Abstract

The development of adequate modulators for high peak power klystrons is one of the focus points for linear collider R & D programs. For the DESY/THD S-band linear collider study 150MW RF pulse power at 50Hz repetition rate and 3μs pulse duration is required. Two different modulator schemes were investigated. One is the conventional line type pulser, using a pulse forming network and a step up transformer, the other one is a Hard Tube Pulser, using a DC power source at the full klystron voltage and a switch tube.

The Hard Tube Pulser, which switches the high voltage directly from a storage capacitor to the klystron, should offer a simpler design and a better pulse quality than a conventional line type pulser. A 25MW RF power test version of a Hard Tube Pulser has been built up and tested at DESY. Circuitry and the results of the tests are reported. In addition to the tests theoretical investigations were carried out about a Hard Tube Pulser for a klystron delivering 150MW RF power. The results of these studies are presented. Finally the efficiencies of a Hard Tube Pulser and a line type pulser for a 150MW klystron are compared.

1 THE PRINCIPLE OF A HARD TUBE PULSER

As an alternative to conventional modulators Hard Tube Pulsers have to be considered as a HV source for high power pulsed klystrons. The main advantages of a Hard Tube Pulser are short rise and fall times of the HV pulse, resulting in high efficiency. The investment cost for a Hard Tube Pulser is comparable to the cost for a conventional modulator.

Figure 1 shows the basic circuitry of a Hard Tube Pulser.
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A high voltage power supply is used to charge a capacitor (or some other storage element) to the full operating voltage of the klystron. This storage element is connected to the switch tube, a vacuum tube with a gridded gun, that is able to switch the full operating voltage of the klystron. If the grid is driven to a positive potential with respect to the cathode, electrons are emitted from the cathode, go through a hole in the grounded anode and hit the collector, driving it negative to almost the cathode potential. The collector of the switch tube is connected to the cathode of the klystron, supplying it with a high voltage pulse of the length of the switch tube grid pulse. During the pulse the storage element is discharged to about 90% of its initial voltage, and between the pulses it is charged again.

As long as the cathode voltage of the switch tube does not change by more than 10% during the pulse, the switch tube delivers a constant current, resulting in a constant klystron cathode voltage.

In order to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of a Hard Tube Pulser, a test setup with a 150kV switch tube was set up at DESY [1][2], a study about a 600kV switch tube pulser was written at INP, Novosibirsk [3], and a study about a 560kV switch tube was written by CPI, Palo Alto [4].

2 PROPOSAL FOR A 600 KV HARD TUBE PULSER

Figure 2 shows the circuitry of a Hard Tube Pulser, as proposed in [3].

The high voltage power supply, the storage elements, the switch tube and the cathode of the klystron are all in one oil tank. The filament power for switch tube and klystron and the power for the switch tube grid pulser are fed into the tank by insulating transformers. The tank (without the klystron) has a height of 2.25m, a width of 1.75m and a length of 3.75m. For the installation in the tunnel the klystron has to be mounted horizontally instead of vertically, which adds another 2m to the length of the unit.

The high voltage power supply consists of a transformer with a one layer primary winding and 25 secondary windings with full wave rectifiers, connected by diodes.

The energy storage unit consists of a storage capacitor C1 and a simple pulse forming network (R1, C3, L2, L3). Taking into account the stray capacitance of switch tube and klystron, the elements of the pulse forming network can be optimized reaching a rise time of the klystron voltage of about 500ns and a fall time of about 1μs.

Figure 3 shows the calculated waveforms of the klystron cathode voltage and the voltage across the switch tube. Trace b shows a blowup of the klystron voltage flat top. The ringing on the pulse is of the order of ±0.6%.
Figure 2: Circuit of a 600 kV Hard Tube Pulser
HVS–high voltage source; Kl–klystron; ST–switch tube; CT–current transformer; RD–resistive divider; CD–pulse divider; \(L_1\)–protection choke; \(C_1, C_3, L_2, L_3, R_1\)–elements of PFN; \(C_{st}, C_{kl}\)–spurious capacitance; \(R_2, R_3\)–limiting resistors; SG1, SG2, SG3–protection spark gaps.

Figure 3: Pulse Voltage on Klystron and Switch Tube
(a) Pulse Voltage on Klystron and Switch Tube (70 kV/div)
(b) Pulse Flat-top on Klystron (200 V/div)

Figure 4: Design of the proposed switch tube

3 PROPOSAL FOR A 560 KV SWITCH TUBE

The design of a 560 kV switch tube has been investigated by CPI (former Varian), Palo Alto. Figure 4 shows the design of the proposed switch tube, the preliminary specifications of the tube are given in Table 1.

The insulator between cathode and anode is divided into 6 ceramics and 5 intermediate electrodes to keep the maximum hold off voltage between adjacent electrodes below 110 kV. Experience with the switch tube tested at DESY indicated that the voltage hold off capability on the DC side of the switch tube is one of the key problems of these tubes.

The electron beam, emitted from the gridded gun, is electrostatically focused, passes through the grounded anode, widens up behind the anode and hits the collector. Calculations of electron trajectories show that the collector can be depressed to at least 91 % of the cathode potential without the risk of returning electrons, that might hit the anode and cause breakdown.

With the switch tube investigated at DESY a collector depression of 92 % could be reached.

4 EFFICIENCY OF A HARD TUBE PULSER

For a prediction of the efficiency of a Hard Tube Pulser, the maximum collector depression of the switch tube is an essential parameter. For further calculations a maximum depression of a well designed collector of 95 % will be used as an upper limit.

Calculations of the losses in all modulator components lead to an average input power (high voltage power supply, electronics and switch tube filament) of 74960 W. The useful power, i.e. the power in the flat top of the switch tube pulse (535 kV, 700 A, 3 \(\mu\)s, 50 Hz), is 56175 W. These numbers lead to a total efficiency of the proposed Hard Tube Pulser (useful power divided by input power) of 75 %. This has to be compared with efficiencies of 50-60 % of existing line type modulators and 70 % of proposed line type modulators [5].

Although the power efficiency of a Hard Tube Pulser is of the same order as the efficiency of a well designed line type modulator, the total operating costs of a Hard Tube Pulser will be higher due to the limited lifetime and high price of the switch tube. The lifetime of a switch tube will be of the same order as the lifetime of a klystron (typically given by the cathode lifetime), and the production cost will be at least as high as that of a klystron (big ceramic, many intermediate electrodes). This has to be compared with the lifetime and production costs of thyratrons, which are the only major parts of line type modulators that have to be replaced regularly. It also should be mentioned that replac-

Table 1: Specifications of the proposed Switch Tube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cathode voltage</td>
<td>622 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode voltage</td>
<td>0 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector voltage</td>
<td>560 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>90 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam current</td>
<td>503 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microperveance</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width</td>
<td>3 (\mu)s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty cycle</td>
<td>1.5 (10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing a thyratron is a much faster job than replacing a switch tube, because the oil tank has to be drained and taken apart to remove the switch tube.

With the efficiency of a Hard Tube Pulser being not better than the efficiency of a line type modulator, and with the remaining R&D necessary to transfer the proposed Hard Tube Pulser and the proposed switch tube into real hardware, for the required power level a Hard Tube Pulser can not be recommended as an alternative to a line type modulator.
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