Experience in Academia-Industry Collaboration on Accelerator Projects in North America

W. T. Weng Brookhaven National Laboratory

IPAC'10, Kyoto, Japan May 26, 2010

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- Examples in Accelerator Projects LCLS, CLS, ISAC-II, CEBAF-II, ILC, RHIC
- 3. Examples in Accelerator R&D Programs SCM, Muon Cooling, LPAF
- 4. Summary and Lessons

1. Introduction

Reasons and advantages of industrial collaboration

- Proven technology, performance, quality, and cost
- Continuation of supply, maintenance, and upgrade
- Existing expertise and equipments for engagement of finite duration
- Good practice of quality, cost and schedule control
- Competitive bid for best quality and price
- Trial and perfection of pilot technology and process
- Collaboration on development of new ideas and technology

It is impossible to cover all activities, instead, I will present few examples to illustrate the practices and lessons

Undulator of LCLS at SLAC

LCLS PM Undulator 15 Gev electron beam 112 m undulator in 33 sections, 3.4 m Period/gap 30/6.8 mm X-ray ~ 1.2 Anstron cost \$250 K each Total \$9.5M by, Hi-Texh, Metalex Shin-Etsu (magnet) Partner with ANL



Pedestals and Interface plates @ Hi-Tech

Undulator system of LCLS Collaboration between SLAC and ANL



Support & Motion Systems

- Support & Motion Systems (Girders) 38
 - ∎1st articles 1.04.03.03.04.03
 - Production 1.04.03.04.03
- Fixed Supports (Pedestals) 38
 - ■1st articles plus production 1.04.03.08.02
- Awarded to Hi-Tech Mfg. in May 2007

Girders subcontracted to Metalex Mfg.

CLS Major Contracts - Booster

- First major technical contract awarded to Danfysik
 - CLS supplied nominal lattice design
 - Used to validate cost estimates for storage ring
 - Forced development of facility standards and guidelines
 - Allowed CLS staff to focus on storage ring system design
 - "Turn-key" System included:
 - All magnets supplied, pre-aligned on girders
 - All power supplies
 - RF system
 - Vacuum chambers
 - Diagnostics
 - Included installation supervision and commissioning assistance
 - Supply excluded control system, vacuum pumps
- Awarded in 2000 January
- Installation complete in 2002 July
- Commissioning tests complete in 2002 September

CLS Booster Extraction Area



RIUMF **ISAC-II Phase II Linac**

. Goal is to boost the energy of the heavy ions above the Coulomb barrier for all masses

Total superconducting installation voltage of >40MV



RIUMF

Choose the fabricator

- Write the contract.
 - In general the contract will be a technical specification without a performance requirement
 - Not practical since performance based. on more than just fabrication and welding (parameters outside contractors control)
 - Performance contract would be expensive
 - Work with the contractor through the mechanical design stage
 - Establish QA procedure early and tweek if required
- Set the schedule.
 - Allow time in the schedule for prototyping, analysis of the results and review before proceeding to production



RIUMF

Phase II QWR (Beta=0.11)



Parameter	Units	Value
Frequency	MHz	141.44
E _p /E _a		4.9
B _p /E _a	mT/ (MV/m)	10
βα		0.11
G=R _s Q ₀	Ω	26



ISAC-I	ISAC-II Specification		
Pcau	W	7	
Verr	MV	1.1	
Ea	MV/m	6	
Ep	MW/m	30	
Bp	mT	60	

6

April 20, 2010

Bob Laxial, TTC, FNAL

RIUMF

- How many prototypes and vendors .
 - Depends on resources and size of project
 - 10% of final cavity quantities for each cavity type is reasonable
 - More than one vendor if possible gives more flexibility during production tender
- . Fabrication sequence
 - Work out frequency tuning sequence to arrive at final frequency - build into parts initial sizing
 - Frequency steps should move from coarse to fine to arrive within range of the coarse tuner
- . Testing
 - Should plan sufficient testing period to fully characterize all prototype cavities
 - Rf performance, df/dp, Lorentz defuning, Q-disease, microphonics



