### I. S. K. Gardner

## Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, England.

### Abstract

A review of current spallation neutron sources and their present status is given. Possible future developments of some of these sources are described.

### Introduction

Recent years have seen a marked growth in the use of pulsed spallation neutron sources for condensed matter research using neutron scattering techniques. Detailed information on neutron sources can be found in the proceedings of the ICANS 1 - 9 conferences (International Collaboration on Neutron Sources). In addition a comparison of reactor sources and proton beam driven spallation sources is given in ref[1]. Present reactor sources are capable of producing a thermal neutron flux of 1E15 n/sq cm/s for external neutron beams and these beams have been used successfully for many years. Attempts to increase these fluxes led to proposals for accelerator based sources. A large spallation source, ING (Intense Neutron Generator) was first proposed in the early sixties at OHALK RIVER although it was never built. The design flux was 1E16 n/sq cm/s and the proposal required a 1 Gev 65 mA CW proton linac with a flowing liquid lead - bismuth target.

The first accelerator based neutron sources using proton beams were developed as additional facilities on existing accelerator complexes, firstly at ARGONNE with IPNS and then KENS at KEK in Japan and WNR at LOS ALAMOS. Spallation sources can be split into two types, namely, those which operate with continuous beams and those which operate with pulsed beams. The latter sources are now able to produce instantaneous neutron fluxes several orders of magnitude greater than energy reactors in some regions of the neutron spectrum, see Fig. 1. These intensities are achieved with manageable energy density in the targets but at the cost of lower mean neutron fluxes. On pulsed neutron sources the neutron energy is measured by time of flight techniques and hence the short duration of the neutron pulse is a positive advantage, and an ideal pulse length is achieved if the incident beam pulse is kept less than 500 nano-secs. The repetition rate of the neutron pulses must be low enough to allow the lowest energy neutrons to be detected before the arrival of the next pulse. This usually implies repetition rates of 10 - 100 Hz. Where high mean fluxes are required then a continuous beam accelerator must be used with the resultant high energy density in the spallation target. Such a source is now under construction at SIN.[4]

Various materials are used for spallation targets and data for different metals are shown in Fig 2, ref[3]. Increased proton energy gives increased neutron yield but this also increases the proton range, thus energies of about 1 Gev and a heavy metal target are required. The mechanisms of neutron production in spallation targets via cascade, evaporation and fission processes are described in ref[5] and, for example, each 800 Mev proton incident on a lead target is estimated to produce 16 neutrons. Neutron production



Fig 1. The predicted 4\*PI equivalent flux for ISIS at 200 micro-amps compared with the flux of the three moderators at the ILL high flux reactor in Grenoble [2]. (AP ambient temp. water,  $CH_A$  liquid methane,  $H_1$  liquid hydrogen)



Fig 2 Measured neutron yield vs proton energy for various target materials [3].

can be enhanced by the use of fissionable material as on ISIS and KENS, or further enhanced by enrichment of the target with fissile material as on IPNS. Both steps lead to some additional complication in the target design but give worthwhile increases in the available neutron flux, a factor of 2 in the ISIS and KENS cases and an additional factor of 3 in the IPNS case.

Moderators situated close to the target reduce the energy of the neutrons to the thermal range giving a spectrum of energy specific to the temperature and material of the moderator. Frequently used types are liquid hydrogen, liquid methane and water.

## Existing Sources

The existing proton driven sources and those under construction are listed in Table 1 along with the main design parameters. The numbers in brackets indicate the current normal operating values.

### Lost Beam Protection Systems

With a beam power of many kW now available on these machines uncontrolled beam loss at any stage can lead to thermal damage to the vacuum vessels and to high levels of radiation, which in turn give high levels of induced activity. The methods of lost beam collection which were developed on intense beam cyclotrons have been adapted for use on some of the synchrotrons and the storage ring. Devices comprised of beam scrapers, collectors and collimators are used to define the points of beam loss. Most of the accelerators incorporate a beam loss monitor system and this, combined with intensity measurements throughout each cycle of the machine, is used to detect unacceptable beam loss. Turning the accelerator off or, dropping the repetition rate, immediately that lost beam is detected protects the accelerator components but the spallation target suffers a severe heat quench. Many severe thermal cycles can lead to failure of some types of targets which operate at high temperatures. Thus lost beam protection systems now being developed must protect the accelerator components and deal with the problems specific to each machine.

