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#### Abstract

Different requirements and systems for radiation machines are dis cussed. Bending magnet used in Siemens units is described to illustrate methods of computer design.


## 1 Introduction

Deflection magnet is one of the most critical elements encountered by tha heam in a medical linear accelerator. It is common practice in radiation treatment systems to have the accelerating waveguide extending in a horizontal direction and then to bend the emergent electron beam magnetically into a vertical plane. In the $X$-ray mode the beam then strikes a target and generates an X-ray beam; alternatively, the clectiun beam itself may be used for treatment,

In addition to bending, a successfil deflection system must also provide stigmatic and achromatic focusing as well as satisfy spatial constraints of the treatment head assembly. In order to vary the angle at which the beam is incident on a patient, the head assembly must be able to rotate about the horizontal axis and to pass underneath the patient. Therefore, the radial extent of the deflection system with respect to the axis of rotation must be minimized. Finally, a practical magnet must be simple, easy to manufacture and use readily available materials and fabrication techniques.

This paper describes the deflection systerns used in modern radiation therapy machines that satisfy all of the above criteria.

## 2 Optics Requirements

In most applications of X-ray therapy one requires high uniformity in the radiation field, which is determined by the optical properties of the beam at the bremsstrahlung target. Three conditions must be satisfied to insure symmetry and flatness of the resultant dose distribution:

- Small size of the spot.
- Fixed position of the spot on the target.
- Incidence normal to the target.

The latter two points are illustrated in Fig. 1.
The electron accelerator beam is typically characterized by small diameter ( $\leq 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), very small divergence angle ( $\leq \pm 3 \mathrm{mrad}$ ) and up to $20 \%$ energy spread [7]. The deflection system is required to trankport this to a heam of $\leq 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter and $\leq \pm 7 \mathrm{mrad}$ divergence at the targel over a wide range of electron energies, typically from 5 to 25 Mev. The beam optical constraints can be summarized as follows:

1. The system must be achromatic, i. e. it must bring originally paraxial particles of different energies to the same focus. Moreover, particles must not diverge but remain parallel after reaching the focal point; otherwise, a change in the beam mean energy will result in a change in mean angle of the beam at the target and hence in the $x$-ray field asymmetry.
2. Originally divergent particles eminating from the same point must be focused to the same point also.
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Figure 1: Resultant X-ray dose distribution fot: (a) properly aligned beam, (b) beara with an angular divergence $\Delta \phi$, (c) beam with a radial displarement $\Delta r$. From [1].
3. Particles starting out parallel must remain so at the end of the system.
4. Spatial focus for both the radial and transverse direction must occur at the same point along the central trajectory. Ln this case the system is said to be stigmatic.

## 3 Typical $270^{\circ}$ Systems

### 3.1 Mathematical Formulation

Mathematical design of a deflection system begins with laying out the central orbit reference trajectory along which particles of design momentum $p_{0}$ travel in the median (symmetry) plane. The task is then to configure the magnetic field so that the phase-space deviations from this design trajectory satisfy the requirements outlined in Section 2.

Let us designate $s$ as a distance along the central orbit and define $x$, $y$ to be the lateral displacements from the central orbit in the horizontal and vertical plane respertively, $x^{\prime}=d x / d s, y^{\prime}=d x / d s$ to be the angular divergences, and $\delta=\left(p \quad p_{0}\right) / p_{0}$ to be the momentum deviaLion. A general solution $x(s), y(s)$ to the electron transport probiem involves a second-order differential equation. Since the variables are "small" we can express the solntion as a Taylor series in the initial conditions. In linear approximation each magnetic element can then be represented by a lransport matrix relating the final to the initial coordinates. The matrix approach is used for the preliminary design of the system; the transport matrix for the entire system is given by the jroduct of matrices for individual magnetic elemeats. Analytic expressions for matrix elements of common accelerator components have been worked out in the literature $\{2,4,9,10]$ and are used in design computer programs such as TRANSPORT [3]. The general linear solution
can be written as follows.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x^{\prime}(s) \\
\delta
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{x}(s) & s_{x}(s) & d_{x}(s) \\
c_{x}^{\prime}(s) & s_{x}^{\prime}(s) & d_{x}^{\prime}(s) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{0} \\
x_{0}^{\prime} \\
\delta
\end{array}\right) \\
\binom{y(s)}{y^{\prime}(s)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{y}(s) & s_{y}(s) \\
c_{y}^{\prime}(s) & s_{y}^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{0}}{y_{0}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Designing a system with $d_{x}-d_{x}^{\prime}=0$ at the target location would correspond to satisfying the first constraint of Section 2. Similarly, requiring $s_{x}=s_{y}=0$ and $c_{x}^{\prime}=c_{y}^{\prime}=0$ at the same point would take care of the second and the third (as well as the forth) constraint respectively. Then, $c_{z}, c_{y}$ would determine the size of the spatial focus and $s_{x}^{\prime}, s_{y}^{\prime}$ - the divergence angle. In total, we have 10 parameters characterizing the optics; our deflection system must provide at least as many to work with.

### 3.2 Common Optical Schemes

A three-sector $270^{\circ}$ double achromat system due to Brown 5 ] is shown in Fig. 2. [t consists of three uniform field magnets, each providing a $90^{\circ}$ deflection, and short connecting drift tubes. Two energy selection slits placed at $135^{\circ}$ to the entering central trajectory intercept all particles outside $\pm \mathbf{3 \%}$ momentum range. Entering lateral displacements


Figure 2: Three-sector achromat: cross-section view in the bend and the cross plane. From ${ }^{1}$.
$c_{x}, c_{y}$ and angular divergences $s_{x}, s_{y}$ are reproduced at the target plane with no significant increase in magnitude. The design parameters var ied to satisfy the optical constraints are: the pole face rotation angles with respect to the design trajectory, the lengths of the drifts, and the lengths of the sectors. This system is employed in the Varian Ctinac 18 treatment unit which operates over the $6-18 \mathrm{MeV}$ energy range.