B = 0.53



April 20, 2010

13

April 20, 2010

Bob Laxed al. TTC , FMAL

15



PAVAC as sole supplier for technology transfer

Two prototype and 20 production cavities were ordered

Cost is \$65K/cavity

RF system was installed to be commissioned soon

It also involves in the manufacturing of 1.3 GHz cavity for ILC

Fabrication - Production

- Keep communication open with vendor
- Frequent site visits
- Depending on vendor may require technical support – pre-weld etching, rf tuning, post production surface treatment
- Work collaboratively to try to stick to schedule
- Follow established QA procedure
- Test cavities as they are produced if possible to look for systematic trends that may feed back on the production process



April 20, 2010

Bob Landal, TTC , FMAL

25

CEBAF-II Acceleration System (Cryomodule, RF, Cryogenics)

- All major contracts have been placed
- Cavities are being fabricated by vendor
- First cavity string will be complete at JLab in ~September



CEBAF-II Acceleartion System (Cryomodule, RF, Cryogenics)

Needs 86 cavities At 22 MeV/m ACCEL was chosen for value Among 2 bidders

Needs 84 cold tuner Incodema was chosen among 8 bidders

20 Cryogenic end cans Eden Cryogenics was chosen Among 4 bidders



ILC SRF Cavity Development

ILC calls for SRF cavity to reach 35 MeV/m in vertical test, and 31.5MeV/m in horizontal cryomodule test with about 80% yield by 2012,

Starting in FY2007, FNAL began to construct a SRF production and testing facility to be completed in 2012 with total investment of about \$120M, as well as about additional \$50M for technology transfer and procurement to US Industries

AES produced 4 cavities in 2007 (Lot 1), 6 in 2009 (Lot 2), 6 in 2010 (Lot 3), and 20 more in 2011-2012

The performance of the cavity produced by AES in Long Island, designed and processed at FNAL/JLAB/ANL, improved from 30% yield in 2007 to 60% in 2009, meeting interim goal set by GDE. A great example of productive academia-industry collaboration in recent time.

Cavity Assembly – Lot 2

Cavity Final Assembly (5 Welds in 1 Pumpdown)





4 Double Dumbbells Being Assembled (4 Welds in 1 Pumpdown)

AES Cavity Test Results (Lots 1 and 2)



* Test # for Best Single Test Result for Each Cavity

Dipoles in RHIC Tunnel



Improving Rate of Production– Learning Curve

- Grumman/(AES) experience in the RHIC D and Q Magnet Program
 - High quantity of deliverables (373) Dipoles & (432) Quadrupoles
 - High rate of delivery (1) Dipole & (2) Quadrupoles per day
 - Large quantity of detail part feeders to major assembly
 - Technology transfer from laboratory to industry prior to start of fabrication
 - A total of (256) producability improvements resulting in enhanced quality and cost reductions were approved by
 - BNL and implemented.
 - Assembly time was reduced from 900 to 300 hours with huge cost saving
 - -- Delivery of magnet in Cryomodule
 - -- Cost plus first 30 units, then fixed cost for the rest

-- No magnet failure

3. Examples of accelerator R&D Collaboration with Industries SBIR/STTR of US Program

2.5% of operating budget for SBIR (\$18.0M/HEP, \$138M/DOE, FY2010)

Phase-I, \$100K, 9 Months, for Feasibility (52/145 in 99) Phase-II, \$750K, 24 Months, for Development (23/45 in 99)

0.3% for STTR(\$2.0M/HEP, \$17M/DOE, FY2010)

SCM Cable Development

Progress of SC magnet R&D

SCM Cable by NHMFL and OST Major Nb₃Sn achievements

OST was awarded a ITER SC cable contract with total price of about \$60M

The world's most powerful pulsed superconducting magnet, the150 ton 13 T (approximately 260 thousand times more powerful than the earth's magnetic field) ITER CS Model. The magnet consists of two modules, the inner module fabricated in the US and the outer fabricated in Japan. The two coils were combined at the Naka Fusion Research Establishment test facility of the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, JAERI. Photo courtesy of and copyright retained by JAERI.