Some data on the efficiencies of stages of the acceleration processes for pulsed sources are listed in table 2.

4	::	IPNS Argonne USA	   	KENS KEK Japan	1	ISIS RAL UK	   	LANSCE Los Alamos USA
Injection	;		1	97	1	97	1	92
Trapping	1		;	94	1	90	1	99.5
Acceleration or Storage	1	93	;	94	:	99	1	99.3
Extraction	;		:	88	;	>99	;	99.7
Transport	;		:	96	1	>99	;	
Dverall	;	90	1	72	1	86		90.6
				state and a group house, which while hands have				

Table 2. Percentage beam intensities after the completion of various processes on pulsed spallation sources.

# Improvements and Possible Further Developments

## IPNS

Improvements to the ion source and the beam phase loop, better correction magnets and the movement of the extraction time to 1.8 msec before field maximum have produced significant increases in the accelerator performance. These coupled with additional control loops on the linac field gradient, the ion source intensity, and the injection field level have increased the beam current from 8 to 15 micro-Amps and raised the operating energy to between 450 and 500 MeV.

The 16 months to July 1986 produced 67,000 micro-Amp hours.

Interest is now centred on the installation of the first ever enriched Uranium Booster Target. This will give an expected factor of 3 improvement in the neutron intensity.

## KENS

Over the past few years the accelerator and target system have been improved with the aim of increasing the neutron beam intensity by a factor of 10. The improvements have consisted of:-

- 1. An increase in the injector energy from 20 to 40 Mev.
- 2. Alteration of the injection scheme to H- charge exchange.
- 3. Replacement of the Tungsten Target with a depleted Uranium Target.

These alterations have increased the neutron beam intensity by a factor of about 6 and the remaining factor of 1.5 is expected from improvements to the synchrotron RF.

The facility was operated for 3600 hours in the fiscal year 1986 with 1300 hours of this being used for neutron production, giving 3900 micro-Amp hours.

Future plans at KEK may include a 1 Gev H-minus linac and a 2 Gev rapid cycling synchrotron with a time averaged current of 200 micro-Amps. Alternative schemes propose the use of a 1 Gev storage ring with the linac to give a spallation source with a time averaged current of 100 micro-Amps.

ISIS

Since the first production of neutrons in 1984 the beam energy has been increased from 550 to 750 Mev and the beam current has been raised to 80 micro-Amps with short runs at 100 micro-amps. Present plans are to improve or replace the older and less reliable equipment on the injector and 750 Mev beam transport line in order to increase the reliability, while continuing to provide beams of about 80 to 100 micro-Amps. Improved beam diagnostics and further machine study will be used to determine the means of increasing the beam intesity to the design level of 200 micro-Amps. The present intensity is limited by losses during trapping in the synchrotron [6].

Operation for the year ending in March 1987 gave 20,000 micro-Amp hours at 550 Mev. The last 12 months has produced 160,000 micro-Amp hours at 750 Mev.

Future plans include an improved ion source, further study of automated beam control, and development of the synchrotron RF system which may include an additional small buncher cavity.

Longer term plans will consider the addition of a second target station to ISIS. This could take one

