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Overview

- Superconducting RF has become the technology of choice for accelerating systems of many high-intensity accelerators. As the technology has matured, it is now finding other applications.
- One of such applications is photocathode RF guns. SRF has advantage over other electron gun technologies in CW mode of operation, where it potentially can provide higher rate of acceleration, generating high-charge bunches and high average beam currents.
- The first SRF guns were based on elliptic cavity geometries (conventional shapes of high-β SRF cavities).
- Quarter Wave Resonator (QWR) option is gaining popularity. QWRs are especially well suited for producing beams with high charge per bunch.
- After brief review of the gun and photocathode types, we will describe particular projects and their recent achievements: DC-SRF at PKU (FRIOB02); elliptical guns at Rossendorf (MOPO004, TUPO019), BERLinPro (FRIOA07), and BNL ERL; QWR guns at BNL, (MOPO054, TUPO010), NPS, and UW gun (MOPO032).
Challenges and issues

- SRF guns are based on merging several complex technologies: high QE photocathodes, superconducting RF, high repetition rate synchronizable lasers.

- Among the challenges imposed by these technologies are maintaining UHV environment for the cathodes, maintaining cleanliness of the cavity RF surfaces while allowing operation and replacement of the cathodes, designing low RF loss and low heat leak interface between the cold cavities and warmer cathodes, synchronizing high repetition rate lasers with RF.

- **Low emittance**: high acceleration rate; focusing near cathode; first solenoid as close to the cavity as possible; precise synchronization of a laser with RF; transverse and temporal bunch shaping.

- **High bunch charge at high repetition rate**: high QE photocathode with long life time; high average power, high repetition rate lasers.

- **Semiconductor (or other high QE) photocathodes able to operate in SRF cavity environment**: at least one type of photocathodes, \( \text{Cs}_2\text{Te} \), was demonstrated to have long lifetime, more studies needed for other types.

- **Cavity preparation**: Etching/cleaning a cavity with small opening on one side is challenging. Effect of the NC cathodes on SRF performance is still unclear.

- **Demonstrate stable operation in an accelerator**: High RF power, coupler kick, HOM excitation.
### Elliptical + NC cathodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>FZD</th>
<th>BNL/ARoS</th>
<th>HZB BerlinPro</th>
<th>PKU gun</th>
<th>PKU gun</th>
<th>NPS</th>
<th>WiFeL</th>
<th>BNL 120 MHz</th>
<th>Pb/Ns hybrid gun</th>
<th>HZB HoBiCat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam kinetic energy, $V_c$ (MeV)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≤ 3.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>~5</td>
<td>≤ 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum bunch charge, $nC$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/0.07</td>
<td>5/1.4(0)/0.7</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized transverse emittance, $e_{nt}$</td>
<td>mm mrad</td>
<td>2.5/1</td>
<td>5/2.3(0)/1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average beam current, $I_b$ (mA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5/1</td>
<td>50/500(0)/500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;1 rather 0.1</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak current, $I_{pk}$ (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td>67/20</td>
<td>166/70(0)/35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocathode</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cs$_2$Te</td>
<td>CsK$_2$Sb</td>
<td>CsK$_2$Sb</td>
<td>Cs$_2$Te</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum efficiency, QE (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tbd</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>5 × 10⁻²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving laser wavelength, $A$ (nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>263</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse duration (FWHM) (ps)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15/4</td>
<td>30/20(0)/20</td>
<td>≤ 20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10-40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch repetition rate, $f_{rep}$ (MHz)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5/13</td>
<td>10/352(0)/704</td>
<td>&lt;1300</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁻⁻</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;1 rather 0.1</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun frequency, $f_0$ (MHz)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>703.75</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>112(5)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (K)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2(2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissipated power, $P_{diss}$ (W)</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12.1(3)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16.6(5)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>121(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsically limited $Q_0$ (Ω)</td>
<td></td>
<td>@ $10^{10}$</td>
<td>@ $10^{10}$</td>
<td>@ $10^{10}$</td>
<td>@ $9.5 \times 10^{8}$</td>
<td>@ $3.2 \times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>@ $3.5 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>@ $5 \times 10^{9}$</td>
<td>@ $1 \times 10^{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active cavity length, $l_{cav}$ (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>185, $\beta = 1$</td>
<td>1557*</td>
<td>126.8(1)</td>
<td>170, $\beta = 1$</td>
<td>189, $\beta = 1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{diss}/Q_0$ (Ω)</td>
<td></td>
<td>334</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>189(4), $\beta = 1$</td>
<td>418, $\beta = 1$</td>
<td>176.3*</td>
<td>35.2*</td>
<td>101.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit time factor, $V_c/V_0$ (TTF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.888(2)</td>
<td>0.54(3)</td>
<td>0.74(4)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.99(2)</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>0.54(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored energy at $E_{pk}$, $J$ (J)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>8.4/9.5</td>
<td>14.8(3)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>81.4*</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>14.8(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric cathode field, $E_{cath}$ (MV/m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>~5(4)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19.7(3)</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak electric field, $E_{pk}$ (MV/m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak magnetic flux, $B_{pk}$ (mT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>97.8(5)</td>
<td>104-125</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak magnetic field, $H_{pk}$ (A/m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.535</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>≤ 92 600</td>
<td>59285</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>72 165</td>
<td>78 000</td>
<td>(87-99) × 10¹⁸</td>
<td>≤ 92 600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Elliptical + SC cathodes