A 21.3 T Nb₃Sn based superconducting magnet manufactured by Oxford Instruments plc. for a high resolution 900 MHz NMR system by Varian, Inc., Image courtesy of Oxford Instruments, plc.

Larbalesher - Marx Panel - Fermilab - February 15, 2006

6-D Helical Cooling by Muon, Inc

Compact 6-D cooling

Automatic emittance exchange

Gas-filled RF cavity

Serve as absorber.

High gradient, high Q

Thin pressure window

10**5 merit factor in 300m

Prototype to be built for Muon Collider application

12/02/09Particle motion in helical magnet

Larmor motion in pure solenoid

 $f_1 = -\frac{c}{m_\mu} p_\varphi \cdot b_z$

 $\rho = \frac{p_i}{r}$

Radial equation of motion with helical dipole

 $f = f_1 + f_1$ $= \frac{c}{m_{\mu}} \left(p_z b_{\varphi} - p_{\varphi} b_z \right)$

 $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ HCC wavenumber $\kappa = ka = \frac{p_{\perp}}{p_s}$ HCC pitch

Equation of motion for reference particle

$$p(a) = rac{\sqrt{1+\kappa^2}}{k} \left(b_z - rac{1+\kappa^2}{\kappa} b_arphi
ight)$$

Introduction of field gradient in bø generates dispersion function

4

Emittance evolution

Laser-plasms acceleration, L'Oasis at LBL

- Plasma accelerators challenge computing due to nonlinear laser/plasma evolution
- VORPAL developed at TechX via SBIR, models physics of LPA's:
 - Particle in cell or fluid plasma + envelope/boost reduced (fast) codes
 - Production runs on 10's of k-cores
 - State of art structure, and now addressing GPUs/new architectures
 - SciDAC colaboration development

Crucial to wakefield accelerator progress:

- Physics of self-trapped beams, emittance & GeV scaling1
- Downramp injector3
- Design of colliding pulse injector
- Design of 10 GeV stages for BELLA4

10 TW laser, 2mm plasma @ 2x1019/cc

VORPAL accurately model bunch for optimal acceleration parameters through plasma capillary and predict

Gradient ~ 10 GeV/m Divergence, 3 mrad dE/E ~ 4% Epeak ~ 170 meV

4. Summary

A. Good practices for industrial contract;

- Start activity early, it always takes longer than you expect
- Good and mature design of systems and components
- Well written specs and contract to minimize change
- Competitive bidding from many qualified firms to reduce cost
- Add bonus/penalty clauses to control schedule
- Frequent and effective communication and site visit
- Cost plus for initial testing units, then fixed price(RHIC approach)

B. Frequently encountered problems;

- Design/scope changes
- Personnel changes at industry
- Bad communication and record keeping
- Bankrupts of firm
- Sub-standard product needs rework
- Uncertain/varying foreign exchange rate

4. Summary

C. Possible reasons of difficulty in US industrial collaboration in accelerator construction;

- Decline in industrial base
- Unwillingness of big industry to engage
- Complexity in government regulation
- Insufficient number of accelerator projects

D. Robust industrial participation in long term accelerator R&D in US for future projects

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the following colleagues for providing useful information and discussions,

J. Cary, M. Craddock, M. DeJong, T. Favale J. Galyda, J. Hogon, R.Johnson, R. Kephart, D. Larbalestier, LK. Len, W. Leeman, G. Pile, J. Rathke, B. Rimmer, C. Rode, P. Schmor, B. Strauss, R. Laxdal