imageme     YEX     YEX     USA     YEX     USA     YEX     USA     Sollar (USA     YEX     YEX     USA     YEX	· · · ·	IPNG !	KENS	 ISIS		SING	TNF I
i     UGA     i     Japan     i     UK     USA     Sutzerland     Canada       icclearator     50 Mev Linac     40 Mev Linac     70 Mev Linac     100 Mev Linac     6 Velotron     1       icclearator     50 Mev Linac     50 Mev Linac     100 Mev Linac     1	;	Argonne l	KEK	RAL	Los Alamos	PSI I	TRIUMF
Solve     Linac     40 Mey Linac     70 Mey Linac     800 Mey Linac     Dicitation     Dicitation <thdicitation<< th=""><th>1 1</th><th>USÃ I</th><th>Japan</th><th>LIK .</th><th>IUSA I</th><th>Switzerland :</th><th>Canada l</th></thdicitation<<>	1 1	USÃ I	Japan	LIK .	IUSA I	Switzerland :	Canada l
Synchratron     Synchratron     Synchratron     Starage Ring     Excloser Ring </td <td>l Accelerator</td> <td>50 Mev Linac :</td> <td>40 Me∨ Linac &amp;</td> <td>70 Mev Linac &amp;</td> <td>1800 Mev Linac  </td> <td>Cyclotron &amp;</td> <td>Cyclotron  </td>	l Accelerator	50 Mev Linac :	40 Me∨ Linac &	70 Mev Linac &	1800 Mev Linac	Cyclotron &	Cyclotron
Proton Energy     500 (450)     500 (500)     900 (750)     800 (800)     570 (500)     (++) (500)       Target Material     U 238     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bismuth     Lead       Target Material     U 238     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bismuth     Lead       Target Material     U 238     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bismuth     Lead       Target Material     U 238     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bismuth     Lead       Target Material     U 239     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bismuth     Lead       Target Material     4.0E12     3.5E12     2.5E13     5.0E13         Peake     (3.5E12)     (1.0E13)     (1.5E13)          Status     Operational     Operat		Synchrotron	Synchrotron	Synchrotron	Storåge Ring	Cyclotron (	1
Proton Energy New     500 (450)     500 (300)     600 (750)     800 (800)     970 (800)     500 (500)     1       Target Material     U 238     U 238     U 238     Tungsten     Lead-Bisnuth     Lead     Lead     Lead     Lead     Lead     Lead     Lead     S00     100     1000	!						(H-) ;
Mev     (450)     (500)     (750)     (800)     (500)     (110)     (110)     (	Proton Energy	500	500	800	800	590	500 !
Target Material   U 238   U 238   U 238   U 238   Tungsten   Lead-Bismuth   Lead     Hean Current   20   10   200   100   300   300   (140)     Peak Intensity   4.0E12   3.5E12   2.5E13   5.0E13       Pulse Repetition   30   20   50   12   Continuous   Continuous     Pulse Repetition   30   20   50   12   Continuous   Continuous     Pulse Repetition   30   20   50   12   Continuous   Continuous     Pulse Repetition   30   20   50   450   270       Status   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Continuous   Continuous     Number of   12   14   10   6    1   1     Bean   10   5.0   140   90   590   150   1     Corrent 4   (6.8)   (1.1)   (2.4)   60.7   10   1   1 <td< td=""><td>l Mev</td><td>(450)</td><td>(500)</td><td>(750)</td><td>(800)</td><td> </td><td>(500)  </td></td<>	l Mev	(450)	(500)	(750)	(800)		(500)
Target Material   U 238   U 238   U 238   Tungsten   Lead-Biswith   Lead     IMean Current   20   10   200   100   1000   1000   300     Imean Current   20   10   200   100   1000   1000   300     Imean Current   20   3.9E12   2.9E13   5.0E13        Imaget Material   30   20   50   12   Continuous   Continuous   Continuous     Palse Repetition   30   20   50   450   270	•				1		1
Pear Current   20   10   200   100   1000   300     Intero-Amps   (15)   (15)   (3)   (30)   1000   1000   300     Peak Intensity   4.0E12   3.5E12   2.5E13   5.0E13       Park Intensity   (3.5E12)   (1.1E12)   (1.0E13)   (1.5E13)       Place Repetition   30   20   50   12   Continuous   Continuous     Rate   Hz   100   50   450   270       Status   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational     Number of   12   14   10   6    1     Peak   10   5.0   160   B0   590   150     Poer   10   5.0   160   B0   590   150     Poer   10   5.0   160   B0   590   150     Current at   C.4B)   (1.1)   (2.4)   (6.7)   1   1	Target Material	U 238 I	U 238	U 238	Tungsten	Lead-Bismuth	Lead
