Beam- driven Plasma Acceleration: Road to a TeV Collider

Chan Joshi University of California Los Angeles IPAC Conference Kyoto Japan May 2010

Present Collaborators

*	B. Allen	USC	*	N. Li	SLAC	
*	W. An	UCLA	*	W. Lu	UCLA	
*	K. Bane	SLAC	*	D.B. MacFarlane		SLAC
*	L. Bentson	SLAC	*	K.A. Marsh	UCLA	
*	I. Blumenfeld	SLAC	*	W.B. Mori	UCLA	
*	C.E. Clayton	UCLA	*	P. Muggli	USC	
*	S. DeBarger	SLAC	*	Y. Nosochkov	SLAC	
*	FJ. Decker	SLAC	*	S. Pei	SLAC	
*	R. Erickson	SLAC	*	T.O. Raubenheimer		SLAC
*	R. Gholizadeh	USC	*	J.T. Seeman	SLAC	
*	M.J. Hogan	SLAC	*	A. Seryi	SLAC	
*	C. Huang	UCLA	*	R.H. Siemann	SLAC	
*	R.H. Iverson	SLAC	*	P. Tenenbaum	SLAC	
*	C. Joshi	UCLA	*	J. Vollaire	SLAC	
*	T. Katsouleas	Duke University	*	D. Walz	SLAC	
*	N. Kirby	SLAC	*	X. Wang	USC	

* W. Wittmer SLAC

SLAC Duke

FACET/BELLA Joint Review July 21 - 23, 2008

Page 2







Need a new technology for accelerating charged-particles



Plasma Based Accelerators



Conventional Accelerator

Plasma Accelerator



M.Downer U.Texas

Plasma Accelerators

"Story of Science as a living thing" J.M.Dawson

- * 1979 Tajima & Dawson Paper
- 1981 Tigner Panel rec'd investment in adv. acc.
- 1985 Malibu, GV/m unloaded beat wave fields, world-wide effort begins
- * 1988 ANL maps beam wakes
- * 1992 1st e- at UCLA beat wave
- 1994 'Jet age' begins (100 MeV in laser-driven gas jet at RAL)
- * 2004 'Dawn of Compact Accelerators' (monoenergetic beams at LBNL, LOA, RAL)
- * 2007 Energy Doubling at SLAC







- \cdot Space charge force of the beam pulse displaces plasma electrons
- Plasma ion channel exerts restoring force => space charge wake

No dephasing between the particles and the wake

P.Chen et.al.PRL(1983)





OUR VISION



To address critical issues for realizing a plasmabased accelerator at the energy frontier in the next decade. A by- product will be compact accelerators for industry & science

Intense Beams of Electrons for Plasma Wakefield Acceleration

 $N = 4 \times 10^{10}$

Energy 50 GeV

Rep Rate 60 HZ

Energy/pulse 320 J Focal Spot Size 10 microns

Pulse Width 50 fs

Focused Intensity 7 x 10²¹ W/cm²





Comparable to the most intense laser beams todate

Short Bunch Generation In SLAC Linac



Experimental Setup









BREAKING THE 1 GeV BARRIER

PLASMA LENGTH (cm)



M.Hogan et al Phys Rev Lett (2005)

Path to a collider builds on recent success

 Energy Doubling of 42 Billion Volt Electrons Using an 85 cm Long Plasma Wakefield Accelerator





42 GeV 85GeV Doubling energy in a plasma wake

ASTRONOMY The Milky Way's particle accelerator p10 LHC FOCUS Processors size up for the future p18 COSMIC RAYS RF antennas provide a new approach p33 Radiation Loss : Ultimate Limit on Plasma Accelerators @ 2.5 TeV CM with 1 micron beam



 PHYSICAL REVIEW

 LETTERS

 LAPIT 2002

 Them 20 Market

 Them 20 Market

 States

Plasma Wiggler for collimated Xray production 10 KV-100 MV

$$\frac{dE}{dz} = \frac{1}{3} r_e m_e c^2 \gamma^2 k_\beta^2 K^2 = f(n_p^2, r_o^2, \gamma^2) = 4.3 GeV / m$$

