LHC optics model, measurements and corrections



R. Tomás



Acknowledgements

- β -beating team: M. Aiba*, R. Calaga[†], A. Franchi[°],
- R. Miyamoto[†] and G. Vanbavinckhove.
- The run coordinators: G. Arduini, R. Assmann, O. Brüning,
- M. Lamont, M. Meddahi, J. Wenninger.
- CERN BI and OP teams for the excellent instrumentation and operation
- J. Serrano for the LHC AC dipole.
- P. Hagen and E. Todesco for the magnet measurements.
 C. Alabau, A. Nadji[‡], J. Cardona^{*}, O. Dominguez, S. Fartoukh,
 M. Giovannozzi, R. de Maria, E. McIntosh, A. Morita[•],
 F. Schmidt, M. Strzelczyk, J. Uythoven, S. White, F. Zimmermann et al for their invaluable analysis, data, codes and support.
 *PSI, †US LARP, YESRF, [‡]SOLEIL and *UNAL, •KEK

Contents

- Optics measurement and correction overview
- The 1st LHC measurement in 2008
- The segment-by-segment technique
- LHC optics corrections at injection
- LHC optics corrections at 3.5 TeV
- Transverse coupling measurement
- The full segment-by-segment technique



Tolerances: a simplified view



Optics measurement: single kick



Resolution of Fourier Analyses



Rogelio Tomás García

Optics measurement: single kick



Optics measurement: AC dipole



LHC AC dipole data example





LHC optics model, measurements and corrections – p.11/39

AC dipoles: too dangerous to be free



Engineer In Charge unlocking the AC dipoles

Optics corrections



 $N \approx$ 1000 H&V BPMs per beam, $M \approx$ 200 quad circuits per beam $\Delta \vec{K} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \Delta \vec{\phi}_{meas}$ (pseudoinverse)

This works for moderately low optics errors but...



The segment-by-segment technique

Concept: split the LHC into segments and treat them as independent transfer lines by using the measured $\beta_{x,y}$ and $\alpha_{x,y}$ as the initial optics conditions at the starting points \rightarrow Results in a block diagonal **R**:



Application to the 2008 data



History of optics errors at injection

()

Rogelio



Corrections at injection in 2010



Segment-by-segment correction



main warm magnets and 250% in trim magnets!

Culprit at injection



New magnetic measurements of MQWs explain rather well the observed errors \rightarrow Update MQW calibrations.

Rogelio Tomás García

New MQW calibrations



The systematic **b**₂ error in the SC dipoles



Optics errors during the squeeze



Corrections at $\beta^* = 2m$



IP5 correction using the triplet



IP8, the largest error



Rogelio Tomás García

LHC optics model, measurements and corrections - p.26/39

The corrections at 3.5 TeV

Magnet	Value[m ⁻¹]	$Max[m^{-1}]$	Correction[%]
MQXB2.R5	-0.0087	0.018	-0.15
MQXB2.L5	0.0087	0.018	0.12
MQ5.R8B1	-0.0029	0.013	5→3.3
MQ6.L8B2	0.0056	0.013	1.8

The IR8 errors need further investigation.

Coupling measurement Double plane BPM.6L1.B1 Horizontal amplitude [mm] 10⁻¹ 10⁻² 10^{0} Vertical amplitude [mm] ^{10°} ^{10°} ^{10°} ² ^{10°} ² ³ horizontal vertical Q_{x} Q_x 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.2 Frequency Frequency $f_{1001} = \frac{1}{4\gamma} (\bar{C}_{12} - \bar{C}_{21} + i\bar{C}_{11} + i\bar{C}_{22}),$

Full segment-by-segment



Full segment-by-segment: error matching



Coupling correction during the squeeze



Global knobs are not strong enough at $\beta^*=2m$ and the IR skew quadrupole strengths are computed using the full segment-by-segment technique.

Summary & Outlook

 I hope to have conveyed the excitement and challenge of the LHC optics

Summary & Outlook

- I hope to have conveyed the excitement and challenge of the LHC optics
- Cannot wait for the off-momentum and non-linear optics

Summary & Outlook

- I hope to have conveyed the excitement and challenge of the LHC optics
- Cannot wait for the off-momentum and non-linear optics
- 2013 challenge: 7 TeV and $\beta^*=0.55$ m LHCB2 7TeV 0.8 .6 0. $\Delta\beta/\beta_{X}$ 0 2 -0 -0.4-0.6 IR1 IR2 IR4 IR5 IR8 IR3 IR6 IR7 -0.8 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 0 s[m]

Support slides



SUSSIX Vs SVD for 90 turns Simulations of LHCB2 at injection 90 80 SVD SUSSIX 70 Counts 60 50 40 30 20 10 10 15 20 5 \mathbf{O} β_x relative measurement error [%] 90 80 SVD SUSSIX 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 Counts 10 15 20 5 β_y relative measurement error [%] SVD clearly wins at low number of turns! It benefits from the BPM-to-BPM correlation

Along the energy ramp with new MQW cal.



Rogelio Tomás García

Coupling measurement

$$\begin{aligned} h_x(N) &= \sqrt{2I_x} e^{i\phi_x(N)} - \\ &i2f_{1001}\sqrt{2I_y} e^{i\phi_y(N)} - i2f_{1010}\sqrt{2I_y} e^{-i\phi_y(N)} \\ h_y(N) &= \sqrt{2I_y} e^{i\phi_y(N)} - \\ &i2f_{1001}^*\sqrt{2I_x} e^{i\phi_x(N)} - i2f_{1010}\sqrt{2I_x} e^{-i\phi_x(N)} \end{aligned}$$

 f_{1001} and f_{1010} are measured at every double plane BPM. This allows to extend the segment-by-segment technique to include coupling!

$$f_{1001} = \frac{1}{4\gamma} (\bar{C}_{12} - \bar{C}_{21} + i\bar{C}_{11} + i\bar{C}_{22}),$$

Rogelio Tomás García

First off-momentum *β*-beat measurement

First amplitude detuning measurement