Hean Durrent     20     10     200     100     1000     300       Imicro-Pepps     (15)     (3)     (3)     (80)     (30)     1000     300       Peak Intensity     4.0E12     (3.5E12)     (1.1E12)     (1.0E13)     5.0E13          Pelise Repetition     30     20     50     12     Continuous     Continuous       Fulse Length ns     100     50     450     270     i      i fore       Status     Operational     Operational     Operational     Depretional     Torget Uperational     i fore     1     1       Insertuments     10     6      1     1     1       Beam     10     5.0     1400     90     590     150     150       Power     10     5.0     1400     90     590     150     100       Power     10     1.11     (2.4)     (6.7)     150     100     10     10     10	:			; ;	;		
Thean Current:     20     10     200     100     1000     3900       I micro-Amps     (15)     (3)     (60)     (30)     (30)     (140)       Peak Intensity     4.0E12     3.5E12     (1.1E12)     (1.0E13)     (1.0E13)         Peak Intensity     4.0E12     3.5E12     (1.1E12)     (1.0E13)     (1.0E13)         Palse Repetition     30     20     50     12     Continuous     Continuous       Palse Repetition     30     20     50     1270         Palse Repetition     30     20     50     120     Continuous     Continuous       Pate Hz     0perational     Operational     Operational     Construction         Status     Operational     Operational     Operational     Operational     Construction        Number of     12     14     10     6      1        Rear     10     5.0     <		: I		I	1		1
macro-Hmps     (1)     (3)     (80)     (30)     (10)     (140)       Peak Intensity     4.0E12     3.5E12     2.5E13     5.0E13	Mean Current	20	10	200	100	1000	300
Peak Intensity   4.0E12   3.5E12   2.5E13   5.0E13      Image: Construction in the image: Consthe image: Construction in the image: Construc	micro-Amps	(15)	(3)	(80)	(30)	•	(1+0) (
Presk Intensity   4.0E12   3.5E12   2.9E13   5.0E13	:	: :				1	
ppr pirse   (3.012)   (1.1212)   (1.1213) <td< td=""><td>Peak Intensity</td><td>4.0E12</td><td>3.5E12</td><td>2.5E13</td><td>; 5.0E13</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Peak Intensity	4.0E12	3.5E12	2.5E13	; 5.0E13		
Pulse Repetition Rate Hz   30   20   50   12 (15)   Continuous   Continuous     Pulse Length ns   100   50   450   270        Status   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Operational   Image: Construction   Operational	per puise		(1.1612)	(1.0EIS)	(1.5215)	•	· · ·
Phase Repetition     30     20     30     12     Continuous     Continuous       Paulse Length ns     100     50     450     270       i     i	1						l Combénuer l
Indue nz   1<	Pulse Repetition	30	20	1 50	12 (15)	i Lantinuous !	Lantinuous ;
Hulse Length ns :   100   50   450   270       i     IStatus   Operational   Image: Comparison on the comparison on t				•		, 	
StatusOperationalOperationalOperationalOperationalOperationalOperationalImage: Under ConstructionOperationalNumber of instruments12141061Ibeam i Power105.016080590150i Matts(6.8)(1.5)(60)(24)590150i Matts(6.8)(1.1)(2.4)(6.7)1010i Current at i Periodicity2.8)(1.1)(2.4)(6.7)10i Curculating i Full Energy2.237.7143.490.21i Periodicity68101011i Derational1010111i Periodicity504070800721i Injection i Energy504070800721i Injection i Energy504070800721i Injection i Energy504070800721i Injection i Energy502020011i Injection i Energy5022502001i Injection i EnergyCCAl DicideCi Method i EnergyFoilFoilFoilDirect Pi Injection i EnergyCCAl DicideCi Injection i EnergyCCAl DicideCi Method i EnergyB	Pulse Length ns	100	50	450	: 270		
Status   Operational	1				:	: Target	
1   1	Status	Operational	Operational	0perational	: Operational	Under	Operational