### DC-SC

### QWRs
### Metal photocathodes

- **Cu**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 250, 4.96 nm, 4.96 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-9}$ Torr
  - Work function: $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): $0.5$, $1.6 \pm 0.1$ [39]

- **Mg**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 266, 4.66 nm, 4.66 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-10}$ Torr
  - Work function: $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): $0.8$, $1.2 \pm 0.2$ [40]

- **Pb**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 250, 4.96 nm, 4.96 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $6.9 \times 10^{-4}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-9}$ Torr
  - Work function: $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): $0.8$, $0.9 \pm 0.05$ [39]

- **Nb**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 250, 4.96 nm, 4.96 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $2 \times 10^{-5}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-10}$ Torr
  - Work function: $4.38 \times 10^{-4}$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): $0.6$, $0.4 \pm 0.1$ [41]

### Coated metal

- **CsBr:Cu**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 250, 4.96 nm, 4.96 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $7 \times 10^{-3}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-9}$ Torr
  - Work function: $\sim 2.5$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): ?

- **CsBr:Nb**:
  - Wavelength & energy: 250, 4.96 nm, 4.96 eV
  - Quantum efficiency: $7 \times 10^{-3}$ electrons per photon
  - Vacuum for 1000 h operation: $10^{-9}$ Torr
  - Work function: $\sim 2.5$ eV
  - Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm): ?

The thermal emittances are computed using the listed photon and work function energies in Eq. (3) and expresses the thermal emittance as the normalized rms emittance in microns per rms laser size in nm. The known experimental emittances are given with references.

### Semiconductor photocathodes

### Diamond-amplified photocathodes

### Semiconductor photocathodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode type</th>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Typical wavelength &amp; energy, $\lambda_{\text{opt}}$ (nm), eV</th>
<th>Quantum efficiency (electrons per photon)</th>
<th>Vacuum for 1000 h (Torr)</th>
<th>Gap energy + electron affinity, $E_G + E_A$ (eV)</th>
<th>Thermal emittance (micron mm/µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEA: mono-alkali</td>
<td>Cs$_2$Te</td>
<td>211, 5.88</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>3.5 [42]</td>
<td>1.2, $0.5 \pm 0.1$ [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cs$_2$Sb</td>
<td>264, 4.70</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>0.5, $0.7 \pm 0.1$ [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K$_2$Sb</td>
<td>330, 3.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1.6+0.45 [42]</td>
<td>0.9, $1.2 \pm 0.1$ [43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na$_2$Sb</td>
<td>295, 4.20</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1.1+1.6 [42]</td>
<td>0.7, ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K$_2$Na$_2$Sb</td>
<td>330, 3.76</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1.1+2.44 [42]</td>
<td>0.5, ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K$_2$CsSb</td>
<td>543, 2.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1+0.55 [42]</td>
<td>1.1, ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K$_2$CsSb(0)</td>
<td>543, 2.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1+1.1 [42]</td>
<td>1.5, ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaAs(Cs)</td>
<td>532, 2.33</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01 [44]</td>
<td>0.4, ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaAs(Cs,F)</td>
<td>880, 1.44</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1 [42]</td>
<td>0.8, $0.22 \pm 0.01$ [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GaAs(1-x)P$_x$</td>
<td>260, 1.77</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.96+7 [44]</td>
<td>0.2, $1.35 \pm 0.1$ [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ag-O-Cs</td>
<td>900, 1.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7 [42]</td>
<td>0.49, $0.44 \pm 0.1$ [44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermal emittances are computed using the listed photon, gap and electron affinity energies in Eq. (7) and expresses the thermal emittance as the normalized rms emittance in microns per rms laser size in mm.
3.5-cell DC-SRF photoinjector at Peking University