A slightly difforent threemagnet system 6 is shown in Pig. 3. Here, the dipole magnets deflect the beam alternately in opposite directions, the first and second by angles of less than $50^{\circ}$ and the third by an angle of at least $90^{\circ}$. Such a design, with the optical properties being the same as those of the previous system, is more compact in the direction in whirh the beann exits; the height of the head assembly is thus redured. Philips uses this system for its SL 25 linar.

Schematir diagram of the wo dipote, donly achromatic $270^{\circ}$ system T is shown in Fig. 4. The first bend is $180^{\circ}$ or more, the second $90^{\circ}$ or less. The dipoles are preceded by an antisymmetric quadrupole doublet


Figure 3: Three-magnet allernate achromat: median plane lay-out From [6].


Figure 4: Two-dipole achromat with input quadrupoles. This design minimizes distance $h$. From [7].
to match the input beam spatial characteristics to the magnet focusing properties. The net effect is to reduce the height by approximately one bending radius over the design in Fig. 1. Quadrupoles' focusing gradients, lengths of drifts, pole face rotation angles, and one of the bend angles are the fiee parameters in this design employed by the AECL Therac 25 and suitable for $5-25 \mathrm{MeV}$.

A single-magnet system due to Enge $[8]$ is shown in Fig. 5. It combines two uniform regions with the nonuniform gradient section inbetween. Its pole face angles can be adjusted by a pair of moveable shim pieces for optimal spatial focusing. Another pair of shims adjusts the angle which determines the radial field gradient. Free design pararneters are pole face rotation angles, middle section's gradient and field strength, as well as lengths of the drifts and bend angles in the uniform sections. The Siemens Mevatron treatment unit employs the


Figure 5: Enge single-magnet achromat: anglea $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}$, and $\alpha_{s}$ can be adjusted by moveable shims to satisfy optical constraints. From [8].
bending magnet based on Enge's design; a more detailed description is given in Section 4.

### 3.3 Fringe Fields and Fine-Tuning

Magnet edges contribute important focusing properties [1]. In initia| design one assumes that the vertical field begins and ends abrupty near the entrance and the exit of the magnet. In this approximation. a pole face tilt with respect to the design trajectory produces equal but opposite foctusing action in the two planes. In practice, fringe fietes cat be extended over appreciable distances changing the opties prescribed by the design fone effect is reduced focusing in one of the pianes, another is that the particle of nominal momentum $p_{0}$ starting out on the central orbit no longer continues to stay on it). The second and higher order aberrations also contribute to disrupt the linear design performance [2].

One can try to cure these problems by fine-tuning the beam, e. g. by winding a small trim coil about a pole to steer the particies. The next section addresses the "fine-tuning" of the design itself.

## 4 Siemens Deflection System

Beading magnet used in the machines prodnced by Siemens Medical Laboratories, Inc. is based on the design in Fig. 5. The crucial difet. ence is the absence of moveable shims and the shape of the gradient section. The lay-out of the magnet and one of the gradient pole pieces are shown in Fig. 6. Two important factors contribute to make the


Figure 6: Schematic view of the Siemens magnet. Non-uniform field in the middle section is produced by two poles shaped as shown.
optical properties of the magnet differ from those of the linear design:

- Edge effects. Long extended fringe helds introduce astigmatism [2,9] and chromatic aberrations [11].
- Gradient section geometry. The pole pieces do not possess rotational symmetry making the curves of constant magnetic field to be straight lines rather than circles as assumed by the linear design; the effect is that the particle following the design trajectory gets "shifted" in the non-uniform region.

One can try to recalculate the transport matrices taking the above points into account. However, analytic expressions are not readily available and a useful parametrization of the fringe field is not ohvious. A more practical approach after all, we are dealing with a wery simple system … involves ray traning.

It has been shown [4] that the optics of a system is fully determined (to any order) by specifying five representative trajectories; they are the linear solutions $c_{x}(s), s_{x}(s), d_{x}(s), c_{y}(s)$, and $s_{y}(s)$ mentioned in Section 3. We can obtain them numerically from the equations of motion by making some judicious choices in initial conditions. Fur example, suppose we want to know $c_{z}$ at some point $s_{1}$ in the sys tem. We would solve the differential equation for $r\left(s_{1}\right)$ with all intiat coordinates except $z_{0}$ equal to zero. Then, we get (see 3.1):

$$
c_{x}\left(s_{1}\right)=\frac{x\left(s_{1}\right)}{x_{0}}
$$

We pick several $x_{0}$ 's and average (the deviation from the average tests the non-linearity of the system). Fig. 7 illustrates this procedure for
the gradient section. Other four characteristic rays can be obtained in the similar manner [12]. Or course, one needs the magnetic fields in dif-


Figure 7: Rays for the determination of $c_{x}$ and $c_{x}$. Here $-5 m m \leq x_{0} \leq 5 m m$ and $x_{0}^{\prime}=y_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime}=\delta=0$, i. e. the rays start out in the median plane parallel to the central ray. The focusing effect is quite noticeable at the end of the system.
ferent regions to input into the differential equation. These have to be measured; however, one does not need the complete three-dimensional rnapping: for example, in the fringe region it suffices to measure the field along the line perpendicular to the pole face $[9,11]$.

Given the indial design of the system, one can do "fine tunining" on a computer: by computing the change in characteristic rays due to slight adjustments and using them as an input for a graphics program, one obtains an intractive way to alter the design and observe the resulting optical changes.
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