Number of instruments   12   14   10   6    1   1     Beam   1   10   5.0   160   90   590   150   1     Hean   10   5.0   160   90   590   150   1     KWatts   (6.8)   (1.5)   160   90   590   150   1     IMean   1   1.11   (2.4)   (6.7)   1   1   1   1     Current at   1   1.11   (2.4)   (6.7)   1	1	1		:	1	Construction	l
instruments   i <td< td=""><td>!Number of</td><td>! 12</td><td>14</td><td>: 10</td><td>: 6</td><td></td><td>1 1</td></td<>	!Number of	! 12	14	: 10	: 6		1 1
IBeam   10   5.0   160   80   590   150     IMatts   (6.8)   (1.5)   (60)   (24)   90   70   150     Imean   1   <	linstruments			:	:	:	: :
Prover   10   5.0   160   90   590   150   150     KWatts   (6.8)   (1.5)   (60)   (24)   (70)   (70)     Imean   1   (1.5)   (60)   (24)   (70)   (70)     Imean   1   1.5.0   (60)   (24)   (70)   (70)     Imean   1   1.5.0   (60)   (24)   (6.7)   1.5.0   (70)     Imean   1   1.1.1   (2.4)   (6.7)   1.5.0	! Door	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		!	·····		· · ·
1   kWatts   1   (6.8)   (1.5)   1   (60)   (24)   1   (770)   1     1   Circulating   3.4   3.4   3.4   6.2   22.4   1	l Power	10	5.0	160	. 80	590	150
IMean   I   3.4   3.4   3.4   6.2   Z2.4   I	l kWatts	(6.8)	(1.5)	(60)	(24)	l	: (70) l
Circulating   3.4   3.4   3.4   6.2   22.4   1     Current at   1   Full Energy   (2.8)   (1.1)   (2.4)   (6.7)   1     Anps   42   37.7   163.4   90.2   1   1     Icircunference   1   1   10   10   1   1     Imetres   42   37.7   163.4   90.2   1   1     IPeriodicity   6   8   10   10   1   1     IQ - Values   1   2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2   1   1     IMpection   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2   1   1   1     Injection   H   2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2   1   1   1     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   Ho to p   1   1   1   1   1   1     Injection   H- to p   Ho to p   Foil   Foil   Boo   72   1   1   1     Injection   H- to p   Ho to p   Foil <td< td=""><td>!Mean</td><td>!</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td> </td><td>¦ ł</td></td<>	!Mean	!		1	1		¦ ł
Current at       (1.1)   (2.4)   (6.7)         Full Energy   (2.8)   (1.1)   (2.4)   (6.7)             ICircumference           (1.1)   (2.4)   (6.7)             Imetres   42   37.7   163.4   90.2                 IPeriodicity   6   8   10   10                 IQ - Values       2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2             H   2.2   2.3   3.8   2.2                     Injection       -   1.0   10	Circulating	3.4	3.4	6.2	22.4	1	: :
i Hill Energy   (2.8)   (1.1)   (2.4)   (8.7)   i     i Amps   i   i   i   i   i   i   i     i Mers   i   i   i   i   i   i   i   i     i metres   i   i   i   i   i   i   i   i   i     i metres   i	: Current at				(4.7)	•	1 i
Circumference4237.7163.490.2Periodicity6810101Periodicity6810101IPeriodicity6810101IQ - Values12.22.24.33.2H2.32.33.82.21Injection50407080072InjectionH- to pH- to pH- to pDirect pMev50407080072InjectionFoilFoilFoilGrad MagnetDirect pInjectionH- to pH- to pH- to pH- to pMevFoilFoilFoilGrad MagnetDirect pInjectionH- to pInfinite10,000200	: Full Energy : Amos	(2.8)	(1.1)	(2.4)	(0./)	• •	1
ICircumference   42   37.7   163.4   90.2     IPeriodicity   6   8   10   10   1     IQ - Values   1   1   10   10   1     IQ - Values   2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2   1     IW - Values   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1     Mev   50   40   70   900   72   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1   1     Mev   50   40   70   900   72   1   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1   1     Injection   Foil   Foil		·	-		4		
Image: Solution of the solution	Circumference	42	37.7	163-4	90.2		
IPeriodicity   6   8   10   10   10   10     IQ - Values   1   2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2   1     H   2.2   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2   1     Injection   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2   1   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1   1     Mev   50   40   70   800   72   1   1     Injection   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1     Method   Foil   Foil   Foil   1   <							
