z)</td>
<td>$\mu_1 = \pi(2n - 1)$</td>
<td>$2(\mu_1 - \pi) - 2i\mu_2$</td>
<td>$2r_{21}$</td>
<td>$\arg(r_{21})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\begin{align*}
\text{cp. int (x)} & : \mu_1 = -\pi(2n + 1) \\
\text{cp. int (z)} & : \mu_1 = -\pi(2n - 1)
\end{align*}
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PEP-II parameters used in calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>2199.33 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_c )</td>
<td>( 1.23 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Lambda_x )</td>
<td>( 1.19 \times 10^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Lambda_z )</td>
<td>( 2.1 \times 10^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tilde{c}_z )</td>
<td>( 49.2 \times 10^{-9} ) m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{d}_z )</td>
<td>( 9.35 \times 10^{-6} ) m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_z )</td>
<td>( 2.34 \times 10^{-11} ) m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{d}'_z )</td>
<td>( 8.98 \times 10^{-9} ) m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{s_{\text{av}}} )</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{s_{\text{av}}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{s_{\text{lab}}} )</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{s_{\text{lab}}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_{s_{\text{av}}} )</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta'<em>{s</em>{\text{av}}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta_{s_{\text{lab}}} )</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta'<em>{s</em>{\text{lab}}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \xi_{c} )</td>
<td>0.003 l/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>