- Core elements: 100 kV Pierce gun and 3.5-cell superconducting cavity operating at 2 K.
- A candidate to provide electron beam with low emittance, high average current and short bunch length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drive laser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch shape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 ½ superconducting cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating gradient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electron bunch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge/bunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal emittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy spread</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SRF gun status

- Good performance during vertical cavity test.
- The cryogenic system is operational, providing 2 K LHe to the 3.5-cell superconducting cavity.
- Accelerating gradient of 11.5 MV/m at $Q_{\text{ext}}$ of $6 \times 10^6$ was achieved during horizontal cold test, limited by available RF power.
- Beam test of DC-SRF injector is in progress.
First SRF gun beam in ELBE on Feb. 5, 2010

- Maximum bunch charge injected and accelerated in ELBE: 120 pC @ 50 kHz (6 µA);
- with 100% transmission: 60 pC @ 125 kHz.

- Dogleg beamline connecting SRF gun to ELBE installed and commissioned.
- **The first SRF gun in the world to inject beam into an accelerator.**
- Very good performance of Cs₂Te photo cathodes demonstrated (life time of 1 year)
- CW operation of the gun still with the accelerating gradient of 6 MV/m (3 MeV kinetic energy), 16 MV/m peak.
- In 2011 used pulsed RF with 8 MV/m (4 MeV kin. energy), 21.6 MV/m peak field.
- In summer 2011 the new laser with 13 MHz rep rate will be delivered; up to now the rep rates <= 125 kHz.
- The new fine grain cavity reached 35 MV/m peak field during cold test at JLab.
Use of semiconductor photo cathodes like Cs$_2$Te requires maintaining vacuum of 10$^{-9}$ mbar during preparation, transport, storage and operation.

After several improvements the photocathode with QE of $\approx 1\%$ demonstrates very long life time.
Gun cavity performance

Cavity gradient strongly influences
- beam energy
- maximum bunch charge
- beam quality like trans. & long. emittance

- Operational stability
  12 h shift stable operation has been demonstrated
  - laser synchronization is OK
  - laser pulse energy fluctuation -> laser upgrade
  - RF instabilities (spikes)? -> cathode shaping for multipacting suppression

CW max. 16 MV/m peak field
= 6 MV/m acc. gradient
= 3 MeV beam energy
Pulsed: 8 Mv/m -> 4 MeV
SRF guns for BERLinPro at HZB

- Performance reqs for BERLinPro:
  - Beam dynamics: need good control on the transverse and longitudinal beam parameters. Mainly determined by field on cathode and setup of focusing elements.
  - Average current of 100 mA: need cathode with high QE, which can operate in SRF environment.
  - Average power: need to couple $P_{\text{avg}} = 100 \text{ mA} \times E_b$ power into the cavity.

- Accordingly, three stages:
  1. Gun0 is a beam demonstrator experiment with SC Pb cathode (2011), study beam dynamics, cavity performance.
  2. For Gun1 add NC cathode with high QE at VIS, study cathode lifetime, slice/projected emittance performance (2013).
  3. For Gun2 add RF input power coupler for 200 kW (2014), study high power operation.