IQ - Values   I <td< td=""><td>Periodicity</td><td>6</td><td>8</td><td>  10</td><td>1 10</td><td>1</td><td>¦</td></td<>	Periodicity	6	8	10	1 10	1	¦
H   2.2   2.2   4.3   3.2     V   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2     Injection   50   40   70   800   72     Mev   50   40   70   800   72     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to ho   1     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to p   Direct p     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to p   Direct p     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to p   Direct p     Injection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to p   Direct p     Method   Foil   Foil   Grad Magnet   Direct p     Infickness   B0   22   50   200   Image: point of the top     Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top     Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top     Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point of the top   Image: point o	lQ - Values		:	;	}	ł	: :
Implection   2.3   2.3   3.8   2.2   1   1     Injection   Energy   50   40   70   800   72   1     Implection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to p   H- to Ho   1   1     Implection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to Ho   1   1   1   1     Implection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to Ho   1   1   1   1     Implection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to Ho   1   1   1   1     Implection   H- to p   H- to p   H- to Ho   1   1   1   1     Implection   Foil   Foil   Grad Magnet   Direct p   1   1     Implection   Foil   Foil   Foil   1   1   1   1     Implection   Foil   Foil   Foil   1   1   1   1     Implement   Implement   Implement   1   1   1   1   1     Implement   Implement   Implement   1	н	2.2	2.2	4.3	3.2	;	
Injection   50   40   70   800   72     Mev   1   1   1   1   1   1     Injection   H-top   H-top   H-top   H-top   1   1     Injection   H-top   H-top   H-top   1   1   1   1     Mev   Foil   Foil   Foil   Grad Magnet   Direct p   Direct H-     Method   Foil   Foil   Foil   Foil   Infection   1   1     Inickness   B0   22   50   200   1   1     Image: Size cms   5.0x5.0   12.2x4.0   1   1   1     Infinite   10,000   >10,000   10,000   1   1	+ V	2.3	2.3	3.8	2.2	i 	i i
Energy   50   40   70   800   72     Mev   Injection   H to p   H to p   H to p   Image: state st	Injection	1	1	ł	:	1	; ;
Injection   H-top   H-top   H-top   H-top   Infinite     Injection   H-top   H-top   H-top   Infinite   Infinite <td< td=""><td>: Energy</td><td>50</td><td>40</td><td>70</td><td>800</td><td>72</td><td>• •</td></td<>	: Energy	50	40	70	800	72	• •
Injection   H to p   Direct p     Method   Foil   Foil   Foil   Grad Magnet   Direct p   Direct H     Ho to p     Iffoil   Image: Second Sec	: Mev	;	; 	i	1 		· · ·
Method   Foil   Foil   Grad Magnet   Direct p   Direct H-     Ho to p	Injection	; H-top	¦ H− to p	: H- to p	H- to Ho	•	1
Foil   Foil   Foil     Thickness   B0   22   50   200     ugm/sq cm   Image: Solution of the solution of	Method	Foil	Foil	: Foil	: Grad Magnet	Direct p	Direct H-
Foil Image: Second se			i i	1	; Hotop ; Foil	1	
IFoil   Image: Second	·	·					
ugm/sq cm I I I I   Material I I I I   Size cms 5.0x5.0 I 12.2x4.0 I   Lifetime I Infinite 10,000 >10,000	Foil   Thickness	: . PO	: . 22	 : 50	200		
Material   :   C   :   Al Dxide   :   C   :     Size cms   :   5.0x5.0   :   :   12.2x4.0   :   :     Lifetime   :   :   Infinite   :   10,000   :>10,000   :     uA hrs   :   :   :   :   :   :   :   :	LIGUICAUESS		: **		1	1	
Size cms   5.0x5.0     12.2x4.0	: Material	; C	: С	Al Oxide	I C	1	
Lifetime i infinite i 10,000 i 210,000 i i i	Size cms	1 5.0x5.0	l I Infinit-	12.2x4.0	1	1	
	l uAhrs	1	turnter.	1 10,000	1	1	