S. Wang et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol 88. 13, pg. 135004, (2002)

From Science to a Collider

Requirements for High Energy Physics

- * High Energy
- * High Luminosity (event rate)
 - L=f_{rep}N²/ $4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y$
- High Beam Power
 - ~20 MW
- * High Beam Quality
 - Energy spread $\delta \gamma / \gamma \sim .1 10\%$
 - Low emittance: $\varepsilon_n \sim \gamma \sigma_y \theta_y \ll 1$ mm-mrad
- * Reasonable Cost : less than \$5 B for 1 TeV CM
 - Gradients > 100 MeV/m
 - Efficiency > few %

Path to a TeV Collider

from present state-of-the-art*

- * Starting point: 42 --> 85 GeV in 1m
 - Few % of particles
- * Beam load
 - 25 --> 50 GeV in ~ 1m
 - 2nd bunch with 33% of particles
 - Small energy spread
 - Preserve emittance
- * Replicate for positrons
- * Marry to high efficiency driver
- * Stage 20 times

* I. Blumenfeld et al., Nature 445, 741 (2007)

A Concept for a

Plasma Wakefield Accelerator Based Linear Collider



Self-consistent 1 TeV PWFA-LC Design

Luminosity	$3.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$		
Luminosity in 1% of energy	$1.3 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$		
Main beam: bunch population, bunches per train, rate	1×10 ¹⁰ , 125, 100 Hz		
Total power of two main beams	20 MW		
Main beam emittances, $\gamma \varepsilon_x$, $\gamma \varepsilon_y$	2, 0.05 mm-mrad		
Main beam sizes at Interaction Point, x, y, z	140 nm, 3.2 nm, 10 μm		
Plasma accelerating gradient, plasma cell length, and density	25 GV/m, 1 m, 1×10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³		
Power transfer efficiency drive beam=>plasma =>main beam	35%		
Drive beam: energy, peak current and active pulse length	25 GeV, 2.3 A, 10 μs		
Average power of the drive beam	58 MW		
Efficiency: Wall plug=>RF=>drive beam	50% × 90% = 45%		
Overall efficiency and wall plug power for acceleration	15.7%, 127 MW		
Site power estimate (with 40MW for other subsystems)	170 MW		

Generation of High Quality Beams



The most pressing goal is the demonstration of one stage of a 10-25 GeV plasma accelerator module with small energy spread & emittance and at least 1nC charge.

FACET: Facility for Advanced Accelerator Experimental Tests

- Use the SLAC injector complex and 2/3 of the SLAC linac to deliver electrons and positrons
 - Compressed 25 GeV beams → ~20 kA peak current
 - Small spots necessary for plasma acceleration studies
- * Two separate installations
 - Final bunch compression and focusing system in Sector 20
 - Expanded Sector 10 bunch compressor for positrons



Ideal FACET experiment..



Transformer ratio of 2 Good beam loading efficiency Drive Bunch 30 micron 3e10 Witness Bunch 10 microns 1e10

Ideal FACET experiment...



5% energy spread Energy doubling in less than 1 meter

Ideal FACET experiment...



Emittance preservation of the accelerating beam Beam head erosion finally destroys the wake while and hosing blows up the beam emittance Plasma Wakefield Acceleration may be the way forward to a more compact and cheaper TeV class linear collider.

The driver technology is at hand for a beam-driven PWFA

The drive beam architecture is similar to CLIC design with an average accelerating gradient of 250 MeV/m. (Peak plasma gradient is 10 GeVm)

There is only one place where this scheme can be developed further: SLAC, where both electron and positron beams exist.

SLAC is building FACET in response to : DOE mission need.

CONCLUSION

"The challenge is to undertake and sustain the difficult and complex R&D needed to enable a feasible, cost and energy effective technology on the several decade horizon. Achieving these goals will require creativity and the development and maturation of new accelerator approaches and technologies."

HEPAP Subpanel 2006