![HoBiCaT Gun0](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>HoBiCaT Gun0</th>
<th>Source lab Gun1</th>
<th>BERLinPro Gun2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electron energy</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 1.5 MeV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 GHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design peak field</td>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 50 MV/m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation launch field</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 10 MV/m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch charge</td>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 77 pC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate</td>
<td>30 kHz</td>
<td>54 MHz / 25 Hz</td>
<td>1.3 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode material</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>CsK$_2$Sb</td>
<td>CsK$_2$Sb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode QE</td>
<td>5*10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>10$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser wavelength</td>
<td>258 nm</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
<td>532 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser pulse energy</td>
<td>0.15 µJ</td>
<td>1.8 nJ</td>
<td>1.8 nJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser pulse shape</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>Flat-top</td>
<td>Flat-top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser pulse length</td>
<td>2.5 ps FWHM</td>
<td>≤ 20 ps</td>
<td>20 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average current</td>
<td>0.5 µA</td>
<td>≤10 mA / 0.1 mA</td>
<td>100 mA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gun0: hybrid Nb/Pb gun cavity

- Utilizes a thin Pb film on the back wall of the cavity as photo-electron emitter.
- Pb is a type I superconductor with $H_{\text{crit}} = 8$ mT at 1.3 GHz and 2 K, and has QE at least one order of magnitude higher than bare Nb.
- Collaborative effort:
  - J. Sekutowicz and HZB made engineering design
  - P. Kneisel built, tested and prepared the cavity to be ready for beam tests at HoBiCaT
  - R. Nietubyc coated back wall of the cavity with Pb film.
  - HZB prepared HoBiCaT for beam tests

02/10 Initial test after assembly, tuning, BCP etching and rinsing of the cavity. The field flatness was only 66%.
02/10 Further tuning improved field flatness to 94%, the following BCP treatment improved the RF performance.
03/10 After installation of the helium vessel, limitation by moderate field emission.
07/10 With first cathode coating
07/10 Test after accidental loss of lead cathode and removal of remnants by grinding and BCP.
10/10 With second cathode coating
Beam diagnostics to study cavity and cathode performance
- Short pulses of 1 ps rms length \( \rightarrow \) less than 1 deg. \( \rightarrow \) slice equals projected beam dynamics
- Cathode: measure QE, QE map, emission surface, thermal emittance before and after laser cleaning
- Cavity: study microwave properties, Q vs E, LLRF, microphonics, dark current

0.26 \( \mu \)m, 30 kHz, 2...3 ps, 0.15 \( \mu \)J pulses from Yb:YAG oscillator + regen. amp. + 4\textsuperscript{th} harm conv.
Plans for Guns 1 & 2

- Gun1 and Gun2 will be part of BERLinPro project.
- Main activities in the next two years (for first beam with Gun 1 in 2013)
  - Setup of preparation and analysis lab for photocathodes
  - Beam dynamics simulation to fix parameters
  - Development of new gun cavity
  - Design and engineering of cathode insert, cold mass and cryomodule
  - Get new drive laser
  - Change diagnostics beamline setup
- Put all this together in GunLab → gun test area inside HoBiCaT bunker
- For Gun2 start thinking about RF feeds for high average power
The 704 half-cell SRF gun has two Fundamental input Power Couplers (FPCs) allowing to deliver 1 MW of RF power to 0.5 A electron beam. HOM damping is provided by an external beamline ferrite load with ceramic break.

- The gun and its cryomodule were designed and fabricated by AES.
- FPCs are manufactures by CPI/Beverly.
- The gun cavity was tested in a vertical cryostat last year.
- The FPC test is complete with max power of 125 kW CW in SW.
- The cavity has been cleaned and the cavity string assembled at JLab.
- Assembly of the cryomodule at BNL will begin in August.
- The plan is to finish the cryomodule assembly in September of 2011, following by the gun installation in ERL and cold test.
- First beam will be generated with a metal cathode, following by experiments with CsK$_2$Sb
## Quarter Wave Resonator SRF guns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>BNL</th>
<th>U. Wi.</th>
<th>NPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture (beam tube)</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Diameter</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Length</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam kinetic energy</td>
<td>MeV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak electric field</td>
<td>MV/m</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak magnetic field</td>
<td>mT</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak / cathode field</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR_s (geometry factor)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/Q (linac definition)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_0 (no cathode, 4.5K)</td>
<td>x10^9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More details on QWRs are in I. Ben-Zvi’s talk **THIOA04**
112 MHz QWR SRF gun