Table 1. Some parameters of existing spallation sources and those under construction. The numbers in brackets indicate the current normal operating values.

## 68

pulse in five from the accelerator and in its simplest form would be a non fissile target. This would be of most benefit to those instruments which at present suffer from frame over-lap problems. An alternative scheme foresees the use of a second target enriched with fissile material but this would require a nuclear licence for the RAL site. A third possibility is to build an BOO Mev linac and to convert the synchrotron to a storge ring. This could produce a time averaged beam current of 1.6 mA with 50 Hz operation and the beam would be shared between a new Target Station (1.3 mA) and a modified version of the present Target Station which would receive 300 micro-Amps at 10 Hz. This will provide a major improvement for ISIS in the future.

## LANSCE

Since its first operation in 1985 the beam current deliverd to the target has been raised to 30 micro-Amps using a repetition rate of 15 Hz and operation of the machine produced 14,894 micro-Amp hours in 1987. Study of the machine during the intervening period has indicated that improved performance could be achieved by alteration of the injection scheme to direct H-. The present operating intensity limit is set by the small but significant losses which occur during injection, storage and extraction. Further improvements could include a modified extraction system, additional beam collimation and lost beam monitoring, and an improved H- ion source to take the intensity up to 100 micro-Amps.

Longer term plans for an Advanced Hadron Facility at Los Alamos include a spallation source driven by a 1.6 Gev, 24 Hz, 1.6E14 protons per pulse accelerator or a 0.8 Gev, 24 Hz, 3.2E14 protons per pulse accelerator which could provide a time averaged current of 600 micro-Amps or 1200 micro-Amps respectively.

## SING

Design and construction of a molten lead-bismuth target are continuing and initial beam intensity will be 1 milli-Amp. A plan of the target is shown in Fig 4 with the incident beam coming vertically upwards.

### TNE

Further development of the present source is unlikely and future development at the laboratory envisages the provision of a 30 Gev, 100 micro-Amp accelerator for a Kaon factory.

#### EURAC

A proposal has been made to produce a spallation neutron source based on a 600 Mev, 6 mA beam of protons and using a liquid lead target. This could be used to simulate the first wall conditions of a fusion power reactor [7].

## References

 Neutron Sources - Their Prospects and Their Problems.

G. S. Bauer. ICANS 9. September 1986.

2. SNS Moderator Performance Predictions.

A. D. Taylor. Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Report RAL-84-120.

- 3. J. S. Fraser, R. E. Green, J. W. Hilborn, J. C. D. Milton, W. A. Gibson, E. E. Gross, A. Zucker, Phys. Canada 21 (2) (1965) 17; A. Bartholomew & P. R. Tunnicliffe (Eds.) AEDL-2600 (Chalk River) (1966) p. VII, 12.
- 4. Status Report On SING, The SIN Neutron Source.

W. E. Fischer, ICANS 9. September 1986.

5. Pulsed Spallation Neutron Sources For Slow Neutron Scattering.

J. M. Carpenter. Nuclear Instruments and Methods 145 (1977) 91 - 113.

6. Diagnostics And Commissioning Progress On ISIS.

M. R. Harold, R. G. Bendall, A. I. Borden, M. A. Clarke-Gayther, I. S. K. Gardner, C. W. Planner, G. H. Rees and N. D. West. This Conference.

 EURAC: A concept for a European Accelerator Neutron Source. W. Kley, G. R. Bishop, Directorate General for Science, Research and Development, Joint Research Centre, Ispra Establishment, I-21020 Ispra (Italy), J. M. Peraldo, J. Sanz, Instituto de Fusion Nuclear, Universidad Politecnica de Madrid (Spain), A. Sinha, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay (India). This conference.



Fig. 3 ISIS Target and Moderators.



Fig. 4 Vertical cross section of SING target.