- Superconducting 112 MHz QWR was developed for electron gun experiments by collaborative efforts of BNL and Niowave, Inc.
- Design, fabrication, chemical etching, cleaning, assembly and the first cold test were done at Niowave.
- Why 112 MHz?
  - Low frequency: long bunches \( \Rightarrow \) reduced space charge effect.
  - Short accelerating gap: accelerating field is almost constant.
  - Superconducting cavity: suitable for CW, high average current beams.
  - Cathode does not have to be mechanically connected to SRF structure: flexibility in cathode types.
  - Simulated emittance of \(~3 \text{ mm} \times \text{mrad}\) at 2.7 MeV
112 MHz cryomodule features

- Cryomodule features:
  - Nb quarter wave cavity
  - Stainless steel helium vessel
  - Superinsulation
  - LN2 thermal shield
  - Magnetic shield
  - Low carbon steel vacuum vessel

- Simple copper rod was used as a combined-function cathode/power coupler.
112 MHz SRF gun cold test & plans

- First cold test was successfully performed at Niowave, Inc. in December of 2010.
- This gun is now a baseline option electron gun for the Coherent electron Cooling Proof-of-Principle (CeC PoP) experiment at BNL. The experiment is scheduled for FY2013/FY2014.
- For CeC PoP, the gun will require some hardware upgrade/modification:
  - Replacing the low carbon steel vacuum vessel with the stainless steel one to satisfy the pressure vessel code requirements.
  - Designing a low RF loss, low heat load stalks for multi-alkali and diamond-amplified photocathodes.
  - Designing a load lock system for multi-alkali photocathodes.
  - Designing a combine function FPC/tuner assembly.
- The design of these upgrades/modifications is in progress. The modifications are expected to be completed by the end of 2011 / early 2012.
500 MHz (Mk I) NPS SRF gun

- Built by and tested at Niowave, Inc.
- Nb cathode on Cu stalk.
- Initial results (not optimized):
  - Beam energy > 460 keV
  - Bunch charge > 70 pC
  - Emittance ~5 µm (RMS norm.)
- **First** operation of SRF Quarter Wave gun.
- Successful Navy/Industry/Academia partnership.
- **The only** currently functional SRF gun in USA.

J.R. Harris, et al., Physical Review Special Topics – Accelerators & Beams 14, 053501 (2011)
700 MHz (Mk II) NPS SRF gun

This is a 700-MHz Mark II follow-on design, and it includes a number of improvements, enhancements, and problem fixes over the Mark I design.
Demonstrate single bunch beam dynamics and operation of SRF gun.

Low repetition rate drive laser allows option of using doubled or tripled Ti:Sapphire laser.

Cu cathode used for initial operation: little chance of cavity contamination from evaporated cathode material; cathode will not degrade over time like semiconductor; no cathode preparation chamber needed.
Cathode holder and mount

- Support structure needs to be accurate from 10 to 20 microns in every axis and linear direction. The cathode adjustment support is fixed to the vacuum vessel.

- The cathode stem is designed to allow liquid nitrogen to flow under the inner conductor for cooling.

- Probe feedthroughs allow monitoring of electric field in cavity for multipactor or quench and feedback in LLRF system.

- Spring loaded cathode stem allows photocathode to be inserted into the cavity after fabrication using a load lock.
Summary

- SRF guns made excellent progress in the last two years.
- Several guns generated beams and one injected beam into an accelerator.
- HZDR/ELBE gun demonstrated feasibility of the SRF gun concept with a normal-conducting cathode. Cs$_2$Te demonstrated very good performance with life time of 1 year.
- For high average current / high bunch charge operation CsK$_2$Sb is preferred as it needs green lasers, unlike UV laser for the Cs$_2$Te easier to build laser/optics systems.
- Other photocathodes are being developed, most notably diamond-amplified.
- Several QWR guns are under development with one producing beam already. Very promising for high bunch charge operation.
- The field is very active and we should expect more good results soon.
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